



CORTES GENERALES

INFORME SOBRE LA XLVII COSAC COPENHAGUE, 22 A 24 DE ABRIL DE 2012

El 22 de abril de 2012 se desplazó a Copenhague, con motivo de la celebración de la XLVII sesión de la COSAC, una delegación de las Cortes Generales compuesta por:

- Excmo. Sr. Presidente de la Comisión Mixta para la Unión Europea, D. Gerardo Camps Devesa;
- la Excma. Sra. Senadora Dña. Carlota Ripoll Juan (GPS);
- el Excmo. Sr. Diputado D. Juan Moscoso del Prado Hernández (GS);
- el Excmo. Sr. Senador D. Iñaki Mirena Anasagasti Olabeaga (GV(EAJ-PNV));
- el Excmo. Sr. Senador D. Joan Sabaté Borràs (GEPC).

La delegación fue asistida por la Ilma. Sra. D.^a Carmen Sánchez-Abarca Gornals, Letrada Representante permanente de las Cortes Generales ante la Unión Europea; el Ilmo. Sr. D. Fernando Galindo Elola-Olaso, Letrado de la Comisión Mixta para la Unión Europea; y la Sra. Dña. Carmen Domínguez Poza, perteneciente a la Dirección de Relaciones Internacionales de la Secretaría General del Congreso de los Diputados.

La noche del 22 de abril, los integrantes de la delegación acudieron a la cena de inauguración de la COSAC ofrecida por la Comisión de Asuntos Europeos del Parlamento danés.

I. REUNIÓN DE LOS GRUPOS POLÍTICOS

A las 8:15 h. del lunes 23 de abril tuvo lugar en el Centro de Convenciones Tivoli, en Copenhague, la reunión de los parlamentarios de los Grupos políticos acreditados en la COSAC. Tuvieron lugar reuniones organizadas por el Grupo del Partido Popular Europeo, el Grupo de la Alianza Progresista de Socialistas y Demócratas y la Alianza de los Demócratas y Liberales por Europa.

II. SESIÓN PLENARIA DEL 23 DE ABRIL

1. Apertura de la Conferencia y cuestiones procedimentales

A las 9:15 del lunes 23 de abril, comenzó la sesión plenaria de la XLVII sesión de la COSAC. En la misma se aprobó el orden del día (documento nº 1), se presentó el decimoséptimo Informe Bianual (documento nº 2) y se dio cuenta de las decisiones de la Troika Presidencial. El acta de esta última reunión se adjunta, en inglés, domo documento nº 3. A la reunión asistieron las personas que se adjuntan en el documento nº 4.



CORTES GENERALES

El discurso de bienvenida corrió a cargo del Sr. Mogens Lykketoft, Presidente del Parlamento de Dinamarca. Éste resaltó la importancia de coordinar las actuaciones entre todos los países de la Unión Europea y de la agenda de creación de empleo, así como de la protección del medio ambiente como elemento definitorio del modelo de sociedad europeo. A continuación, la Sra. Kjer Hansen, Presidenta de la Comisión de Asuntos Europeos del Parlamento danés, dio por abierta la sesión plenaria.

Después de la presentación que la Sra. Kurien realizó una presentación del Informe Bianual, se pasó a debatir las cuestiones procedimentales. Dentro de las mismas destacó una propuesta formulada por el Sr. Friedrich, Presidente de la Comisión de Asuntos Europeos del Bundesrat alemán, quien propuso que, con ocasión de la ratificación prevista por el Bundesrat el mes de junio del Pacto de Estabilidad y Crecimiento, la COSAC cursara invitación a representantes de los Parlamentos nacionales para intercambiar opiniones acerca de los mecanismos de participación de los mismos en los procedimientos que establece el nuevo Tratado. Tomaron la palabra representantes de las delegaciones del Reino Unido, República Checa, Austria, Chipre y Polonia. La mayoría estuvo de acuerdo con la propuesta, si bien los representantes de la República Checa y Austria cuestionaron el Pacto de Estabilidad y Crecimiento.

Por otra parte, fue también objeto de debate el acuerdo alcanzado por la Conferencia de Presidentes de Parlamentos de la Unión Europea, consistente en crear una Conferencia Interparlamentaria sobre Política Exterior y de Defensa para reemplazar las reuniones de la COFACC y la CODACC, que se reunirá cada 6 meses con la presencia de dieciséis miembros del Parlamento Europeo, seis representantes por cada Parlamento nacional así como de los Parlamentos de los Estados candidatos y de los miembros de la OTAN no miembros de la UE. El Sr. Galazewski, Vicepresidente de la Comisión de Asuntos Europeos de la Cámara Baja polaca, expuso los detalles del acuerdo. Tomaron la palabra representantes de las delegaciones de Luxemburgo, Chipre y Bélgica, todos ellos críticos con el acuerdo al entender que la participación de los Parlamentos nacionales debería canalizarse a través de la COSAC. Finalmente se decidió que el asunto se sometería a deliberación y, en su caso, votación en la reunión de los Presidentes que tendría lugar al día siguiente.

2. Veinte años del libre movimiento de bienes, personas, servicios y capitales.

El Sr. Barroso, Presidente de la Comisión Europea, inauguró la sesión de trabajo dedicada al vigésimo aniversario de la libertad de movimiento de factores productivos. Realizó una defensa de los beneficios que a su juicio este logro ha supuesto para los ciudadanos europeos, al haber incrementado el nivel de vida y las posibilidades de todos los integrantes de la sociedad europea. A continuación se refirió a los esfuerzos que desde las instituciones comunitarias se están llevando a cabo para evitar que Grecia vea empeorar su situación y se vea forzada a abandonar la moneda única y concluyó con una explicación detallada de la conocida como “Estrategia 2020”, que desde su punto de vista debe de convertirse en una guía de acción de todos los poderes públicos en la modernización de los sectores económicos con el fin de incrementar la competitividad de Europa de cara a las próximas décadas.



CORTES GENERALES

Formularon preguntas las delegaciones de Alemania, Bélgica, Irlanda, Hungría, Suecia, Islandia, Italia, República Checa, Austria, Reino Unido, Chipre y el Parlamento Europeo.

En sus intervenciones, se refirieron a la necesidad de una actuación más enérgica de la Unión Europea en relación con la conocida como “primavera árabe”; la conveniencia de reforzar la coordinación de las políticas económicas de los Estados miembros en el contexto de la crisis económica; la existencia de un clima de desconfianza hacia las instituciones europeas y el agravamiento de las condiciones medioambientales y laborales en las sociedades europeas como consecuencia del empeoramiento de la situación económica del continente.

En sus turnos para contestar las preguntas, el Sr. Barroso explicó que la Comisión Europea está trabajando con los Estados en los que se han producido las revueltas con el fin de reforzar su transición hacia condiciones democráticas. En este sentido, destacó los esfuerzos que la Comisión realiza para reforzar el acceso a los mercados comunitarios de los productos prevenientes de estos países. En relación con la política económica, reiteró su defensa del mercado único como única vía para mejorar las condiciones de vida de los europeos. El Presidente de la Comisión coincidió en que existe una importante brecha entre parte de la opinión pública europea y las instituciones de la Unión, lo cual hace a su juicio más urgente que nunca la introducción de mejoras en el funcionamiento democrático de las mismas. Finalmente, reivindicó el papel de la “Estrategia 2020” como instrumento más adecuado para paliar los efectos laborales y medioambientales de la crisis.

3. Crecimiento inteligente, sostenible e integrador en Europa

A continuación tomó la palabra la Sra. Helle Thorning-Schmidt, Primera Ministra de Dinamarca. Ésta comenzó su intervención con un repaso de las decisiones adoptadas por el Consejo a raíz de la crisis económica, añadiendo que la superación de las causas estructurales de la crisis requiere de “un crecimiento inteligente, sostenible e integrador”.

En relación con el “crecimiento inteligente”, defendió la adopción de medidas que incrementen la competitividad del mercado único, como reforzar e integrar las políticas de investigación y desarrollo de los Estados miembros o fortalecer el llamado mercado único digital (que, a su juicio, podría llegar a incrementar el PIB de la Unión en un 4% anual hasta 2020). Es preciso, además, garantizar un crecimiento sostenible, de forma que debe darse cumplimiento a los mandatos que se derivarán de la Conferencia Río+20, como el de alcanzar el 50% de cuota de las energías renovables dentro de nuestro consumo eléctrico. A su juicio, la adopción de políticas medioambientalmente responsables puede implicar la creación de más de 20 millones de puestos de trabajo en la próxima década, haciendo a Europa líder de un sector con incuestionable futuro. Finalmente, defendió que el crecimiento sea integrador en el sentido de acabar con la exclusión social que sufren los 24 millones de desempleados de los Estados miembros. De ahí que se requieran políticas de inversión en educación, o políticas activas de empleo.

Formularon preguntas las delegaciones de Eslovenia, Italia, el Parlamento Europeo, Alemania, Reino Unido, Austria, Eslovaquia, España, Letonia, Bulgaria y



CORTES GENERALES

Turquía. En ellas se defendió la necesidad de reducir los tipos de cambio del euro para fortalecer la competitividad de las economías europeas; la necesidad de acometer de forma decidida la reforma del sector financiero; la creación de un impuesto a las transacciones financieras internacionales y el establecimiento de canales específicos para mejorar la financiación de las pequeñas y medianas empresas.

El Presidente Camps Devesa coincidió con la Primera Ministra en que se debe afrontar con optimismo el futuro de la economía europea y de sus Estados miembros si bien se ha de ser también realista, por lo que defendió que la única forma de salir de la crisis en que nos encontramos es la de implementar las normas relacionadas con el mercado único. Coincidieron asimismo en que es preciso un desarrollo sostenible e integrador, añadiendo que en el momento actual la consolidación fiscal que deben llevar a cabo las economías nacionales debe orientarse hacia la creación de empleo y un mayor volumen de crecimiento.

En sus turnos de respuesta, la Primera Ministra coincidió en que la consolidación fiscal no puede considerarse como enemiga del crecimiento, y añadió que reducir el problema del paro, y en particular el de las personas más jóvenes, debe ser la máxima prioridad de las instituciones europeas. Afirmó que las pequeñas y medianas empresas son el motor de nuestra economía, al generar el 67% del empleo de la Unión, por lo que es preciso que las instituciones europeas, a través, en su caso, del Banco Europeo de Inversiones, refuerzen los canales de financiación para evitar estrangular el crédito. Apoyó la creación del impuesto sobre las transacciones financieras internacionales, si bien condicionado a un estudio más pormenorizado de sus efectos potenciales.

A las 13:30 se suspendió la sesión para el almuerzo, en el que la delegación estuvo acompañada por el Excmo. Sr. D. Diego Muñiz Lovelace, Embajador del Reino de España ante el Reino de Dinamarca, y por la Ilma. Sra. María Jesús Alonso Jiménez, Ministra Consejera de la Embajada.

4. Reunión de los Presidentes

Entre las 16:40 y las 17:40 tuvo lugar la deliberación de los Presidentes. El documento que sirvió de base a la elaboración de las conclusiones se adjunta como documento nº 5. De forma previa al inicio de las sesiones de trabajo se remitieron enmiendas del Parlamento Europeo (documento nº 6) y de la Cámara Baja polaca (documento nº 7). Las enmiendas presentadas durante el día se incluyen en el documento nº 8. Las conclusiones alcanzadas, una vez producida la incorporación de las enmiendas, se adjuntan como documento nº 9.

Durante la sesión se reabrió la cuestión de cuál debía ser el rol de la COSAC en relación con las cuestiones de seguridad y defensa que de acuerdo con la Conferencia de Presidentes debían tratarse por una Conferencia Interparlamentaria sobre Política Exterior y de Defensa. Tomaron la palabra miembros de las delegaciones de Luxemburgo, Francia, Italia, Chipre, Polonia y el Parlamento Europeo. La mayoría de las intervenciones subrayaron que no es posible que la COSAC revoque un acuerdo adoptado por las Presidencias de las Cámaras, por lo que en futuras sesiones de la COSAC se debatiría qué formas de colaboración podían llevarse a la práctica con el nuevo órgano.



CORTES GENERALES

A las 18:30 las delegaciones se desplazaron al Palacio de la Ópera de Copenhague, donde el Parlamento danés organizó una cena para los participantes en la COSAC.

II. SESIÓN PLENARIA DEL 24 DE ABRIL

5. Crecimiento sostenible – promoviendo la transición hacia una economía con eficiencia de recursos en Europa.

A las 9:00 h. del día 24 se reanudó la sesión con la intervención del Sr. Potočnik, Comisario de Medio Ambiente. Éste centró su intervención en tres cuestiones. La primera de ellas fue la eficiencia de recursos. En el año 2030, la sociedad europea necesitará un 70% más de fibra y alimentos de los que se consumen actualmente, así como un 40% más de agua. Dado que en la actualidad el 60% de nuestros recursos naturales se encuentran dañados o han sido consumidos, ello requiere de una utilización más eficiente y responsable en el futuro. Propugnó en este sentido la plena implementación de las Directivas vigentes en este campo por los Estados miembros (que ahorraría a su juicio 72.000 millones de euros hasta 2020); la mejora de los sistemas de evaluación de los recursos existentes y una mezcla de medidas punitivas y medidas orientadas al mercado, como la comercialización de los derechos de utilización de bienes naturales.

En segundo lugar, se refirió a la necesidad de interiorizar los criterios medioambientales en la cadena productiva. Para ello, abogó por la unificación de las aproximadamente 400 “etiquetas medioambientales” que existen en el mundo y anunció la presentación durante los próximos meses de una propuesta de Reglamento con diversas medidas. Finalmente, concluyó su intervención con una defensa de introducir el principio de igualdad de oportunidades en las políticas medioambientales de la Unión. Ello se puede conseguir a través de diversas formas, tales como unificar el estado de transposición de todas las Directivas por los Estados miembros o evitar que las infracciones o incluso los delitos medioambientales queden sin respuesta en algunos casos.

En los turnos de preguntas, tomaron la palabra miembros de las delegaciones de Reino Unido, Eslovenia, Austria, Chipre, Irlanda, España, Rumanía, Holanda, Bulgaria, Francia e Italia. Entre las intervenciones hubo referencias a la necesidad de actualizar la normativa comunitaria sobre el tratamiento de los residuos biológicos; la importancia de afrontar con celeridad los desafíos del cambio climático; la diversificación de las fuentes de energía y los objetivos con los que la Comisión Europea afronta la Cumbre de Río+20.

El Senador Sabaté comenzó su alocución incidiendo en que compartía el criterio de la Comisión en materia de mejorar la eficiencia en la utilización de los recursos naturales como paso indispensable para garantizar un futuro sostenible. Hizo especial énfasis en la necesidad de desarrollar actuaciones en relación con los recursos hídricos. Es preciso dotar de un mayor nivel de especificación a las medidas propuestas por la Comisión en relación con la política de aguas, debiéndose reforzar los incentivos para



CORTES GENERALES

un uso correcto de los recursos hídricos. Finalmente, subrayó la importancia de lograr un mayor nivel de sostenibilidad en nuestras economías a pesar de la crisis, por lo que puede ser preciso fomentar la investigación y el desarrollo en industrias “verdes” que contribuyan a esa finalidad.

Sr. Potočnik recordó que nos encontramos en el año del agua, y aseguró que desde la Comisión se considera que la protección de los recursos hídricos del continente es un objetivo prioritario. Consideró asimismo que la crisis económica no debe desalentar los esfuerzos por mejorar la eficiencia en utilización de los recursos, pues es precisamente en momentos de escasez cuando ésta se hace más necesaria. En relación con la Conferencia Río+20 indicó que era muy posible que en la misma se acordara una reorganización de la Política Agraria Común para reducir los costes medioambientales de la misma. En la presente década, 20.000 millones de euros de los fondos de la PAC se dedicarán a la protección del medio ambiente. El Comisario afirmó que cabe que entre los compromisos de Río+20 se incluya incrementar sustancialmente esta cifra. Coincidio en la existencia de una necesidad perentoria de diversificar las fuentes de energía, tanto en lo relativo a su procedencia geográfica como en lo relativo a aumentar el peso de las energías renovables en nuestro consumo eléctrico. Y anunció que la Comisión espera proponer nuevas iniciativas normativas relacionadas con el tratamiento de residuos en los próximos meses.

6. El mercado único digital

La sesión se reanudó a las 10:50 con la intervención de la Sra. Kroes, Vicepresidenta de la Comisión y Comisaria para la Agenda Digital. Ésta comenzó su intervención con una defensa de la necesidad de reforzar las políticas orientadas al fomento de la digitalización de la economía como instrumento para salir de la crisis. En concreto, consideró imprescindible incrementar el índice de penetración de la banda ancha y de los sistemas de cuarta generación de telefonía móvil, infraestructuras que sirven de base para la utilización de Internet tanto por las empresas como por los consumidores. Asimismo, indicó que considera una prioridad incrementar la seguridad de las transacciones comerciales que se realizan a través de Internet, para lo cual la Comisión se encuentra trabajando en una propuesta de Reglamento que hará pública en pocas semanas.

Tomaron la palabra miembros de las delegaciones de Eslovenia, Chipre, España, Reino Unido, Francia, el Parlamento Europeo, Finlandia, Italia, Eslovaquia, Irlanda, Serbia, Croacia, Turquía, Alemania, Estonia, Rumania y Lituania. Entre las alocuciones se hizo alusión a la existencia de una “brecha digital” en el continente; se preguntó por la posición de la Comisión en relación con las amenazas existentes a los derechos de propiedad intelectual derivadas de la piratería digital y se cuestionó la falta de homologación total de los impuestos sobre el valor añadido en los distintos regímenes fiscales de Europa en un contexto en el que contamos con un mercado único, particularmente en el ámbito digital.

El Senador Anasagasti recordó que es posible mejorar el PIB de la UE en un 4% anual si se logra una adecuada implantación del mercado digital. La fragmentación del mismo es probablemente el gran obstáculo, y tiene como consecuencia que en la actualidad sólo el 12% de las transacciones comerciales de Europa se realizan a través



CORTES GENERALES

de canales electrónicos. Además, la escasez de banda ancha es un lastre que perjudica a todos los europeos. Finalmente, preguntó por cuál es el problema mayor y el más fácil de solucionar para lograr un mercado digital comunitario, y quiso saber si la Comisaria estaba dispuesta a desplazarse por todos los países de la Unión para mejorar la implantación del mismo.

La Sra. Kroes, en su turno de respuesta, se comprometió a llevar a cabo todas las actuaciones precisas para fomentar la implantación del mercado digital único, que a su vez se encuentra con la dificultad, relativamente fácil de superar, de que en la actualidad siguen existiendo diferentes legislaciones en los Estados miembros sobre comercio electrónico. Asimismo, indicó que fijó que el programa *Connecting Europe* debe ser una prioridad dentro de las políticas comunitarias, en particular dada la fuerte competencia que las empresas europeas sufren procedentes del resto del mundo. Es preciso interiorizar criterios digitales en las actuaciones públicas orientadas a la creación de empleo o la mejora de la calidad de la educación. La Comisaria añadió que acabar con la llamada “brecha digital” debe estar en la agenda de todas las Administraciones Públicas como forma de garantizar la igualdad de oportunidades entre los ciudadanos europeos. Actualmente, un 25% de los ciudadanos europeos nunca se han conectado a Internet, una cifra que se eleva al 41% en Italia. Las disparidades, que se encuentran conectadas a variables como la edad, el nivel educativo o la procedencia geográfica, deben tender a desaparecer si se aspira a lograr una Europa conectada y dinámica.

7. Aprobación de las conclusiones de la XLVII sesión de la COSAC

Finalmente, y tras sendas intervenciones de representantes de las delegaciones del Parlamento Europeo, Eslovenia y Chipre, los participantes aprobaron las conclusiones incluidas en el documento 9. Por último, se anunció que la próxima reunión de los Presidentes de la COSAC tendría lugar en Limassol (Chipre) los días 8 y 9 de julio, y que la sesión plenaria tendría lugar del 14 al 16 de octubre en Nicosia. Asimismo, la primera sesión de la Conferencia Interparlamentaria sobre cuestiones de seguridad y defensa tendrá lugar del 9 al 11 de septiembre en Paphos.

La reunión concluyó a las 13:00 h, emprendiendo la delegación su regreso a España.

Fernando Galindo Elola-Olaso
Letrado de la Comisión Mixta para la Unión Europea

**Draft Programme for XLVII COSAC 22-24 April 2012 in Copenhagen**

10 April 2012

Sunday 22 April 2012

Arrival of delegations

14.00 – 18.30 Registration at the hotels

For participants in the meeting of the Presidential Troika of COSAC

Venue: Tivoli Congress Centre, room: Harlekin room

16.30 – 18.00 Meeting of the Presidential Troika of COSAC

18.30 Coaches from hotels to Restaurant Nimb Terrasse in Tivoli.

Guests will be collected in the lobbies of both hotels and guided to the coaches, which will depart from Tivoli Hotel.

18.45 Dinner

21.00 Free time to explore Tivoli

21.45 – 22.15 Coaches to Hotels (Shuttle buses leave from Tivoli main entrance to hotels regularly from 21.45. The last shuttle bus will leave at 22.15)

Monday 23 April 2012

For participants of the political groups' meetings

08.15 – 09.05 Political groups' meetings

Venue: Tivoli Congress Centre, rooms (see map in General Information):

EPP meeting in Harlekin room

S&D meeting in Columbine room

ALDE Meeting in Divanen room

Venue: Tivoli Congress Hall

09.15-16.40 XLVII COSAC

09.15 Opening and procedural issues

- Welcome address by Mr Mogens Lykketoft, Speaker of the Danish Parliament
- Opening of session, Mrs. Eva Kjer Hansen, Chairman of European Affairs Committee of the Danish Parliament
- Adoption of the agenda
- Presentation of the 17th Biannual report
- Decisions of the Presidential Troika of COSAC

10.00 20 years of free movement for goods, people, services and capital

Address by Mr José Manuel Barroso, President of the European Commission

Debate

11.30 Coffee break

11.50 Smart, Sustainable and Inclusive Growth in Europe

Address by Ms Helle Thorning-Schmidt, Prime Minister of Denmark

Debate

13.15 Family photo

13.30. Lunch (in Tivoli Brasserie, 2nd floor)

14.50 A Single Market for Services – full implementation of the Services Directive

Address by Mr Michel Barnier, Commissioner for Internal Market and Services

Address by Mr Malcolm Harbour, Chair of the Committee for Internal Market and Consumer Protection in the European Parliament

Debate

16.40 End of Session

16.40 – 17.40 Chairpersons Meeting

Venue: Tivoli Congress Hall

Contribution to the EU-institutions and draft Conclusions of the XLVII COSAC

18.30 Boats to the Opera House

Guests will be collected in the lobbies of the hotels and guided for a 4 minute walk to the boats.

19.00 Welcome at the Opera House & Dinner

22.45 Coaches to hotels

Tuesday 24 April 2012

Venue: Tivoli Congress Hall

09.00 – 13.00 Continuation of XLVII COSAC

09.00 Sustainable growth – promoting the transition to a resource efficient economy in Europe

Address by Mr Janez Potočnik, Commissioner for Environment

Debate

10.30 Coffee break

10.50 The Digital Single Market

Address by Ms Neelie Kroes, Vice-President of the European Commission & Commissioner for the Digital Agenda

Debate

**12.20 Adoption of Contribution to the EU institutions and Conclusions
of the XLVII COSAC**

13.00 Lunch (in the Foyer outside the Congress Hall, ground floor)

A 30 minute optional guided tour of the Danish Parliament will be offered to participants in English and French after lunch. Shuttle coaches will be provided from Tivoli Hotel to the Danish Parliament.

DOCUMENTO 2

April 2012

Seventeenth Bi-annual Report:

Developments in European Union

Procedures and Practices

Relevant to Parliamentary Scrutiny

Prepared by the COSAC Secretariat and presented to:

**XLVII Conference of Parliamentary Committees
for Union Affairs of Parliaments
of the European Union**

22-24 April 2012
Copenhagen



**Conference of Parliamentary Committees for Union Affairs
of Parliaments of the European Union**

COSAC SECRETARIAT

WIE 05 U 041, 30-50 rue Wiertz, B-1047 Brussels, Belgium
E-mail: secretariat@cosac.eu | Tel: +32 2 284 43776

Table of Contents

BACKGROUND	iv
ABSTRACT	1
CHAPTER 1: INFORMATION FLOW TO AND FROM PARLIAMENTS.....	3
1.1 Access to EU and EU related documents by national Parliaments.....	3
1.1.1 Documents from the European Commission.....	3
1.1.2 Documents from Member State Governments.....	4
1.1.3 Access to EU and EU related documents via a government Database.....	6
1.1.4 Overview of access to documents	8
1.2 Exchange of information between Parliaments/Chambers	11
1.2.1 Use of IPEX	11
1.2.2 Sources of information from other parliaments	12
1.2.3 Reliability of IPEX	12
1.2.4 Need for more information about IPEX.....	13
1.2.5 Reasoned opinions on IPEX.....	14
1.2.6 Translations of important decisions	14
1.2.7 Additional sources or networks used to gather information	14
CHAPTER 2: RE-LAUNCH OF THE SINGLE MARKET AND PARLIAMENTS	16
2.1 Re-launch of the Single Market and Parliaments	16
2.1.1 The Single Market Act	16
2.1.2 12 Key Actions - fast track legislative procedure	20
2.1.3 Parliamentary activity on the re-launch of the Single Market	21
2.1.4 Digital Agenda for Europe.....	21
2.1.5 The implementation of the Services Directive	22
2.1.6 Roadmap to a Resource Efficient Europe	23
APPENDIX.....	24

BACKGROUND

This is the Seventeenth Bi-annual Report from the COSAC Secretariat.

COSAC Bi-annual Reports

The XXX COSAC decided that the COSAC Secretariat should produce factual Bi-annual Reports, to be published ahead of each ordinary meeting of the Conference. The purpose of the Reports is to give an overview of the developments in procedures and practices in the European Union that are relevant to parliamentary scrutiny.

All the Bi-annual Reports are available on the COSAC website at:
<http://www.cosac.eu/en/documents/biannual/>

The two chapters of this Bi-annual Report are based on information provided by the national Parliaments of the European Union Member States and the European Parliament. The deadline for submitting replies to the questionnaire for the 17th Bi-annual Report was 1 March 2012.

The outline of this Report was adopted by the meeting of the Chairpersons of COSAC, held on 30 January 2012 in Copenhagen.

As a general rule, the Report does not specify all Parliaments or Chambers whose case is relevant for each point. Instead, illustrative examples introduced in the text as "e.g." are used.

A summary of answers can be found in the appendix to the Report and complete replies, received from all 40 national Parliaments/Chambers of 27 Member States and the European Parliament, can be found in the Annex on the COSAC website.

Note on Numbers

Of the 27 Member States of the European Union, 14 have a unicameral Parliament and 13 have a bicameral Parliament. Due to this combination of unicameral and bicameral systems, there are 40 national parliamentary Chambers in the 27 Member States of the European Union.

Although they have bicameral systems, the national Parliaments of Austria, Ireland and Spain each submitted a single set of replies to the questionnaire.

ABSTRACT

CHAPTER 1: INFORMATION FLOW TO AND FROM PARLIAMENTS

Access to EU documents and EU related documents produced by governments is essential to ensure that national Parliaments can properly carry out their scrutiny functions. Therefore the first chapter of the 17th Bi-annual Report examines **access to information** that Parliaments/Chambers have via the EU institutions and their respective Governments.

The Report highlights the frequent and varied use made of the documents transmitted directly by the European Commission to national Parliaments. As far as access to Council documents is concerned, the Report shows that 28 national Parliaments/Chambers have access to Limité documents, this amounts to 70% of them. 17 out of 40 national Parliaments/Chambers have access to EU Restricted documents and eight Parliaments/Chambers have access to EU Confidential documents. The Report also shows in empirical terms the number of Parliaments that have access to Council documents and/or EU related documents through a **database**, but notes that the majority of Parliaments/Chambers continue to be **sent documents by their Government**. It also highlights a number of examples of **best practice** in relation to access to documents and the use of a Government database.

The exchange of information between Parliaments is becoming increasingly common and indeed essential, as a result of the strengthened role of Parliaments in the Treaty of Lisbon. The chapter therefore also examines the use of the various forms of interparliamentary exchange of information and the frequency of use by Parliaments of these sources of information such as ECPRD and the COSAC website. In this context, the Report shows that the most commonly used **sources of information from other Parliaments** are the network of national Parliament Representatives based in Brussels and the IPEX database.

The chapter looks more closely at the **use of IPEX** and shows that it is being used by staff from a large majority of Parliaments on a daily or weekly basis. Although a number of Parliaments consider IPEX to be reliable or very reliable, slightly more believe that IPEX, though a valuable tool, needs improvement. To improve the reliability of IPEX all national Parliaments need to upload more comprehensive information to IPEX in a timely manner and to use the IPEX symbols correctly. Some encouraging trends are also identified: an overwhelming majority of Parliaments/Chambers provide translations or summaries of important decisions in English and/or French, noting that the European Parliament translates reasoned opinions into 21 EU languages; and that reasoned opinions are uploaded onto IPEX within one or two days of adoption by a large majority of Parliaments/Chambers.

CHAPTER 2: RE-LAUNCH OF THE SINGLE MARKET AND PARLIAMENTS

The second chapter of the 17th Bi-annual Report investigates the parliamentary activity around the re-launch of the Single Market. The chapter uses information provided by Parliaments/Chambers, as well as information from the respective relevant websites of the Commission, the European Parliament and IPEX, to examine the parliamentary scrutiny of this important policy in the year of its 20th anniversary.

The chapter begins with a section focusing on the Single Market Act examining the Parliaments'/Chambers' positions on the **Commission's 12 key actions**, which have been considered by half of the Parliaments/Chambers. In this section the Report shows that 14 Parliaments/Chambers consider some of the key actions to be more important than others. The Report examines whether the Commission's proposals under the re-launch of the Single Market have been prioritised in the internal decision-making process in the Parliaments/Chambers. It also highlights the **parliamentary activities** of the Parliaments/Chambers in relation to the re-launch of the Single Market. The Report shows that 15 Parliaments/Chambers organised hearings/meetings on the subject and a number participated in the Single Market Forum organised by the Polish Presidency, the European Parliament and the European Commission.

Finally the chapter considers three areas in more detail as they are directly linked to the programme and will inform the debate of the XLVII COSAC meeting in Copenhagen in April 2012. These are a **Digital Agenda for Europe**, the **implementation of the Service Directive** and a **Roadmap to a Resource Efficient Europe**, which all three have been considered by a significant number of Parliaments/Chambers.

CHAPTER 1: INFORMATION FLOW TO AND FROM PARLIAMENTS

1.1 Access to EU and EU related documents by national Parliaments

Member State Governments have traditionally been held democratically accountable by national Parliaments for actions taken as Member States of the European Union acting in Council. Access to EU documents and EU related documents produced by Governments is essential to ensure national Parliaments/Chambers can properly carry out their scrutiny functions. In previous COSAC Bi-annual Reports, focus has been given to the different procedures and practices of scrutiny by national Parliaments/Chambers of European Union matters.¹

Access to information and documentation from the EU institutions has traditionally been important for parliamentary scrutiny. Since 1 September 2006, the European Commission has directly transmitted Commission documents to national Parliaments with the aim of strengthening the political dialogue between the Commission and national Parliaments (the so-called Barroso initiative). The Treaty of Lisbon formalised the transmission of certain Commission documents to national Parliaments. Under Article 1 of Protocol 1 of the Treaty of Lisbon Commission consultation documents (green and white papers and communications) and the annual legislative planning documents shall be forwarded directly to national Parliaments. Under Article 2 draft legislative acts from the European Commission (as well as from the European Parliament or a group of Member States, the ECJ, the ECB or the EIB) will be forwarded to national Parliaments.²

The first chapter of the 17th Bi-annual Report of COSAC is divided into two sections. The first section examines the various documents and databases available to Parliaments/Chambers – either from governments or directly from the European Commission. This section also collates information on those Parliaments/Chambers that have access to Government databases containing relevant EU information.

The aims of section 1.1 of the 17th Bi-annual Report are to outline the EU and EU related documents currently available to national Parliaments/Chambers, to compare the situation in different Parliaments/Chambers and, throughout the section, to highlight examples of best practice. Please note that the information in this section does not apply to the European Parliament but only to the 40 national Parliaments/Chambers.

1.1.1 Documents from the European Commission


Out of 40 national Parliaments/Chambers, **34 use the documents transferred directly from the European Commission** and five Parliaments/Chambers use only the European Commission documents transferred by the Government.

¹ COSAC has looked at the procedures and practices of scrutiny of European Union matters in the EU national Parliaments in the Third Bi-annual Report of COSAC (May 2005), in the Eighth Bi-annual Report of COSAC (Oct 2007) and in the Thirteenth Bi-annual Report of COSAC (May 2010). <http://www.cosac.eu/en/documents/biannual/>

² Official Journal of the European Union 2008/C115/1

Parliaments/Chambers make use of the European Commission documents in a number of ways. Pie chart 1 shows the highest number of Parliaments/Chambers (27 out of 40) use these documents as background material for staff and 23 out of 40 send the documents to Members of Parliament.³

Pie chart 1: Different regular uses made of European Commission documents



In addition, some Parliaments/Chambers refer the Commission documents to competent standing/sectoral committees. In some cases this is done on the basis of an assessment by the European Affairs Committee or the European Affairs Department (staff) on the basis of political relevance of the document/proposal. For example, in the Luxembourg *Chambre des Députés* the "cellule européenne" sorts the documents into two categories (documents which are considered not to require any monitoring and documents to be further analysed by a committee). The Irish *Houses of the Oireachtas* Library & Research Services make weekly reports of all the documents received and make these available to Members electronically. In the Dutch *Tweede Kamer* the prioritised proposals "are sent directly to MPs, with an advice from staff on how to actively discuss these proposals."


1.1.2 Documents from Member State Governments

Number of documents received

In graph 1, the number of EU documents and EU related documents (such as notes, background documents, briefs, etc) that are received from Government is displayed. The four Chambers/Parliaments that receive no EU documents from their Government (Polish *Sejm* and *Senat*, Greek *Vouli ton Ellinon*, Cypriot *Vouli ton Antiprosopon*) all make active use of the documents directly transmitted from the European Commission. Interestingly, the Belgian *Chambre des représentants*, answered that since the coming into force of the Treaty of Lisbon the Federal Government had stopped forwarding EU documents (with the exception of the agenda of Council formations and any documents requested in relation to monthly meetings it holds with Ministers on Council activities). Since Poland joined the EU, the *Sejm* has access to a government database of documents transmitted from the EU but is only using it in very exceptional cases as it is not appropriate for the needs of the *Sejm*.

³ See appendix for full information on replies giving the response of each Parliament/Chamber (Q2, page 1).

Graph 1: Number of documents received from Government



When comparing the number of EU documents received and the number of EU related documents produced by the Government, it may be worth noting that 14 Parliaments/Chambers receive the same number of both types of document. Ten Parliaments/Chambers receive fewer EU related documents and eight Parliaments/Chambers receive more EU related documents. The link between the Government sending EU documents and producing EU related documents in some Parliaments/Chambers is clear: for example the Lithuanian *Seimas* notes that the Government is obliged to submit a written position for any Commission proposal that is categorised by the *Seimas* as very relevant or relevant.

Categories of documents sent by the Government

A clear trend can be observed in the replies to which categories⁴ of documents are sent by the Government: **the lower the security classification of the document, the higher the number of Parliaments/Chambers that are sent the document by their Government.** 27 of the Parliaments/Chambers receive Limité documents. 17 receive EU Restricted documents and seven receive EU Confidential documents. Only the Austrian *Nationalrat* and *Bundesrat* receive EU Secret and Top Secret.

20 Parliaments/Chambers are sent the latest COREPER documents by their Government, 18 are sent the latest Council working group documents and 14 are sent briefing documents and/or instructions for Government attachés.⁵

The Swedish *Riksdag* is guaranteed full access under law to all official documents when the Parliament demands them from the Government, or a Government authority/agency.⁶ However, it clarifies that in practice the Government rarely sends any documents with a classification above EU Restricted.

⁴ See "Handling of documents internal to the Council" <http://register.consilium.europa.eu/pdf/en/11/st11/st11336.en11.pdf>

⁵ See appendix for full information on replies giving the response of each Parliament/Chamber (Q7, page 4).

⁶ See full response in the Annex to Bi-annual Report for more details.

Case Study: The Austrian *Nationalrat* and *Bundesrat*

On 1 January 2012 the Austrian "EU Information Law" entered into force.⁷ This law complements the existing obligation of the Austrian Government to inform the Parliament on EU matters and has simplified access to EU documents by making available the Council's extranet to the Parliament, enhancing the Parliament's scrutiny possibilities by establishing or formalising measures such as asking the Government to give "information on future EU projects" on a half-yearly basis.

On top of Council documents, the Austrian *Nationalrat* and *Bundesrat* receive other documents such as reports on meetings of the European Council and Council formations as well as on meetings of preparatory bodies and explanatory memoranda of items on the agenda of EU Committees, information on future EU projects on a half year basis, a yearly outlook based on the Commission's work programme, information on ongoing subsidiarity actions forwarded by the Parliament, explanatory memoranda on subsidiarity and information on initiatives of the European Council regarding the passerelle.

In order to deal with the large amount of information delivered to the Parliament an internal EU database was created soon after Austria's accession to the EU in 1995. It accommodates information from the government and (since 2006) documents directly transmitted by EU institutions. Each day approx. 100-150 documents are uploaded. With the new "EU information law", new categories of documents will be included. Public documents are made available on the internet to the public, whereas Limité documents remain on the intranet section.

It should be noted that Parliaments/Chambers often **receive other EU related information from their Government**. For example the Lithuanian *Seimas* added that the Committee on European Affairs or any specialised committee may request additional information or information necessary for deliberation from governmental bodies. The Italian *Senato della Repubblica*, when examining green and white papers and communications, may request a Government report on the status of negotiations, the impact on Italian legislation and opinions provided by any advisors.

1.1.3 Access to EU and EU related documents via a government Database

18 out of 40 national Parliaments/Chambers are offered **access to a government database** containing relevant EU information and documents. Two of these answers, however, appear to refer to databases of the Parliament/Chamber of EU documents (discussed later).

The French *Sénat* has access to two databases, one containing diplomatic telegrams and the other EU working documents and proposals. The German *Bundestag* and *Bundesrat* have access to the database that contains Council documents. In the government database accessed by the Latvian *Saeima* "documents are organised according to relevant Councils of Ministers and documents from the European Council and COREPER meetings have separate sections...There are also sections devoted to written procedure and Council decisions". The Belgian *Chambre des représentants* has access to a database that "contains documents of specific interest to the Belgian authorities in the framework of transposition of EU legislation."

A number of Parliaments/Chambers have **internal databases** which are used to manage EU information sent from the Government. For example the Slovenian *Državni svet* (National Council) explained it has access to EU documents through an internal database. The Italian *Camera dei Deputati* and *Senato della Repubblica* established a joint database to track all stages of scrutiny of EU documents. The Bulgarian *Narodno sabranie* maintains a database on draft

⁷ German text of Law":<http://www.ris.bka.gv.at/GeltendeFassung.wxe?Abfrage=Bundesnormen&Gesetzesnummer=20007573>

acts and other documents of the EU institutions and the positions of the Bulgarian Government sent to the National Assembly. The UK *House of Commons* and *House of Lords* jointly operate an internal EU scrutiny database. The Polish *Sejm* manages its own "Eudoc" database which includes the governmental positions transmitted to the *Sejm*. The Hungarian *Országgyűlés* also supports the parliamentary scrutiny of EU documents through an internal "EUDOC" database.

Of the Parliaments/Chambers that have **access to government databases**, 17 have access to public documents through this route. In addition 13 Parliaments/Chambers have access to **Limité documents**, three Parliaments/Chambers have access to EU Restricted and two Parliaments/Chambers have access to EU Confidential documents. 13 Parliaments/Chambers have **access to the latest COREPER documents** and latest **Council working group documents** and five have access to **briefing documents and/or instructions for government attachés** through that database.⁸

Case Study: The Lithuanian *Seimas*

The Lithuanian *Seimas* has full access to the government managed EU Information System (LINESIS). LINESIS offers the possibility to search, download and print EU documents and find any related additional information. MPs and parliamentary staff are given free access to the entire government database. For security this is limited by IP address. The Lithuanian *Seimas* has access via this route to documents in the following categories: public, Limité, EU Restricted and EU Confidential. It also has access to the latest COREPER documents, Council working group documents and briefing documents and/or instructions for government attachés in Brussels. It is possible to subscribe and regularly receive by e-mail certain EU documents and government papers (positions, reports from the working groups, non-papers, etc.). The Parliament can also contribute actively to this database and it uploads its own relevant documents (committee conclusions, opinions, resolutions, etc.) regularly to LINESIS.

In addition, the Lithuanian *Seimas* uses and manages its own database which has the possibility to store and up-load parliamentary documents on EU matters. This database has an indirect connection with IPEX (parliamentary documents are not uploaded to the IPEX database, but there are links from IPEX information to the database of the Lithuanian *Seimas*).

Database access

Database access is available in a greater number of Parliaments/Chambers to staff (senior staff of Committees by 16 Parliaments/Chambers, Committee secretariat by 12 Parliaments/Chambers and the National Parliament Permanent Representative by 13 Parliaments/Chambers) than to Members (ten Parliaments/Chambers) and their personal staff (nine Parliaments/Chambers), as would be expected.

In addition to the categories above, databases are often available also to additional staff of national Parliaments/Chambers (e.g. the EU Affairs Department of the Belgian *Chambre des représentants* and the Legal and Political Information Unit of the Parliamentary Research Department of the Lithuanian *Seimas*). In addition to Members and staff of national Parliaments/Chambers, the German *Bundesrat* notes that staff within the regional (*Länder*) authorities also have access to the database. Of the 18 Parliaments/Chambers that have access to their Government database, three

Case Study: The Czech *Poslanecká sněmovna* and *Senát*
Both Czech Chambers have unrestricted access to the Government database which includes the following categories of EU documents: public, Limité and EU Restricted. The Czech Parliament also has access to the government database that contains "instructions for negotiation in the Council working groups and COREPER, the mandates for the deliberation in the Council meetings as well as the negotiation results, and also some framework positions to the important EU initiatives, acts and documents."


⁸ See table 1 on page 10 for more information

Parliaments/Chambers say that the **information contained in the database was also available to the public**. These are the Bulgarian *Narodno sabranie*, the UK *House of Lords* and the Slovenian *Državni zbor* who access only public documents through their Government database. Four other Parliaments/Chambers state that the public have access in part and 11 Parliaments/Chambers answer that the public have no access at all.

When asked whether they have **unrestricted access to the database**, 11 Parliaments/Chambers reply that the database information is freely available to them while seven say that Parliaments/Chambers access is restricted. Those who have unrestricted access to the Government database can mostly only access public documents (UK *House of Lords*) or public and Limité documents (e.g. Italian *Camera dei Deputati*, Bulgarian *Narodno sabranie* and German *Bundestag* and *Bundesrat*). The Italian Government database has restricted access reserved to the Italian Parliament, and regional and local authorities. The Estonian database is available to the public but some documents are restricted to some viewers (access to such documents with an ID card).

1.1.4 Overview of access to documents

Graph 2: Classifications of documents accessible to Parliaments/Chambers




Graph 2 gives an overview of the accessibility of different classifications of Council documents available to national Parliaments/Chambers. It shows that a large majority of Parliaments/Chambers have access to public documents, either as they have been sent by their Government or through a database or both of these routes. A total of 28 Parliaments/Chambers are able to access Limité documents, this amounts to 70% of all national Parliaments/Chambers - a significant proportion. It also shows that a total of 17 out of 40 national Parliaments/Chambers receive EU Restricted documents and eight have access to EU Confidential documents.

Graph 2 shows that even though a number of Parliaments/Chambers have access to the documents by database, **all of them except one are still also sent the documents by their**

Government. This may show that Parliaments/Chambers do not wish to have to filter the large amount of EU information available through a database. If it is the case that the Government also attaches briefing to EU documents it sends, the Parliament/Chamber could more easily identify significant proposals and judge the impact of proposals at a national level.

Graph 3: Categories of documents on Council activities/briefings accessible to Parliaments/Chambers and how accessed



This graph shows that a slightly higher number of Parliaments/Chambers access documents on Council activities including briefing or instructions only through a Government database as compared to those accessing EU documents.

Table one (on the next page) has been collated to give readers the opportunity to easily compare the documents and information available to each national Parliament. It is intended to show the information summarised in this section of the chapter in an easily digestible format.

Table 1: Access to documents (by Parliament/Chamber)

Key: Sent by Govt. – documents sent to Parliaments/Chambers by the Government; Database – access to documents through a Government database; Both – documents sent by the Government and accessed through a Government database.¹ – documents sent automatically by the Government;² – documents have to be requested by the Parliament/Chamber;³ – some documents are sent and others have to be requested.

	Public	Limité	EU Restricted	EU Confidential	EU Secret	EU Top secret	COREPER	Council WG	Briefings
AU <i>Nationalrat + Bundesrat</i> ³	Both	Both	Sent by Govt.	Sent by Govt.	Sent by Govt.	Sent by Govt.	Both	Both	
BE <i>Chambre des représentants</i>	Sent by Govt.	Sent by Govt.					Sent by Govt.		
BE <i>Sénat</i>	Sent by Govt.						Sent by Govt.		
BG <i>Narodno Šořanie</i> ³	Both	Sent by Govt.	Sent by Govt.						
CY <i>Vouli ton Antiprosopon</i> ²	Sent by Govt.	Sent by Govt.							
CZ <i>Pošlanecká sněmovna</i> ³	Both	Sent by Govt.	Sent by Govt.						
CZ <i>Senát</i> ³	Both	Sent by Govt.	Sent by Govt.						
DE <i>Bundestag</i> ¹	Both	Sent by Govt.	Sent by Govt.						
DE <i>Bundesrat</i> ¹	Both	Sent by Govt.	Sent by Govt.						
DK <i>Folketing</i> ³	Sent by Govt.	Sent by Govt.							
EE <i>Riigikogu</i> ³	Both	Sent by Govt.	Sent by Govt.						
EL <i>Vouli ton Ellinon</i> ²	Sent by Govt.	Sent by Govt.							
ES <i>Cortes Generales</i> ²	Sent by Govt.	Sent by Govt.							
FI <i>Eduuskunta</i> ³	Sent by Govt.	Sent by Govt.							
FR <i>Assemblée nationale</i> ¹	Both	Both	Both						
FR <i>Sénat</i> ¹	Both	Both	Both						
HU <i>Országgyűlés</i> ³	Sent by Govt.	Sent by Govt.							
IE <i>Houses of the Oireachtas</i>	Sent by Govt.								
IT <i>Camera dei Deputati</i> ³	Both	Both							
IT <i>Senato della Repubblica</i> ³	Both	Both							
LT <i>Seimas</i> ¹	Both	Both							
LU <i>Chambre des Députés</i> ³	Sent by Govt.								
LV <i>Saeima</i> ³	Database	Database							
MT <i>Kamra tad-Deputati</i> ¹	Sent by Govt.								
NL <i>Tweede Kamer</i> ³	Sent by Govt.								
NL <i>Eerste Kamer</i> ³	Sent by Govt.								
PL <i>Sejm</i> ³									
PL <i>Senat</i> ³									
PT <i>Assembleia da República</i> ³	Sent by Govt.	Sent by Govt.							
RO <i>Camera Deputaților</i> ²	Both								
RO <i>Senatul</i> ²	Sent by Govt.								
SE <i>Riksdag</i> ¹	Sent by Govt.	Sent by Govt.							
SK <i>Národná rada</i> ³	Sent by Govt.	Sent by Govt.							
SL <i>Družavní zbor</i> ¹	Both	Sent by Govt.							
SL <i>Družavní svet</i> ³	Sent by Govt.								
UK <i>House of Commons</i> ³	Sent by Govt.	Sent by Govt.							
UK <i>House of Lords</i> ¹	Both	Sent by Govt.							

1.2 Exchange of information between Parliaments/Chambers

Whilst access to information and documentation from EU institutions has traditionally been important for parliamentary scrutiny, the exchange of information **between** Parliaments is becoming increasingly common and indeed essential, as a result of the strengthened role of Parliaments in the Treaty of Lisbon.

The second section analyses how information published on IPEX⁹ is **accessed and used** by Parliamentary users. Specific focus has been given to the exchange of information on subsidiarity issues and on the political dialogue in order to determine whether IPEX offers value-added information compared to other means of exchanging information.

1.2.1 Use of IPEX

In 36 out of 40 Parliaments/Chambers staff consult IPEX on a daily or rather weekly basis (17 respondents consult it on a daily basis, 19 search the database at least once a week), whereas in others the frequency is between once and twice a month. European Parliament committee staff regularly carry out checks on IPEX, as the database often contains courtesy translations of national Parliament submissions.

When it comes to **Members consulting IPEX**, the picture looks quite different. **In 25** Parliaments/Chambers Members **rarely** consult IPEX, and in three Parliaments/Chambers they never do. In 12 Parliaments/Chambers consultation by Members varies between once a week and once a month. The UK *House of Lords* adds that the Chairman of its EU Select Committee often consults IPEX. The European Parliament, recognising the need to raise awareness about IPEX, foresees **training sessions** on IPEX for Members and their assistants in the near future.¹⁰

Subscription profiles on IPEX provide the possibility to enhance the personalised use of this website. There are different levels of personalised accounts for different categories of users. The kind available to every IPEX user allows saving searches performed in the database.¹¹ Other accounts, with possibilities to update national Parliaments' sections are provided for IPEX Correspondents and staff responsible for uploading data. There are also profiles for national Parliament Representatives in Brussels, to facilitate exchange of information on subsidiarity controls and early warning on scrutinized draft legislative acts.

In most (19) of the responding Parliaments/Chambers there are users besides the IPEX Correspondent with subscription profiles on IPEX. There are eight Parliaments/Chambers with only this one profile, six Parliaments/Chambers has one additional subscription profile and three Parliaments/Chambers declare more than ten profiles: the European Parliament, the Swedish *Riksdag*¹² and the Romanian *Camera Deputaților*.

⁹ IPEX is a webpage designed in 2000 for the exchange of information between the national Parliaments and the European Parliament on EU-issues – <http://www.ipex.eu/IPEXL-WEB/home/home.do>

¹⁰ Training sessions have already taken place also in a number of national Parliaments and a number more are planned.

¹¹ <http://www.ipex.eu/IPEXL-WEB/widgets/download.do?widgetId=082dbcc530d630570130d6f3024d00fa&fileId=082dbcc5312cf97d013147bc06ee0ae0>


¹² According to additional information from the Swedish *Riksdag*, the IPEX Correspondent coordinates work conducted in every parliamentary committee by users with subscription profiles. Every committee is responsible for information on IPEX about scrutiny of EU draft legislative acts which are in their scope of interest.

As the IPEX database is quite frequently consulted by officials in many Chambers/Parliaments, it seems that the use of this tool is independent from the availability of the link to IPEX on the Parliament/Chamber website. **12 Parliaments/Chambers provide links to the IPEX on their website and on the Intranet**. A further 17 provide this link on their website only, and two Parliaments/Chambers declare that the link is available only on the Intranet. Ten out of 41 respondents declare no links to IPEX.¹³

1.2.2 Sources of information from other parliaments

As can be seen in Graph 4, the most commonly used sources of information from other parliaments on the control of subsidiarity and the informal political dialogue are **national Parliament Representatives in Brussels** (25 Parliaments/Chambers use them as a primary source and 12 as a secondary source). **IPEX is the second most commonly used source** with 18 Parliaments/Chambers using it as a primary source and 18 using it as a secondary source.

Graph 4: Sources of information from other Parliaments/Chambers




In the case of the European Parliament, all documents are officially transmitted by national Parliaments to the President of the European Parliament. The President refers these documents to the committees responsible for the subject matter and forwards them for information to the committee responsible for respect of the principle of subsidiarity (Legal Affairs Committee). Concerning the political dialogue, the directorate responsible for relations with national Parliaments transmits all the contributions to the relevant parliamentary committee.

1.2.3 Reliability of IPEX

Regarding the question on reliability of IPEX Parliaments are overall divided into two groups: a small majority of **22 respondents hold the view that IPEX needs improvement, but still is a valuable tool**. 19 out of 41 respondents **consider IPEX reliable or very reliable** (15 and four respectively).

¹³ See appendix for full information on replies giving the response of each Parliament/Chamber (Q5, page 12).

Graph 5: Reliability of IPEX in relation to how much it is used



As can be seen in graph 5 above, all four Parliaments/Chambers that regard IPEX as very reliable also use it as a primary source of information on subsidiarity control. In the group which considers IPEX a reliable source of information there are seven Parliaments/Chambers who use it as a primary source and seven who use it as a secondary source. Respondents who hold the view that IPEX needs improvement, but still is a valuable tool, use IPEX diversely: five as a primary source, nine as a secondary source, five as an occasional source, and three as an *ad-hoc* source.¹⁴

The Parliaments/Chambers that judge the **IPEX database to be very reliable or reliable**, mentioned in their replies that:

- it is an **efficient and the fastest way** to access the official information from other Parliaments/Chambers;
- it provides **clear and easy** access to relevant information regarding EU issues;
- it provides information on the **calendar of interparliamentary activities**; and
- the **new IPEX website** is a great improvement.

Some Parliaments/Chambers voice **concerns**, for example:

- the need for more national Parliaments to **upload information consistently** to the IPEX database in a **timely manner** to promote the proper flow of information;
- the **IPEX symbols** which are not easily recognisable and used incorrectly or ambiguously;
- the need to make **more information available in English or French** (especially translations of reasoned opinions or at least their summaries); and
- the **inconsistency between IPEX figures on reasoned opinions** and those that can be found via other interparliamentary sources of information.

1.2.4 Need for more information about IPEX

On the need to **be better informed on what is available on IPEX and how to access it**, a **majority** of 35 Parliaments/Chambers hold the view that there is **no such need**. Six Parliaments/Chambers have identified a need for more information. The Lithuanian *Seimas*

¹⁴ See appendix for full information on replies giving the response of each Parliament/Chamber (Q3, page 10, Q6, page 12).

wishes to be better informed about *inter alia* the XML project (Extended Mark up Language) and the possibility to participate in the IPEX Roadshow. The European Parliament intends to proactively promote IPEX. An information session with parliamentary committees and policy department staff was held on 3 February 2012 and similar sessions are planned for political groups' staff, Members and their assistants.

1.2.5 Reasoned opinions on IPEX

A large majority of Parliaments/Chambers (32 out of 40) **upload reasoned opinions and opinions under the political dialogue** on the IPEX website either **on the day of adoption or within two days** following adoption. Another six Parliaments/Chambers upload documents within a week following adoption.¹⁵

The European Parliament, for its part, highlights that it has established a database of reasoned opinions and other contributions under Protocol 2 in the form of a table with hyperlinks to IPEX, which is available to Representatives of national Parliaments on the European Parliament intranet pages.

1.2.6 Translations of important decisions

An overwhelming majority of **37 Parliaments/Chambers** state that they **provide translations or summaries** of important decisions in English and/or French on IPEX. The European Parliament, for its part, translates all reasoned opinions into 21 EU languages. The Lithuanian *Seimas* as a rule translates all reasoned opinions into English.

1.2.7 Additional sources or networks used to gather information

Among **additional sources of information** used by Parliaments/Chambers the **COSAC website** and the **ECPRD network** (European Centre for Parliamentary Research and Documentation) are mentioned by 85% (35 out of 41) and 78% (32 out of 41) of respondents respectively. The Belgian *Chambre des représentants* intensively uses the ECPRD network by launching questionnaires or replying to them, consulting the online database and updating the page on parliamentary practice and procedure. The European Parliament, too, is a frequent user of the ECPRD network for matters related to parliamentary practices and procedures, and explains that several high level working groups (on issues such as raising the attractiveness of plenary meetings,¹⁶ security and access to buildings and a code of conduct for Members) have benefited from ECPRD input. The UK *House of Commons* "finds it a valuable (and almost unique) way of quickly finding out the latest position in other countries" and believes that ECPRD conferences have provided useful forums for parliaments to exchange ideas and experiences. 56% (23 of 41) of Parliaments/Chambers name the **European Commission's website on national Parliaments** as a useful additional source of information. The recently established (2011) **European Parliament's database** on reasoned opinions and contributions, which is only available on the European Parliament's intranet, is consulted by 46% (19 out of 41) of Parliaments/Chambers. As national Parliaments can access this database only through their representatives in Brussels, this figure suggests that representatives have promptly started making use of this extra information channel.¹⁷

¹⁵ See appendix for full information on replies giving the response of each Parliament/Chamber (Q9, page 13).

¹⁶ EN Working Group on Improving the Attractiveness of Plenary Sessions

¹⁷ See appendix for full information on replies giving the response of each Parliament/Chamber (Q11, page 14).

11 Parliaments/Chambers indicate that they use a **variety of other sources** of information, too. These include the Representatives in Brussels (Lithuanian *Seimas* and Polish *Senat*), websites of national Parliaments (Polish *Sejm*, Swedish *Riksdag* and European Parliament), the website of the EP Directorate for relations with national Parliaments (Belgian *Chambre des représentants*), the European Parliament website (Czech *Senát* - specifically the Legal Affairs Committee pages for translations of reasoned opinions, the Italian *Senato della Repubblica* and the Polish *Sejm*), the website of the Parliament of the country holding the rotating Presidency (Italian *Senato della Repubblica*), numerous websites on an ad hoc basis (Polish *Sejm*) and contacts and information through delegations to Member States, interparliamentary committee meetings and related questionnaires, bilateral meetings between rapporteurs and videoconferences (European Parliament).

CHAPTER 2: RE-LAUNCH OF THE SINGLE MARKET AND PARLIAMENTS

2.1 Re-launch of the Single Market and Parliaments

In October 2010 the Commission announced a re-launch of the Single Market not only to stimulate much needed economic growth, but also to reinforce citizens' and companies' confidence in the functioning of the Single Market. For this purpose the Commission presented 50 different ideas ranging from increasing European competitiveness in a global market to creating a more business-friendly environment.¹⁸ Following a public consultation on these 50 projects the Commission presented in April 2011, 12 key actions for strengthening the Single Market.¹⁹ The Commission hopes to gain final approval from the European Parliament and the Council of proposals under the 12 key actions before the end of 2012, thereby marking the twentieth anniversary of the Single Market. The "Single Market Act" consists of 12 levers which are each accompanied by a key action, with associated legislative proposals. As can be seen in the background note for the XLVII COSAC Meeting produced by the COSAC Secretariat on "State of play for the 12 key actions of the Single Market Act", the Commission has presented proposals for all of the key actions except the Digital Single Market.²⁰

The second chapter of the 17th Bi-annual Report concentrates on the parliamentary activity around the re-launch of the Single Market. The chapter uses the information provided by Parliaments/Chambers in the answers to the questionnaire, as well as information from the respective relevant websites of the Commission, the European Parliament and IPEX. The chapter begins with a section focusing on the Single Market Act examining, among other things, the Parliaments'/Chambers' positions on the Commission's 12 key actions and their parliamentary activities in relation to the re-launch. The chapter goes on to consider in more detail three concrete areas as they are directly linked to the programme of the XLVII COSAC meeting in Copenhagen in April 2012. These are a Digital Agenda for Europe, the implementation of the Service Directive and a Roadmap to a Resource Efficient Europe.

The XLVII COSAC meeting in Copenhagen could draw on the information in this chapter on the re-launch of the Single Market to inform its debates.

2.1.1 The Single Market Act

20 Parliaments/Chambers replied that they have **considered the Commission Communication on the "Single Market Act"**. The European Parliament was involved in the consultation process, which led to the adoption of the Communication.

¹⁸ "Towards a Single Market Act - For a highly competitive social market economy - 50 proposals for improving our work, business and exchanges with one another" COM (2010) 608 - <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=COM:2010:0608:REV1:EN:PDF#page=2>

¹⁹ "Single Market Act - Twelve levers to boost growth and strengthen confidence" COM (2011) 206 - <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=COM:2011:0206:FIN:EN:PDF>

²⁰ See Background note for the XLVII COSAC Meeting, "State of play for the 12 key actions of the Single Market Act" - <http://www.cosac.eu/en/meetings/denmark2012/plenary/>

Of the 20 Parliaments/Chambers which have considered the Single Market Act, 14 answer that they regard some of the key actions to be more important than others. The table below shows which Parliaments/Chambers prioritised which key actions.

Key Action ²¹	Prioritised by Parliament/Chamber	Additional comments in replies ²²
Revision of the legislative framework for public procurement	DK <i>Folketing</i> European Parliament FR <i>Assemblée Nationale</i> NL <i>Tweede Kamer</i> SE <i>Riksdag</i> UK <i>House of Lords</i>	<p>The UK <i>House of Lords</i>, the Dutch <i>Tweede Kamer</i> and the French <i>Assemblée Nationale</i> call for modernisation of rules applied in public procurement.</p> <p>In a resolution from April 2011 on a Single Market for Enterprises and Growth the European Parliament calls on the Commission to make public procurement procedures more effective and less bureaucratic in order to encourage EU firms to participate in cross-border public procurement; stresses that further simplification is needed especially for local and regional authorities and to allow SMEs greater access to public procurement.²³</p> <p>In a statement on the Commission Communication "Towards a Single Market Act" the Swedish <i>Riksdag</i> request "better regulation in the field of public procurement."</p>
Legislation facilitating access to venture-capital for SMEs across Europe	AU <i>Nationalrat</i> European Parliament FR <i>Assemblée nationale</i> LV <i>Saeima</i> MT <i>Kamra tad-Deputati</i>	<p>The European Parliament is in favour of "removing administrative and fiscal barriers to their [SMEs] cross-border activities by adopting a clearer VAT framework and a common consolidated corporate tax base" in order to stimulate the market for innovative and green technologies.²⁴</p> <p>The Austrian <i>Nationalrat</i> issued an opinion on the Commission's Communication "Towards a Single Market Act" where it among other things calls for priority to "proposals with special attention to SME."</p>
Legislation establishing a unitary patent in the EU	DK <i>Folketing</i> European Parliament LV <i>Saeima</i> SV <i>Riksdag</i> UK <i>House of Lords</i>	<p>The Danish <i>Folketing</i> issued an opinion on the Commission's communication "Towards a Single Market Act" where among other things the European patent was highlighted.</p> <p>Likewise the Swedish <i>Riksdag</i> highlights the importance of an EU patent in their statement.</p> <p>The European Parliament stresses in its resolution on Single Market for Enterprises and Growth that "the creation of the EU patent and of a unified litigation system, as well as an improved system for the management of copyright, is indispensable for innovation and creativity."</p>
Digital Single Market	DE <i>Bundesrat</i> DK <i>Folketing</i> European Parliament FI <i>Eduskunta</i>	<p>The Finnish <i>Eduskunta</i> emphasises copy-rights as a prime objective whereas the Latvian <i>Saeima</i> and the Danish <i>Folketing</i> highlight e-commerce in their answers.</p> <p>In a report based on the preparatory documents for the</p>

²¹ See Background note for the XLVII COSAC Meeting, "State of play for the 12 key actions of the Single Market Act" - <http://www.cosac.eu/en/meetings/denmark2012/plenary/>

²² See Annex for full replies for each Parliament/Chamber

²³ European Parliament resolution of 6 April 2011 on Single Market for Enterprises and Growth - <http://www.europarl.europa.eu/oeil/popups/summary.do?id=1148855&t=d&l=en>

²⁴ European Parliament resolution of 6 April 2011 on Single Market for Enterprises and Growth - <http://www.europarl.europa.eu/oeil/popups/summary.do?id=1148855&t=d&l=en>

	LV <i>Saeima</i> UK <i>House of Lords</i>	Single Market Act the UK <i>House of Lords</i> welcomes efforts to improve consumer confidence particularly in online services. ²⁵ In their answer the German <i>Bundesrat</i> "advocates stipulating one or a small number of signature standards and calls for harmonised use of legal terminology [...] pertaining to provisions on electronic signatures." The European Parliament insists on steps to be taken "to enhance the confidence of businesses and consumers in e-commerce." ²⁶
Energy and transport infrastructure legislation	DK <i>Folketing</i> European Parliament LT <i>Seimas</i> UK <i>House of Lords</i>	The Lithuanian <i>Seimas</i> emphasizes in their answer the need to develop network interconnections for the energy infrastructure and believes that " no Member State should remain isolated from the European gas and electricity networks after 2015 ". In a resolution from April 2011 the European Parliament draws attention to the added value of the TEN-T network as it "provides an efficient framework for the movement of people and goods within the EU." ²⁷
Revision of legislation on a European standards system	AU <i>Nationalrat</i> LV <i>Saeima</i> MT <i>Kamra tad-Deputati</i>	In an opinion on the Commission's Communication "Towards a Single Market Act" the Austrian <i>Nationalrat</i> calls for "priorities in the area of the internal market for services while respecting the special role of public services." ²⁸
Simplification of the accounting directives	MT <i>Kamra tad-Deputati</i> UK <i>House of Lords</i>	The Maltese <i>Kamra tad-Deputati</i> considers reducing the regulatory burden and simplifying the accounting directives as key priorities.
Legislation on alternative dispute resolution	DK <i>Folketing</i> European Parliament NL <i>Tweede Kamer</i>	During a debate in the parliamentary committee on Economy, Agriculture and Innovation of the Dutch <i>Tweede Kamer</i> focus was, among other things, on legislation on alternative dispute resolution. In the opinion of the Danish <i>Folketing</i> the European Affairs Committee called on the Commission to promote among other things an effective dispute settlement mechanism within the digital agenda.
Modernising the legislation on recognition of professional qualifications	European Parliament LV <i>Saeima</i>	In a resolution from April 2011 on a Single Market for Europeans the EP suggests "setting-up a 'mobility scoreboard' to measure mobility within the EU" and "assessing the feasibility and the added value of the EU-wide professional identity card and a 'European skills passport' in 2011." ²⁹

As a general remark, the Finnish *Eduskunta* believes that more attention should be paid to **long term development** in addition to short-time priorities. The Slovenian *Državni zbor* underlines the importance of "the connection between different sectoral policies, which take cross-border tools, including new technologies and the consequences of the globalisation into account"

²⁵ UK *House of Lords*, European Union Committee, 15th Report of Session 2010-11, Re-launching the Single Market - <http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/d201011/ldselect/ldeucom/129/129.pdf>

²⁶ European Parliament resolution of 6 April 2011 on a Single Market for Enterprises and Growth: <http://www.europarl.europa.eu/oeil/popups/summary.do?id=1148855&t=d&l=en>

²⁷ European Parliament resolution of 6 April 2011 on a Single Market for Europeans: <http://www.europarl.europa.eu/sides/getDoc.do?type=TA&language=EN&reference=P7-TA-2011-145>

²⁸ See the questionnaire answers of the Austrian Nationalrat in the Annex to the Report.

²⁹ European Parliament resolution of 6 April 2011 on a Single Market for Europeans: <http://www.europarl.europa.eu/sides/getDoc.do?type=TA&language=EN&reference=P7-TA-2011-145>

The European Parliament holds the view that "each spring session the European Council should be devoted to assessing the state of the Single Market, backed by a monitoring process" and it urges Member States to "reduce the transposition deficit of the Single Market Directives to 0.5% (...) by the end of 2012."³⁰

Moreover, the Danish *Folketing* believes that the Single Market has untapped potential and for that reason welcomes the Commission's plans to launch a comprehensive set of **new proposals for priority actions before the end of 2012**. Furthermore, the Austrian *Nationalrat* and *Bundesrat* emphasises that **citizens' support is needed in order to re-launch the Single Market**.

Some of the key actions in the Single Market Act have also raised concern for some of the Parliaments/Chambers. Against each of the highlighted key actions a list of the concerned Parliaments/Chambers and some of their comments have been collected in the table below.

Key Action	Concerns from Parliament/Chamber	Additional comments in replies ³¹
Legislation to amend the directive on energy taxation	BG <i>Narodno sabranie</i> UK <i>House of Lords</i> PL <i>Sejm</i>	In a report based on the preparatory documents for the Single Market Act the UK <i>House of Lords</i> concluded that the case for tax harmonisation had not been made . The Bulgarian <i>Narodno sabranie</i> issued a reasoned opinion on "the Energy Tax Directive" as the Committee on European Affairs and Oversight of the European Funds "finds it necessary to approach the issue [of taxation] with caution, so that the potential changes do not affect the business environment's predictability, stability and competitiveness negatively".
Modernising the legislation on recognition of professional qualifications	BG <i>Narodno sabranie</i> European Parliament FR <i>Sénat</i>	Regarding mobility of citizens the Bulgarian <i>Narodno sabranie</i> believes that removing the existing restrictions for citizens before 1st January 2014 would reflect positively on the consolidation of the internal market. In a resolution from April 2011 on a Single Market for Europeans the European Parliament calls for a review of transitional provisions in the Member States which are still imposing restrictions on their labour markets in order to open them up to all European workers. Furthermore, it calls for a removal of obstacles encountered by mobile workers in order to ensure the full portability of pension rights . ³²
Social Cohesion	PL <i>Sejm</i>	The Polish <i>Sejm</i> explains that it has reservations about the Commission's idea to amend Directive 96/71/EC on the posting of workers, as the current shape ensures a "reasonable compromise between the protection of the rights of workers and the freedom to provide services".
Legislation creating a European	UK <i>House of Lords</i>	In a report based on the preparatory documents for

³⁰ European Parliament resolution of 6 April 2011 on a Governance and Partnership in the Single Market:
<http://www.europarl.europa.eu/sides/getDoc.do?type=TA&language=EN&reference=P7-TA-2011-144>

³¹ See Annex for full replies for each Parliament/Chamber

³² European Parliament resolution of 6 April 2011 on a Single Market for Europeans:

<http://www.europarl.europa.eu/sides/getDoc.do?type=TA&language=EN&reference=P7-TA-2011-145>

framework for social investment funds		the Single Market Act the UK <i>House of Lords</i> concludes that "actions aimed towards improving social cohesion were best left to Member States". It gives priority according to "an action's potential to drive growth". ³³
---------------------------------------	--	--

12 Parliaments/Chambers out of 30 that replied say that they submitted an opinion under the **political dialogue or adopted another parliamentary document** on the Single Market Act.³⁴ The Austrian *Nationalrat* and the Danish *Folketing* which issued opinions on the Commission Communication "Towards a Single Market Act". The Swedish *Riksdag* made a statement and the UK *House of Lords* produced a report based on the preparatory documents of the Single Market Act. On the Single Market Act itself, the European Parliament, the French *Sénat* and the Slovak *Národná rada* have adopted **resolutions**, while the French *Assemblée nationale* has produced a **report**. The Belgian *Chambre des représentants* and the UK *House of Commons* did not express opinions on the Commission's Communication as a whole but on some of its elements.

The Belgian *Chambre des représentants* and the UK *House of Commons* expressed **concerns with the proposal on a Common Consolidated Corporate Tax Base (CCCTB)**.³⁵ On the CCCTB the Belgian *Chambre des représentants* submitted an opinion in the framework of the political dialogue and the UK *House of Commons* issued a reasoned opinion as did the Dutch *Tweede Kamer*, the Swedish *Riksdag*, the Slovenian *Državni svet*, the Polish *Sejm* and the Bulgarian *Narodno sabranie*.³⁶

Several Parliaments/Chambers underline **the need to improve Member States' transposition and application of EU legislation** to ensure a level playing field for all in the Single Market as the Danish *Folketing* argues.³⁷ Furthermore, the European Parliament writes in a Resolution on Governance and Partnership in the Single Market from April 2011 that "more attention should be paid to the quality and clarity of EU legislation in order to facilitate the implementation of the Single Market rules by the Member States."³⁸

2.1.2 12 Key Actions - fast track legislative procedure

An overwhelming **majority of 37 Parliaments/Chambers have not debated** the Commission's invitation to adopt the key actions of the Single Market Act before the end of 2012 through a fast track legislative procedure. The only three Parliaments/Chambers to have considered this option are the Finnish *Eduskunta*, the Hungarian *Országgyűlés* and the Latvian *Saeima*. The European Parliament is discussing the issue and a first reaction to the Commission's proposal has been addressed to the President of the Commission by the President of the European Parliament. In its resolution of 1 December 2011 on the Outcome of the Single Market Forum

³³ UK *House of Lords*, European Union Committee, 15th Report of Session 2010-11, Re-launching the Single Market - <http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/l201011/lselect/leucom/129/129.pdf>

³⁴ According to the Commission's website on national Parliaments 8 Parliaments/Chambers submitted an opinion within the political dialogue on the Communication "Towards a Single Market Act":

http://ec.europa.eu/dgs/secretariat_general/relations/relations_other/npo/index_en.htm

³⁵ COM (2011) 121 <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=COM:2011:0121:FIN:NL:PDF>

³⁶ See IPEX - <http://www.ipex.eu/IPEXL-WEB/dossier/document/COM20110121FIN.do#dossier-COM20110121>

³⁷ See Background note for the XLVII COSAC Meeting, "State of transposition and enforcement of Single Market directives in the EU Member States" - <http://www.cosac.eu/en/meetings/denmark2012/plenary/>

³⁸ European Parliament resolution of 6 April 2011 on Governance and Partnership in the Single Market -

<http://www.europarl.europa.eu/sides/getDoc.do?type=TA&language=EN&reference=P7-TA-2011-144>

the European Parliament called "on the Commission to put forward all 12 priorities in the Single Market Act by the end of 2011 to enable the Council and the European Parliament, in close cooperation with the Commission, to adopt a first set of priority measures by the end of 2012 to impart new impetus to the Single Market."³⁹

Most Parliaments/Chambers **do not express an opinion on the idea of applying a fast track procedure**, however, three of them have a positive attitude and another three have an overall negative opinion. Those opposing the fast track procedure underline that certain aspects of the key actions need further debate.

Only two Parliaments/Chambers i.e. the Belgian *Chambre des représentants* and the Romanian *Senatul* give the Commission's proposals under the re-launch of the Single Market **a higher priority** in their internal decision-making process.

2.1.3 Parliamentary activity on the re-launch of the Single Market

Regarding parliamentary activity, 28 Parliaments/Chambers indicate that they organised or attended events in relation to the re-launch of the Single Market. Out of these, 15 Chambers/Parliaments answer that their respective Committee(s) organised one or more hearings/meetings on the subject.⁴⁰ The Commissioner for the Internal Market and Services, **Michel Barnier participated in nine of these hearings/meetings** (e.g. Greek *Vouli ton Ellinon*, Czech *Poslanecká sněmovna* and Luxembourg *Chambre des Députés*) and Professor Mario Monti participated in three hearings/meetings (European Parliament, Danish *Folketing* and Italian *Camera dei Deputati*). Some other types of events were also organised such as the Committee on European Affairs of the Bulgarian *Narodno sabranie* who held a discussion with civil society representatives, MPs and MEPs with emphasis on the belief that the Single Market is a "result of the every-day activities of all the European citizens" and not a property of the European institutions.

Several Parliaments/Chambers have indicated that they attended conferences, meetings, hearings etc. on the Single Market, such as e.g. **the Single Market Forum** in Kraków in October 2011 organised by the Polish Presidency jointly with the European Parliament and the European Commission, which brought together businesses, NGOs, trade unions, think tanks, journalists, European institutions and national Parliaments to discuss the functioning of the Single Market (the Portuguese *Assembleia da República* and the UK *House of Lords* among others participated in this event). Prior to this event the European Parliament organised, together with the Belgian Presidency and the European Commission, a similar Single Market Forum in November 2010 in Brussels. The Polish *Sejm* and *Senat* organised together with the European Parliament, in October 2011, a **Joint Committee Meeting on "Re-launching the Single Market: State of play and Challenges Ahead"** in which several Parliaments/Chambers participated.

2.1.4 Digital Agenda for Europe

Although legislative proposals under the Digital Agenda, as a key action within the Single Market Act, have not yet been presented by the Commission, the subject is on the agenda of

³⁹ European Parliament resolution of 1 December 2011 on the Outcome of the Single Market Forum:
<http://www.europarl.europa.eu/sides/getDoc.do?pubRef=-//EP//TEXT+TA+P7-TA-2011-0543+0+DOC+XML+V0//EN&language=EN>

⁴⁰ See appendix for full information on replies giving the response of each Parliament/Chamber (Q1&2, page 19).

the XLVII COSAC meeting in Copenhagen in April 2012. As part of the "Europe 2020 strategy", the Commission presented in May 2010 a 'Digital Agenda for Europe'.⁴¹ 24 out of 40 Parliaments/Chambers have considered this Communication. However, only five Parliaments/Chambers indicated that they have adopted a parliamentary document on it (the Finnish *Eduskunta*, the German *Bundesrat*, the Swedish *Riksdag*, the UK *House of Commons*⁴² and the European Parliament⁴³). The Committee on Transport and Communications of the Swedish *Riksdag* welcomes the Commission's aim at creating "a well-functioning digital single market", but did however stresses that **improvement in areas such as consumer protection and intellectual property** is needed. The Finnish *Eduskunta* expresses the need for EU action to create a Digital Single Market by 2015 and "**to provide businesses and consumers with the means and the confidence to trade on-line**". According to the German *Bundesrat* no additional action is needed "over and above" the existing legal framework when referring to "Strengthening the Single Market for Telecommunication Services".

2.1.5 The implementation of the Services Directive

The implementation of the Services Directive is a part of lever 5, Services, in the Single Market Act and is also on the agenda of the XLVII COSAC meeting in Copenhagen in April 2012. 24 Parliaments/Chambers answered to the questionnaire that they have debated or adopted a parliamentary document on the implementation of the Services Directive.⁴⁴

The Parliaments/Chambers which have debated the implementation of the Services Directive acknowledge the importance of the principles laid down therein. Several have debated the subject, both in committee and in the plenary. The Swedish *Riksdag* explicitly argues that **increased mobility of the service sector would help establish a more competitive EU market** which as a result will boost the economy and create new job opportunities. It further expresses concern that the Directive has not been implemented by all EU Member States and argues that it is essential that all countries do so in order to guarantee equal competition.

Three National Parliaments/Chambers have indicated that the implementation of the Services Directive continues to be a subject for debate in their relevant committees. The European Parliament considers that "the first priority for the creation of a Single Market in Services is the full and complete implementation of the Services Directive in all Member States".⁴⁵

The Lithuanian *Seimas* Committee on European Affairs, following debates on the implementation of the Services Directive which involved NGOs and socio-economic partners, issued an opinion. The *Seimas* supports the broad scope but calls for greater legal certainty for service providers.

⁴¹ A Digital Agenda for Europe COM (2010) 245 -

<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=COM:2010:0245:FIN:PDF>

⁴² <http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201011/cmselect/cmeuleg/428/428i30.htm>

⁴³ European Parliament resolution of 5 May 2010 on a new Digital Agenda for Europe: 2015.eu -

<http://www.europarl.europa.eu/sides/getDoc.do?type=TA&language=EN&reference=P7-TA-2010-133>

⁴⁴ Directive 2006/123/EC on Services in the Internal Market <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2006:376:0036:0068:en:PDF>

⁴⁵ European Parliament resolution of 25 October 2011 on the Mutual Evaluation Process of the Services Directive - <http://www.europarl.europa.eu/sides/getDoc.do?type=TA&language=EN&reference=P7-TA-2011-456>

In its reply to the questionnaire the Finnish *Eduskunta* writes that services account for almost four fifths of the economy and that much has to be done to open up the market for services. It further argues that it is necessary to raise standards of implementation and enforcement in order to achieve mutual recognition across the Single Market.

The Czech *Poslanecká sněmovna* adopted a resolution in which it supports the effective cross border provision of services, the broadest field of action of the Directive, as well as a simplified administration procedure.

Three Parliaments/Chambers explicitly mention the necessity to ensure adequate implementation of the Services Directive (the UK *House of Lords*, the European Parliament and the Lithuanian *Seimas*).

2.1.6 Roadmap to a Resource Efficient Europe

The "Roadmap to a Resource Efficient Europe"⁴⁶ is not a part of the Single Market Act as such, but it is also on the agenda of the XLVII COSAC meeting in Copenhagen in April 2012. 20 Parliaments/Chambers answered that they have considered the Commission Communication "Roadmap to a Resource Efficient Europe". The Romanian *Camera Deputaților* has submitted an opinion in the framework of the political dialogue and five Parliaments/Chambers have adopted parliamentary documents.

Five Parliaments/Chambers explicitly express support for the Commission's Communication. For example the Latvian *Saeima* considers it to be a contribution towards achieving the goals set in the EU 2020 Strategy.

Three Parliaments/Chambers, i.e. the German *Bundesrat*, the Romanian *Camera Deputaților* and the Latvian *Saeima*, indicate however, that the cost of any measure implemented should be proportionate to the benefits offered. Both the Romanian *Camera Deputaților* and the German *Bundesrat* consider the objectives for 2020 in the Communication to be unrealistic or in some cases too ambitious. The German *Bundesrat* further advocates that more account should be taken of ecosystem services and call for considerable reductions in land consumption.

The Romanian *Camera Deputaților* proposes improvements of the "content of the provisions on food, water, fishing and efficient mobility, specifying the need to include a chapter dedicated to supporting and encouraging the SME at a national level for each MS".⁴⁷

The European Parliament is preparing a resolution on resource efficient Europe (rapporteur Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy), the adoption of which is foreseen in May 2012.

Finally, the Dutch *Tweede Kamer* is planning a discussion with the Commissioner responsible for Environment, Janez Potočnik, and European Parliament Rapporteur on Resource Efficient Europe Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy.

⁴⁶ COM (2011) 571 http://ec.europa.eu/environment/resource_efficiency/pdf/com2011_571.pdf

⁴⁷ <http://www.ipex.eu/IPEXL-WEB/scrutiny/COM20110571/rocam.do>

APPENDIX

Parliament/Chamber / parlement/chambre

1) Please enter name of your Parliament/Chamber and contact details / Veuillez entrer le nom de votre Parlement/Chambre et vos coordonnées

Total respondents	41
Respondents who skipped this question	0

Chapter 1 - Information flow to and from Parliaments / Chapitre 1 - Flux d'information vers et depuis les parlements

1) Does your Parliament/Chamber use the documents transferred directly by the European Commission? Votre parlement/chambre utilise-t-il/elle des documents transmis directement par la Commission européenne?

Yes / Oui	85%	34	Austrian <i>Nationalrat/Bundesrat</i> , Belgian <i>Chambre des représentants</i> , Belgen <i>Sénat</i> , Bulgarian <i>Narodno sabranie</i> , Cypriot <i>Vouli ton Antiprosopon</i> , Czech <i>Poslanecká sněmovna</i> , Czech <i>Senát</i> , Danish <i>Folketing</i> , Dutch <i>Eerste Kamer</i> , Dutch <i>Tweede Kamer</i> , Estonian <i>Riigikogu</i> , French <i>Sénat</i> , French <i>Assemblée nationale</i> , German <i>Bundestag</i> , German <i>Bundesrat</i> , Greek <i>Vouli ton Ellinon</i> , Hungarian <i>Országgyűlés</i> , Irish <i>Houses of the Oireachtas: Dáil Eireann</i> (lower Chamber) and <i>Seanad Eireann</i> , Italian <i>Senato della Repubblica</i> , Italian <i>Camera dei Deputati</i> , Lithuanian <i>Seimas</i> , Luxembourg <i>Chambre des Députés</i> , Maltese <i>Kamra tad-Deputati</i> , Polish <i>Senat</i> , Polish <i>Sejm</i> , Portuguese <i>Assembleia da República</i> , Romanian <i>Senatul</i> , Romanian <i>Camera Deputaților</i> , Slovak <i>Národná rada</i> , Spanish <i>Cortes Generales: Congreso de los Diputados</i> (lower Chamber) and <i>Senado de España</i> , Swedish <i>Riksdag</i>
No / Non	3%	1	Latvian <i>Saeima</i>
No – we only use the European Commission documents transferred by the Government / Non, nous n'utilisons que les documents de la Commission européenne qui nous sont transmis par le gouvernement	13%	5	Finnish <i>Eduskunta</i> , Slovenian <i>Državni zbor</i> , Slovenian <i>Državni svet</i> , UK <i>House of Commons</i> , UK <i>House of Lords</i>
Total respondents		40	
Respondents who skipped this question		1	

2) If yes - how are they used? (you may choose more than one) Si oui - quelle usage en faites-vous? (vous pouvez choisir plusieurs options) (Choice - Multiple answers)

Sent to MP / Envoyés à nos députés	66%	23	AU <i>Bundesrat</i> , AU <i>Nationalrat</i> , BE <i>Sénat</i> , CY <i>Vouli ton Antiprosopon</i> , DE <i>Bundestag</i> , ES <i>Cortes Generales: Congreso de los Diputados</i> (lower Chamber) and <i>Senado de España</i> , FI <i>Eduskunta</i> , FR <i>Sénat</i> , HU <i>Országgyűlés</i> , IE <i>Houses of the Oireachtas: Dáil Eireann</i> (lower Chamber) and <i>Seanad Eireann</i> , IT <i>Senato della Repubblica</i> , LT <i>Seimas</i> , LU <i>Chambre des Députés</i> , NL <i>Eerste Kamer</i> , NL <i>Tweede Kamer</i> , PL <i>Sejm</i> , PL <i>Senat</i> , RO <i>Camera Deputaților</i> , RO <i>Senatul</i> , SE <i>Riksdag</i> , SK <i>Národná rada</i>
------------------------------------	-----	----	--

Used as background material for staff / Utilisés comme matériel complémentaire pour le personnel auxiliaire	77%	27	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, BG Narodno sabranie, CY Vouli ton Antiprosopon, CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, CZ Senát, DE Bundestag, DK Folketing, EE Riigikogu, EL Vouli ton Ellinon, ES Cortes Generales: Congreso de los Diputados (lower Chamber) and Senado de España, FI Eduskunta, FR Assemblée nationale, FR Sénat, HU Országgyűlés, IE Houses of the Oireachtas: Dáil Eireann (lower Chamber) and Seanad Eireann, IT Senato della Repubblica, NL Eerste Kamer, NL Tweede Kamer, PL Sejm, PL Senat, RO Camera Deputaților, RO Senatul, SE Riksdag, SK Národná rada
Used to assign metadata / Utilisés pour l'attribution de métadonnées	43%	15	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, DE Bundestag, FI Eduskunta, FR Assemblée nationale, HU Országgyűlés, IE Houses of the Oireachtas: Dáil Eireann (lower Chamber) and Seanad Eireann, IT Senato della Repubblica, LT Seimas, NL Eerste Kamer, NL Tweede Kamer, PL Sejm, PT Assembleia da República, SE Riksdag,
Uploaded to the Parliament/Chamber website / Téléchargés sur le site web du parlement/de la chambre	37%	13	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, BG Narodno sabranie, EE Riigikogu, FI Eduskunta, FR Sénat, IT Senato della Repubblica, MT Kamra tad-Deputati, NL Eerste Kamer, PL Sejm, RO Camera Deputaților, RO Senatul, SE Riksdag
Sent to your national MEPs / Envoyés à nos eurodéputés	6%	2	LU Chambre des Députés, PL Sejm
Other, please specify / Autres, veuillez spécifier	51%	18	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, BE Chambre des représentants, CZ Senát, DE Bundesrat, DE Bundestag, EE Riigikogu, EL Vouli ton Ellinon, ES Cortes Generales: Congreso de los Diputados (lower Chamber) and Senado de España, HU Országgyűlés, IT Camera dei Deputati, IT Senato della Repubblica, LT Seimas, LU Chambre des Députés, MT Kamra tad-Deputati, NL Tweede Kamer, PT Assembleia da República
Total respondents		35	
Respondents who skipped this question		6	

3) How many EU documents (COM, SEC, Council documents, etc.) does your Parliament/Chamber receive from your Government annually? Sur une base annuelle, combien de documents de l'UE (COM, SEC, documents du Conseil, etc.) votre parlement/chambre reçoit-il/elle de votre gouvernement?

0	11%	4	CY Vouli ton Antiprosopon, EL Vouli ton Ellinon, PL Sejm, PL Senat
Less than 50 / Moins de 50	19%	7	BG Narodno sabranie, DK Folketing, LU Chambre des Députés, NL Eerste Kamer, NL Tweede Kamer, SK Národná rada, SL Državni svet
Between 50 – 100 / Entre 50 et 100	5%	2	RO Senatul, SL Državni zbor
Between 100 – 200 / Entre 100 et 200	8%	3	EE Riigikogu, FI Eduskunta, RO Camera Deputaților
Between 200 – 500 / Entre 200 et 500	5%	2	BE Sénat, MT Kamra tad-Deputati
Over 500 / Plus de 500	51%	19	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, CZ Senát, DE Bundesrat, DE Bundestag, ES Cortes Generales: Congreso de los Diputados (lower Chamber) and Senado de España, FR Assemblée nationale, FR Sénat, HU Országgyűlés, IE Houses of the Oireachtas: Dáil Eireann (lower Chamber) and Seanad Eireann, IT Camera dei Deputati, LT Seimas, PT Assembleia da República, SE Riksdag, UK House of Commons, UK House of Lords
Total respondents		37	
Respondents who skipped this question		4	

4) How many EU related documents (notes, background documents, briefs, etc.) produced by your Government does your Parliament/Chamber receive annually? Sur une base annuelle, combien de documents relatifs à l'UE (notes, documentation complémentaire, notes d'information, etc.) rédigés par votre gouvernement votre parlement/chambre reçoit-il/elle?

0	3%	1	BE Sénat
Less than 50 / Moins de 50	13%	5	BG Narodno sabranie, CY Vouli ton Antiprosopon, LU Chambre des Députés, PT Assembleia da Repúblīca, SL Državni svet
Between 50 - 100 / Entre 50 et 100	11%	4	FI Eduskunta, HU Országgyűlés, IT Camera dei Deputati, IT Senato della Repubblica,
Between 100 - 200 / Entre 100 et 200	18%	7	CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, CZ Senát, EE Riigikogu, EL Vouli ton Ellinon, FR Sénat, RO Camera Deputaților, SL Državni zbor
Between 200 - 500 / Entre 200 et 500	29%	11	ES Cortes Generales: Congreso de los Diputados (lower Chamber) and Senado de España, FR Assemblée nationale, LV Saeima, MT Kamra tad-Deputati, NL Eerste Kamer, NL Tweede Kamer, PL Sejm, PL Senat, SE Riksdag, SK Národná rada
Over 500 / Plus de 500	26%	10	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, DE Bundesrat, DE Bundestag, DK Folketing, IE Houses of the Oireachtas: Dáil Eireann (lower Chamber) and Seanad Eireann, LT Seimas, UK House of Commons, UK House of Lords
Total respondents		38	
Respondents who skipped this question		3	

5) Are these documents (see question 4 above) sent automatically by your Government or does your Parliament/Chamber have to request them? Ces documents (voir la question 4 ci-dessus) sont-ils envoyés automatiquement par votre gouvernement ou votre parlement/chambre doit-il/elle les demander?

Government sends them automatically / Le gouvernement les envoie directement	22%	8	DE Bundesrat, DE Bundestag, FR Assemblée nationale, FR Sénat, LT Seimas, MT Kamra tad-Deputati, SL Državni zbor, UK House of Lords
Parliament/Chamber have to request them / Le parlement/la chambre doit les demander	8%	3	CY Vouli ton Antiprosopon, EL Vouli ton Ellinon, RO Camera Deputaților
Both - some documents are sent automatically and others have to be requested / Les deux – certains sont envoyés automatiquement, d'autres doivent être demandés	69%	25	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, BG Narodno sabranie, CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, CZ Senát, DK Folketing, EE Riigikogu, ES Cortes Generales: Congreso de los Diputados (lower Chamber) and Senado de España, FI Eduskunta, HU Országgyűlés, IT Camera dei Deputati, IT Senato della Repubblica, LU Chambre des Députés, LV Saeima, NL Eerste Kamer, NL Tweede Kamer, PL Sejm, PL Senat, PT Assembleia da Repúblīca, RO Senatul, SE Riksdag, SK Národná rada, SL Državni svet, UK House of Commons
Total respondents		36	
Respondents who skipped this question		5	

6) Which of the following categories of EU documents does your Government send to your Parliament/Chamber? (you may choose more than one Quelles sont, parmi les catégories suivantes de documents de l'UE, celles que votre gouvernement envoie à votre parlement/chambre? (vous pouvez choisir plusieurs options) (Choice - Multiple answers)

DOCUMENTS PUBLICS /	100%	37	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, BE Chambre des représentants, BE Sénat,
---------------------	------	----	---

PUBLIC DOCUMENTS			BG Narodno sabranie, CY Vouli ton Antiprosopon, CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, CZ Senát, DE Bundesrat, DE Bundestag, DK Folketing, EE Riigikogu, EL Vouli ton Ellinon, ES Cortes Generales: Congreso de los Diputados (lower Chamber) and Senado de España, FI Eduskunta, FR Assemblée nationale, FR Sénat, HU Országgyűlés, IE Houses of the Oireachtas: Dáil Eireann (lower Chamber) and Seanad Eireann, IT Camera dei Deputati, IT Senato della Repubblica, LT Seimas, LU Chambre des Députés, MT Kamra tad-Deputati, NL Eerste Kamer, NL Tweede Kamer, PT Assembleia da República, RO Camera Deputaților, RO Senatul, SE Riksdag, SK Národná rada, SL Državni svet, SL Državni zbor, UK House of Commons, UK House of Lords
LIMITÉ DOCUMENTS	73%	27	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, BE Chambre des représentants, BG Narodno sabranie, CY Vouli ton Antiprosopon, CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, CZ Senát, DE Bundesrat, DE Bundestag, DK Folketing, EE Riigikogu, EL Vouli ton Ellinon, ES Cortes Generales: Congreso de los Diputados (lower Chamber) and Senado de España, FI Eduskunta, FR Assemblée nationale, FR Sénat, HU Országgyűlés, IT Camera dei Deputati, IT Senato della Repubblica, LT Seimas, PT Assembleia da República, SE Riksdag, SK Národná rada, SL Državni zbor, UK House of Commons, UK House of Lords
RESTREINT UE / EU RESTRICTED DOCUMENTS	46%	17	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, BE Chambre des représentants, CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, CZ Senát, DE Bundesrat, DE Bundestag, DK Folketing, ES Cortes Generales: Congreso de los Diputados (lower Chamber) and Senado de España, FI Eduskunta, FR Assemblée nationale, FR Sénat, LT Seimas, SE Riksdag, SK Národná rada, SL Državni zbor
CONFIDENTIEL UE / EU CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS	19%	7	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, FI Eduskunta, FR Assemblée nationale, LT Seimas, SE Riksdag, SK Národná rada
SECRET UE / EU SECRET DOCUMENTS	5%	2	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat
TRES SECRET UE / EU TOP SECRET DOCUMENTS	5%	2	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat
Total respondents		37	
Respondents who skipped this question		4	

7) Does your Government send one or more of the following documents to your Parliament/Chamber? (you may choose more than one) Votre gouvernement envoie-t-il un ou plusieurs des documents suivants à votre parlement/chambre? (vous pouvez choisir plusieurs options) (Choice - Multiple answers)

The latest COREPER document / Les documents COREPER les plus récents	74%	20	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, BE Sénat, BG Narodno sabranie, CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, CZ Senát, DE Bundesrat, ES Cortes Generales: Congreso de los Diputados (lower Chamber) and Senado de España, FI Eduskunta, FR Assemblée nationale, FR Sénat, HU Országgyűlés, IT Camera dei Deputati, IT Senato della Repubblica, PT Assembleia da República, RO Senatul, SE Riksdag, SK Národná rada, SL Državni svet
The latest Council working group documents / Les documents des groupes de travail du Conseil les plus récents	67%	18	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, BG Narodno sabranie, CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, CZ Senát, DE Bundesrat, ES Cortes Generales: Congreso de los Diputados (lower Chamber) and Senado de España, FI Eduskunta, FR Assemblée nationale, FR Sénat, HU Országgyűlés, IT Camera dei Deputati, IT Senato della Repubblica, PT Assembleia da República, RO Camera Deputaților, SE Riksdag, SK Národná rada
Briefing documents and/or instructions for Government attachés in Brussels / Des briefings et/ou instructions à l'attention des attachés du gouvernement à Bruxelles	52%	14	CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, DE Bundesrat, DE Bundestag, EE Riigikogu, EL Vouli ton Ellinon, ES Cortes Generales: Congreso de los Diputados (lower Chamber) and Senado de España, FR Assemblée nationale, FR Sénat, IE Houses of the Oireachtas: Dáil Eireann (lower Chamber) and Seanad Eireann, RO Camera

			<i>Deputaťilor, SK Národná rada, UK House of Lords</i>
Total respondents			27
Respondents who skipped this question			14

8) Does your Government offer access to a database containing relevant EU information and documents? Votre gouvernement offre-t-il la possibilité d'accéder à une banque de données contenant des informations et de la documentation pertinentes concernant l'UE?

Yes / Oui	45%	18	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, BE Chambre des représentants, BG Narodno sabranie, CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, CZ Senát, DE Bundesrat, DE Bundestag, EE Riigikogu, FR Assemblée nationale, FR Sénat, IT Camera dei Deputati, IT Senato della Repubblica, LT Seimas, LV Saeima, RO Camera Deputaťilor, SL Državni zbor, UK House of Lords
No / Non	55%	22	BE Sénat, CY Vouli ton Antiprosopon, DK Folketing, EL Vouli ton Ellinon, ES Cortes Generales: Congreso de los Diputados (lower Chamber) and Senado de España, FI Eduskunta, HU Országgyűlés, IE Houses of the Oireachtas: Dáil Eireann (lower Chamber) and Seanad Eireann, LU Chambre des Députés, MT Kamra tad-Deputati, NL Eerste Kamer, NL Tweede Kamer, PL Sejm, PL Senat, PT Assembleia da República, RO Senatul, SE Riksdag, SK Národná rada, SL Državni svet, UK House of Commons
Total respondents	40		
Respondents who skipped this question	1		

Information flow to and from Parliaments (database) / Flux d'information vers et depuis les parlements (banque de données)

1) Which of the following categories of EU documents does the database contain? (you may choose more than one) Quelles sont les catégories de documents relatifs à l'UE contenues dans cette banque de données? (vous pouvez choisir plusieurs options) (Choice - Multiple answers)

DOCUMENTS PUBLICS / PUBLIC DOCUMENTS	100%	17	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, BG Narodno sabranie, CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, CZ Senát, DE Bundesrat, DE Bundestag, EE Riigikogu, FR Assemblée nationale, FR Sénat, IT Camera dei Deputati, IT Senato della Repubblica, LT Seimas, LV Saeima, RO Camera Deputaťilor, SL Državni zbor, UK House of Lords
LIMITÉ DOCUMENTS	76%	13	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, CZ Senát, DE Bundesrat, DE Bundestag, EE Riigikogu, FR Assemblée nationale, FR Sénat, IT Camera dei Deputati, IT Senato della Repubblica, LT Seimas, LV Saeima
RESTREINT UE / EU RESTRICTED DOCUMENTS	18%	3	FR Assemblée nationale, FR Sénat, LT Seimas
CONFIDENTIEL UE / EU CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS	11%	2	FR Sénat, LT Seimas
SECRET UE / EU SECRET DOCUMENTS	0%	0	
TRES SECRET UE / EU TOP SECRET DOCUMENTS	0%	0	
Total respondents	17		
Respondents who skipped this question	5		

2) Does the database contain one or more of the following other documents? (you may choose more than one) La banque de données contient-elle un ou plusieurs des autres documents suivants? (vous pouvez choisir plusieurs options) (Choice - Multiple answers)

The latest COREPER documents / Les documents COREPER les plus récents	100%	13	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, CZ Senát, DE Bundesrat, DE Bundestag, EE Riigikogu, FR Assemblée nationale, FR Sénat, IT Camera dei Deputati, IT Senato della Repubblica, LT Seimas, LV Saeima
The latest Council working group documents / Les documents des groupes de travail du Conseil les plus récents	100%	13	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, CZ Senát, DE Bundesrat, DE Bundestag, EE Riigikogu, FR Assemblée nationale, FR Sénat, IT Camera dei Deputati, IT Senato della Repubblica, LT Seimas, LV Saeima
Briefing documents and/or instructions for Government attachés in Brussels / Des briefings et/ou instructions à l'attention des attachés du gouvernement à Bruxelles	38%	5	CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, CZ Senát, FR Sénat, LT Seimas, LV Saeima
Total respondents		13	
Respondents who skipped this question		9	

3) Is the information contained in the database available to the general public? L'information contenue dans la base de données est-elle accessible au grand public? (Choice - Single answer)

Yes / Oui	17%	3	BG Narodno sabranie, SL Državni zbor, UK House of Lords
No / Non	61%	11	BE Chambre des représentants, CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, CZ Senát, DE Bundesrat, DE Bundestag, FR Assemblée nationale, FR Sénat, IT Camera dei Deputati, IT Senato della Repubblica, LV Saeima, RO Camera Deputaților
Partly / En partie	22%	4	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, EE Riigikogu, LT Seimas
Total respondents		18	
Respondents who skipped this question		4	

4) Does your Parliament/Chamber have unrestricted access to the database, or are there areas which are only accessible by the Government? Votre parlement/chambre a-t-il/elle un accès illimité à la banque de données, ou certains domaines ne sont-ils accessibles qu'au gouvernement? (Choice - Single answer)

Free access to all information / Accès libre à toutes les données	61%	11	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, BG Narodno sabranie, CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, CZ Senát, DE Bundesrat, DE Bundestag, IT Camera dei Deputati, LT Seimas, LV Saeima, UK House of Lords
Restricted areas / Accès restreint	39%	7	BE Chambre des représentants, EE Riigikogu, FR Assemblée nationale, FR Sénat, IT Senato della Repubblica, RO Camera Deputaților, SL Državni zbor
Total respondents		18	
Respondents who skipped this question		4	

5) If restricted access, which of the following categories of EU documents does your Parliament/Chamber have access to? (you may choose more than one) En cas d'accès restreint, quelles sont, parmi les catégories suivantes de documents UE, celles qui sont accessibles à votre parlement/chambre? (vous pouvez choisir plusieurs options) (Choice - Multiple answers)

DOCUMENTS PUBLICS / PUBLIC DOCUMENTS	100%	9	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, EE Riigikogu, FR Assemblée nationale, FR Sénat, IT Senato della Repubblica, LT Seimas, RO Camera Deputațiilor, SL Državni zbor
LIMITÉ DOCUMENTS	78%	7	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, EE Riigikogu, FR Assemblée nationale, FR Sénat, IT Senato della Repubblica, LT Seimas
RESTREINT UE / EU RESTRICTED	33%	3	FR Assemblée nationale, FR Sénat, LT Seimas
CONFIDENTIEL UE / EU CONFIDENTIAL	33%	3	FR Assemblée nationale, FR Sénat, LT Seimas
SECRET UE / EU SECRET	0%	0	
TRES SECRET UE / EU TOP SECRET	0%	0	
Total respondents		9	
Respondents who skipped this question		13	

6) Who has access to the database? (you may choose more than one) Qui a accès à la banque de données ? (vous pouvez choisir plusieurs options) (Choice - Multiple answers)

Members / Députés	56%	10	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, BG Narodno sabranie, DE Bundesrat, DE Bundestag, EE Riigikogu, LT Seimas, RO Camera Deputațiilor, SL Državni zbor, UK House of Lords
Members personal staff / Personnel auxiliaire des députés	50%	9	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, BG Narodno sabranie, DE Bundesrat, FR Sénat, LT Seimas, RO Camera Deputațiilor, SL Državni zbor, UK House of Lords
Committee senior staff and Clerks / Chefs de secrétariats de commission	89%	16	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, BG Narodno sabranie, CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, CZ Senát, DE Bundesrat, DE Bundestag, EE Riigikogu, FR Assemblée nationale, FR Sénat, IT Camera dei Deputati, LT Seimas, LV Saeima, RO Camera Deputațiilor, SL Državni zbor, UK House of Lords
Committee secrétaries/assistants / Secrétaire/assistants de commission	67%	12	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, BG Narodno sabranie, CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, DE Bundesrat, EE Riigikogu, FR Assemblée nationale, IT Camera dei Deputati, LT Seimas, RO Camera Deputațiilor, SL Državni zbor, UK House of Lords
National Parliament Permanent Representative in Brussels / Représentant permanent du parlement national à Bruxelles	72%	13	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, BG Narodno sabranie, CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, DE Bundesrat, EE Riigikogu, FR Assemblée nationale, FR Sénat, IT Camera dei Deputati, IT Senato della Repubblica, LV Saeima, RO Camera Deputațiilor, UK House of Lords
Other, please specify / Autres, veuillez spécifier	44%	8	BE Chambre des représentants, DE Bundesrat, EE Riigikogu, IT Camera dei Deputati, IT Senato della Repubblica, LT Seimas, LV Saeima, UK House of Lords
Total respondents		18	
Respondents who skipped this question		4	

7) Please provide a short description of the database including any additional information pertaining to how documents and information are stored and accessed. Veuillez brièvement décrire la banque de données en incluant tout renseignement complémentaire sur les modalités de stockage et d'accès aux documents et informations qu'elle contient. (Open ended text - Essay)

Total respondents	16	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, BE Chambre des représentants, BG Narodno sabranie, CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, CZ Senát, DE Bundesrat, DE Bundestag, EE Riigikogu, FR Sénat, IT Camera dei Deputati, IT Senato della Repubblica, LT Seimas, LV Saeima, RO Camera Deputaților, UK House of Lords
Respondents who skipped this question	6	

Further information / Plus d'informations

1) Please feel free to add any further relevant information or best practice about access to documents provided by your government, EU institutions or via European interparliamentary information networks. N'hésitez pas à ajouter tout autre renseignement pertinent ou meilleur usage concernant l'accès aux documents provenant de votre gouvernement, des institutions européennes ou de réseaux européens d'information interparlementaire. (Open ended text - Essay)

Total respondents	16	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, BE Chambre des représentants, ES Cortes Generales: Congreso de los Diputados (lower Chamber) and Senado de España, HU Országgyűlés, IE Houses of the Oireachtas: Dáil Eireann (lower Chamber) and Seanad Eireann, IT Camera dei Deputati, IT Senato della Repubblica, MT Kamra tad-Deputati, NL Eerste Kamer, PL Sejm, SE Riksdag, SL Državni svet, UK House of Commons
Respondents who skipped this question	25	

Chapter 1, section II. Exchange of information on IPEX / Chapitre 1, section II. Echange d'informations sur IPEX

1) How often do the staff of your Parliament/Chamber consult IPEX in order to access information from other parliaments? Quel est le rythme de consultation du site IPEX par le personnel de votre parlement/chambre pour accéder aux informations fournies par d'autres parlements/chambres? (Choice - Single answer)

Daily / Tous les jours	41%	17	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, BE Chambre des représentants, BG Narodno sabranie, CY Vouli ton Antiprosopon, CZ Senát, EL Vouli ton Ellinon, IE Houses of the Oireachtas: Dáil Eireann (lower Chamber) and Seanad Eireann, IT Camera dei Deputati, IT Senato della Repubblica, MT Kamra tad-Deputati, NL Tweede Kamer, PL Sejm, PT Assembleia da República, RO Camera Deputaților, SL Državni zbor
Once a week / Une fois par semaine	46%	19	BE Sénat, CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, DE Bundesrat, DK Folkeeting, EE Riigikogu, European Parliament, ES Cortes Generales: Congreso de los Diputados (lower Chamber) and Senado de España, FI Eduskunta, FR Assemblée nationale, FR Sénat, HU Országgyűlés, LT Seimas, LU Chambre des Députés, LV Saeima, NL Eerste Kamer, PL Senat, RO Senatul, UK House of Lords
Twice a month / Deux fois par mois	5%	2	DE Bundestag, SE Riksdag
Monthly / Une fois par mois	5%	2	SK Národná rada, SL Državni svet
Rarely / Rarement	2%	1	UK House of Commons
Never / Jamais	0%	0	
Total respondents		41	
Respondents who skipped this question		0	

2) As far as you are aware, how often do Members of your Parliament/Chamber consult IPEX? A votre connaissance, quel est le rythme de consultation du site IPEX par les membres de votre parlement/chambre? (Choice - Single answer)

Daily / Tous les jours	0%	0	
Once a week / Une fois par semaine	5%	2	BE Sénat, LU Chambre des Députés
Twice a month / Deux fois par mois	5%	2	CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, UK House of Lords
Monthly / Une fois par mois	20%	8	DE Bundesrat, ES Cortes Generales: Congreso de los Diputados (lower Chamber) and Senado de España, IT Senato della Repubblica, LT Seimas, MT Kamra tad-Deputati, NL Eerste Kamer, PT Assembleia da República
Rarely / Rarement	63%	25	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, BG Narodno sabranie, CY Vouli ton Antiprosopon, CZ Senát, DE Bundestag, DK Folketing, EL Vouli ton Ellinon, European Parliament, FI Eduskunta, FR Assemblée nationale, FR Sénat, HU Országgyűlés, IE Houses of the Oireachtas: Dáil Eireann (lower Chamber) and Seanad Eireann, IT Camera dei Deputati, LV Saeima, NL Tweede Kamer, PL Sejm, PL Senat, RO Camera Deputaților, RO Senatul, SK Národná rada, SL Državni svet, SL Državni zbor
Never / Jamais	8%	3	EE Riigikogu, SE Riksdag, UK House of Commons
Total respondents		40	
Respondents who skipped this question		1	

3) What are your sources of information from other parliaments on the control of subsidiarity and the political dialogue? Quelles sont vos sources d'information provenant des autres parlements/chambres en ce qui concerne la subsidiarité et le dialogue politique? (Matrix - Multiple answers per row)

	1° source	2° source	Occassional source occasionnelle	Ad-hoc source ad-hoc	None / Néant
IPEX	16 (BE Chambre des représentants, BE Sénat, BG Narodno sabranie, CY Vouli ton Antiprosopon, CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, EL Vouli ton Ellinon, IT Camera dei Deputati, IT Senato della Repubblica, LU Chambre des Députés, LV Saeima, MT Kamra tad-Deputati, PL Sejm, PL Senat, RO Camera Deputaților, RO Senatul, SK Národná rada)	16 (AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, CZ Senát, DE Bundesrat, DE Bundestag, EE Riigikogu, FR Assemblée nationale, FR Sénat, HU Országgyűlés, LT Seimas, NL Eerste Kamer, PT Assembleia da República, SL Državni svet, SL Državni zbor, E1, IE2)	6 (EE Riigikogu, ES Cortes Generales: Congreso de los Diputados (lower Chamber) and Senado de España, FI Eduskunta, SE Riksdag, UK House of Lords)	3 (DK Folketing, NL Tweede Kamer, UK House of Commons)	0
Nat. Parl. Rep. Brussels / Représenta nts des Parlements nationaux à	25 (AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, CY Vouli ton Antiprosopon, CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, CZ Senát, DE Bundesrat, DE	12 (BE Chambre des représentants, BE Sénat, BG Narodno sabranie, ES Cortes Generales: Congreso de los Diputados	2 (RO Camera Deputaților, SE Riksdag)	1 (SK Národná rada)	0

Bruxelles	Bundestag, DK Folketing, EE Riigikogu, EL Vouli ton Ellinon, FI Eduskunta, FR Assemblée nationale, FR Sénat, HU Országgyűlés, IE Houses of the Oireachtas: Dáil Eireann (lower Chamber) and Seanad Eireann, IT Senato della Repubblica, LT Seimas, NL Eerste Kamer, NL Tweede Kamer, PL Senat, PT Assembleia da República, SL Državni zbor, UK House of Commons, UK House of Lords)	(lower Chamber) and Senado de España, IT Camera dei Deputati, LU Chambre des Députés, LV Saeima, MT Kamra tad-Deputati, PL Sejm, RO Senatul, SL Državni svet)			
COSAC	2 (CY Vouli ton Antiprosopon, RO Senatul,)	7 (CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, NL Tweede Kamer, RO Camera Deputačilor, SL Državni svet, SL Državni zbor, UK House of Commons, UK House of Lords)	18 (AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, BE Chambre des représentants, BE Sénat, BG Narodno sabranie, CZ Senát, DE Bundesrat, DE Bundestag, DK Folketing, EL Vouli ton Ellinon, FR Assemblée nationale, FR Sénat, HU Országgyűlés, IT Senato della Repubblica, LT Seimas, LV Saeima, PL Sejm, SK Národná rada)	9 (FI Eduskunta, IE Houses of the Oireachtas: Dáil Eireann (lower Chamber) and Seanad Eireann, IT Camera dei Deputati, LU Chambre des Députés, MT Kamra tad-Deputati, NL Eerste Kamer, PT Assembleia da República, SE Riksdag,)	3 (EE Riigikogu, ES Cortes Generales: Congreso de los Diputados (lower Chamber) and Senado de España)
Bi-lateral contacts bilatéraux	3 (CY Vouli ton Antiprosopon, PL Sejm, SL Državni svet)	5 (DE Bundestag, DK Folketing, FI Eduskunta, RO Senatul, SK Národná rada)	18 (BE Chambre des représentants, BE Sénat, BG Narodno sabranie, CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, EE Riigikogu, ES Cortes Generales: Congreso de los Diputados (lower Chamber) and Senado de España, FR Assemblée nationale, HU Országgyűlés, IT Camera dei Deputati, IT Senato della Repubblica, LT Seimas, LV Saeima, PT Assembleia da República, RO Camera Deputačilor, SL Državni zbor, UK House of Lords)	11 (AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, CZ Senát, DE Bundesrat, EL Vouli ton Ellinon, FR Sénat, IE Houses of the Oireachtas: Dáil Eireann (lower Chamber) and Seanad Eireann, LU Chambre des Députés, MT Kamra tad-Deputati, NL Tweede Kamer, UK House of Commons,)	2 (SE Riksdag, UK House of Commons)
Total respondents					40

Respondents who skipped this question

1

4) Do any staff members (besides the IPEX Correspondent) have subscription profiles on IPEX? A part le correspondant IPEX, y a-t-il d'autres membres de votre personnel qui ont souscrit à un abonnement à IPEX? (Choice - Single answer)

Yes / Oui (1)	15%	6	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, CZ Senát, DK Folketing, LV Saeima, MT Kamra tad-Deputati,
Yes / Oui (2-5)	25%	10	CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, DE Bundestag, ES Cortes Generales: Congreso de los Diputados (lower Chamber) and Senado de España, IE Houses of the Oireachtas: Dáil Eireann (lower Chamber) and Seanad Eireann, IT Senato della Repubblica, LT Seimas, PL Sejm, PT Assembleia da República
Yes / Oui (6-10)	0%	0	
Yes / Oui (>10)	8%	3	European Parliament, RO Camera Deputaților, SE Riksdag
No / Non	20%	8	BG Narodno sabranie, CY Vouli ton Antiprosopon, DE Bundesrat, FR Assemblée nationale, HU Országgyűlés, LU Chambre des Députés, NL Tweede Kamer, SK Národná rada
Total respondents		40	
Respondents who skipped this question		1	

5) Is there a link to IPEX from your Parliament/Chamber website and/or Intranet? Existe-t-il un lien vers IPEX sur le site web de votre parlement/chambre et/ou votre Intranet? (Choice - Single answer)

Yes, on the website of the Parliament/Chamber / Oui, sur le site web du parlement/de la chambre	41%	17	BE Sénat, CY Vouli ton Antiprosopon, CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, CZ Senát, DK Folketing, EE Riigikogu, EL Vouli ton Ellinon, FR Assemblée nationale, HU Országgyűlés, IT Camera dei Deputati, LT Seimas, MT Kamra tad-Deputati, NL Eerste Kamer, PL Sejm, RO Camera Deputaților, SK Národná rada, SL Državni zbor
Yes, on our Intranet / Oui, sur Intranet	5%	2	DE Bundesrat, LV Saeima
Yes, both on the website and on the Intranet / Oui, sur le site web et sur Intranet	29%	12	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, BG Narodno sabranie, European Parliament, FR Sénat, IE Houses of the Oireachtas: Dáil Eireann (lower Chamber) and Seanad Eireann, IT Senato della Repubblica, LU Chambre des Députés, PT Assembleia da República, RO Senatul, SE Riksdag
No / Non	24%	10	BE Chambre des représentants, DE Bundestag, ES Cortes Generales: Congreso de los Diputados (lower Chamber) and Senado de España, FI Eduskunta, NL Tweede Kamer, PL Senat, SL Državni svet, UK House of Commons, UK House of Lords
Total respondents		41	
Respondents who skipped this question		0	

6) To what extent does your Parliament/Chamber consider IPEX a reliable source of information? Dans quelle mesure votre parlement/chambre considère-t-il/elle IPEX comme une source d'information fiable? (Choice - Single answer)

Very reliable / Très fiable	10%	4	BE Chambre des représentants, EL Vouli ton Ellinon, IT Camera dei Deputati, RO Senatul
-----------------------------	-----	---	--

Reliable / Fiable	37%	15	BG Narodno sabranie, CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, EE Riigikogu, European Parliament, FR Assemblée nationale, HU Országgyűlés, IE Houses of the Oireachtas: Dáil Eireann (lower Chamber) and Seanad Eireann, IT Senato della Repubblica, LU Chambre des Députés, LV Saeima, RO Camera Deputaților, SK Národná rada, SL Državni svet, SL Državni zbor
Needs improvement, but still a valuable tool / Susceptible d'amélioration, mais un outil utile	54%	22	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, BE Sénat, CY Vouli ton Antiprosopon, CZ Senát, DE Bundesrat, DE Bundestag, DK Folketing, ES Cortes Generales: Congreso de los Diputados (lower Chamber) and Senado de España, FI Eduskunta, FR Sénat, LT Seimas, LV Saeima, MT Kamra tad-Deputati, NL Eerste Kamer, NL Tweede Kamer, PL Sejm, PL Senat, PT Assembleia da República, SE Riksdag, UK House of Commons, UK House of Lords
Unreliable – cannot be used with accuracy / Pas fiable, insuffisamment précis	0%	0	
Total respondents		41	
Respondents who skipped this question		0	

7) Why? Please give details / Pourquoi? Veuillez détailler (Open ended text - Essay)

Total respondents	33	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, BE Chambre des représentants, BE Sénat, BG Narodno sabranie, CY Vouli ton Antiprosopon, CZ Senát, DE Bundesrat, DE Bundestag, DK Folketing, European Parliament, ES Cortes Generales: Congreso de los Diputados (lower Chamber) and Senado de España, FI Eduskunta, FR Sénat, HU Országgyűlés, IT Camera dei Deputati, IT Senato della Repubblica, LT Seimas, LV Saeima, MT Kamra tad-Deputati, NL Eerste Kamer, NL Tweede Kamer, PL Sejm, PL Senat, PT Assembleia da República, RO Camera Deputaților, RO Senatul, SE Riksdag, SK Národná rada, SL Državni svet, SL Državni zbor, UK House of Lords
Respondents who skipped this question	8	

8) Does your Parliament/Chamber need more information about what information is on IPEX and on how it is accessed? Votre parlement/chambre a-t-il/elle besoin de plus d'informations sur le contenu du site IPEX et la façon d'y accéder? (Choice - Single answer)

Yes / Oui	15%	6	BG Narodno sabranie, DK Folketing, European Parliament, LT Seimas, SL Državni zbor, UK House of Lords
No / Non	85%	35	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, BE Chambre des représentants, BE Sénat, CY Vouli ton Antiprosopon, CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, CZ Senát, DE Bundesrat, DE Bundestag, EE Riigikogu, EL Vouli ton Ellinon, ES Cortes Generales: Congreso de los Diputados (lower Chamber) and Senado de España, FI Eduskunta, FR Assemblée nationale, FR Sénat, HU Országgyűlés, IE Houses of the Oireachtas: Dáil Eireann (lower Chamber) and Seanad Eireann, IT Camera dei Deputati, IT Senato della Repubblica, LU Chambre des Députés, LV Saeima, MT Kamra tad-Deputati, NL Eerste Kamer, NL Tweede Kamer, PL Sejm, PL Senat, PT Assembleia da República, RO Camera Deputaților, RO Senatul, SE Riksdag, SK Národná rada, SL Državni svet, UK House of Commons
Total respondents		41	
Respondents who skipped this question		0	

9) When does your Parliament/Chamber publish reasoned opinions and opinions in relation to the political dialogue on IPEX? A quel moment votre parlement/chambre publie-t-il/elle des avis motivés et des opinions dans le cadre du dialogue politique sur IPEX? (Choice - Single answer)

As soon as they are adopted and signed (same day) / Dès qu'ils/elles sont adopté(e)s et signé(e)s (le jour même)	45%	18	ES <i>Cortes Generales</i> : <i>Congreso de los Diputados</i> (lower Chamber) and <i>Senado de España</i> , FI <i>Eduskunta</i> , FR <i>Assemblée nationale</i> , IE <i>Houses of the Oireachtas</i> : <i>Dáil Eireann</i> (lower Chamber) and <i>Seanad Eireann</i> , IT <i>Camera dei Deputati</i> , LU <i>Chambre des Députés</i> , LT <i>Seimas</i> , LV <i>Saeima</i> , NL <i>Eerste Kamer</i> , PL <i>Sejm</i> , PT <i>Assembleia da Repúbliga</i> , RO <i>Camera Deputaților</i> , RO <i>Senatul</i> , SK <i>Národná rada</i> , SL <i>Državni zbor</i> , UK <i>House of Commons</i>
Within 1 – 2 days of adoption / Un à deux jours suivant l'adoption	35%	14	AU <i>Bundesrat</i> , AU <i>Nationalrat</i> , BE <i>Chambre des représentants</i> , BE <i>Sénat</i> , DE <i>Bundestag</i> , DK <i>Folketing</i> , EE <i>Riigikogu</i> , FR <i>Sénat</i> , HU <i>Országgyűlés</i> , IT <i>Senato della Repubblica</i> , NL <i>Tweede Kamer</i> , PL <i>Senat</i> , SE <i>Riksdag</i> , UK <i>House of Lords</i>
Within a week of adoption / Endéans la semaine suivant l'adoption	15%	6	BG <i>Narodno sabranie</i> , CY <i>Vouli ton Antiprosopon</i> , CZ <i>Poslanecká sněmovna</i> , CZ <i>Senát</i> , DE <i>Bundesrat</i> , EL <i>Vouli ton Ellinon</i>
Between 1 – 2 weeks / Une à deux semaines suivant l'adoption	0%	0	
More than two weeks / Plus de deux semaines suivant l'adoption	3%	1	MT <i>Kamra tad-Deputati</i> ,
Never / Jamais	3%	1	SL <i>Državni svet</i>
Total respondents			40
Respondents who skipped this question			1

10) Does your Parliament/Chamber provide translations or summaries of important decisions (particularly with regard to subsidiarity and the political dialogue) in English and/or French on IPEX? Votre parlement/chambre fournit-il/elle des traductions ou des résumés de décisions importantes (concernant en particulier la subsidiarité et le dialogue politique) en français et/ou en anglais sur IPEX? (Choice - Single answer)

Yes / Oui	90%	37	AU <i>Bundesrat</i> , AU <i>Nationalrat</i> , BE <i>Chambre des représentants</i> , BE <i>Sénat</i> , BG <i>Narodno sabranie</i> , CY <i>Vouli ton Antiprosopon</i> , CZ <i>Poslanecká sněmovna</i> , CZ <i>Senát</i> , DE <i>Bundesrat</i> , DE <i>Bundestag</i> , DK <i>Folketing</i> , EE <i>Riigikogu</i> , EL <i>Vouli ton Ellinon</i> , European Parliament, ES <i>Cortes Generales</i> : <i>Congreso de los Diputados</i> (lower Chamber) and <i>Senado de España</i> , FI <i>Eduskunta</i> , FR <i>Assemblée nationale</i> , FR <i>Sénat</i> , HU <i>Országgyűlés</i> , IE <i>Houses of the Oireachtas</i> : <i>Dáil Eireann</i> (lower Chamber) and <i>Seanad Eireann</i> , IT <i>Camera dei Deputati</i> , IT <i>Senato della Repubblica</i> , LT <i>Seimas</i> , LU <i>Chambre des Députés</i> , LV <i>Saeima</i> , NL <i>Eerste Kamer</i> , NL <i>Tweede Kamer</i> , PL <i>Sejm</i> , PL <i>Senat</i> , PT <i>Assembleia da Repúbliga</i> , RO <i>Camera Deputaților</i> , RO <i>Senatul</i> , SE <i>Riksdag</i> , SL <i>Državni zbor</i> , UK <i>House of Commons</i>
No / Non	10%	4	MT <i>Kamra tad-Deputati</i> , SK <i>Národná rada</i> , SL <i>Državni svet</i> , UK <i>House of Lords</i>
Total respondents			41
Respondents who skipped this question			0

11) Does your Parliament/Chamber gather information from other sources and networks on interparliamentary cooperation? (you may choose more than one) Votre parlement/chambre collecte-t-il/elle des informations sur la coopération interparlementaire provenant d'autres sources ou réseaux? (vous pouvez choisir plusieurs options) (Choice - Multiple answers)

European Centre for Parliamentary Research & Documentation (ECPRD) / Centre européen de recherche et de documentation parlementaires (CERDP)	78%	32	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, BE Chambre des représentants, BE Sénat, BG Narodno sabranie, CY Vouli ton Antiprosopon, CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, DE Bundesrat, DE Bundestag, EE Riigikogu, EL Vouli ton Ellinon, European Parliament, FR Assemblée nationale, HU Országgyűlés, IE Houses of the Oireachtas: Dáil Eireann (lower Chamber) and Seanad Eireann, IT Camera dei Deputati, IT Senato della Repubblica, LT Seimas, LU Chambre des Députés, NL Eerste Kamer, NL Tweede Kamer, PL Sejm, PL Senat, PT Assembleia da República, RO Camera Deputaților, RO Senatul, SE Riksdag, SL Državni svet, SL Državni zbor, UK House of Commons, UK House of Lords
European Commission's website about national Parliaments / Site web de la Commission européenne relatif aux parlements nationaux	56%	23	BE Sénat, BG Narodno sabranie, CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, CZ Senát, DE Bundesrat, DE Bundestag, DK Folkeeting, European Parliament, ES Cortes Generales: Congreso de los Diputados (lower Chamber) and Senado de España, FR Assemblée nationale, FR Sénat, HU Országgyűlés, IE Houses of the Oireachtas: Dáil Eireann (lower Chamber) and Seanad Eireann, LT Seimas, LV Saeima, PL Sejm, PT Assembleia da República, RO Camera Deputaților, SE Riksdag, SK Národná rada, SL Državni svet
Database about national Parliament reasoned opinions and contributions on EP's intranet / Banque de données sur les avis motivés et les contributions des parlements nationaux sur l'intranet du PE	46%	19	BE Sénat, BG Narodno sabranie, CY Vouli ton Antiprosopon, EL Vouli ton Ellinon, European Parliament, ES Cortes Generales: Congreso de los Diputados (lower Chamber) and Senado de España, FI Eduskunta, FR Assemblée nationale, HU Országgyűlés, IE Houses of the Oireachtas: Dáil Eireann (lower Chamber) and Seanad Eireann, IT Camera dei Deputati, IT Senato della Repubblica, LT Seimas, NL Tweede Kamer, RO Senatul, SE Riksdag, UK House of Lords
COSAC website / Site web de la COSAC	85%	35	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, BE Chambre des représentants, BE Sénat, BG Narodno sabranie, CY Vouli ton Antiprosopon, CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, CZ Senát, DE Bundesrat, DK Folkeeting, EE Riigikogu, EL Vouli ton Ellinon, European Parliament, ES Cortes Generales: Congreso de los Diputados (lower Chamber) and Senado de España, FR Assemblée nationale, FR Sénat, HU Országgyűlés, IE Houses of the Oireachtas: Dáil Eireann (lower Chamber) and Seanad Eireann, IT Camera dei Deputati, IT Senato della Repubblica, LT Seimas, LU Chambre des Députés, LV Saeima, MT Kamra tad-Deputati, NL Tweede Kamer, PL Sejm, PL Senat, RO Camera Deputaților, RO Senatul, SK Národná rada, SL Državni svet, SL Državni zbor, UK House of Lords
Other, please specify / Autres, veuillez spécifier	27%	11	BE Chambre des représentants, CZ Senát, DE Bundesrat, EE Riigikogu, European Parliament, IT Senato della Repubblica, LT Seimas, LV Saeima, PL Sejm, PL Senat, SE Riksdag
Total respondents		41	
Respondents who skipped this question		0	

Chapter 2 - Re-launch of the Single Market and Parliaments / Chapitre 2 - Relance du marché unique et parlements

1) Has your Parliament/Chamber considered the Commission communication, "Single Market Act" COM (2011) 206? Votre parlement/chambre a-t-il/elle examiné la communication de la Commission intitulée « Acte pour le marché unique » COM (2011) 206? (Choice - Single answer)

Yes / Oui	50%	20	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, BE Chambre des représentants, BG Narodno sabranie, DE Bundesrat, DK Folkeeting, European Parliament, FI Eduskunta, FR Assemblée nationale, FR Sénat, LT Seimas, LV Saeima, MT Kamra tad-Deputati, NL Tweede Kamer, PL Sejm, SE Riksdag, SK Národná rada, SL Državni zbor, UK House of Commons, UK House of Lords
No / Non	50%	20	BE Sénat, CY Vouli ton Antiprosopon, CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, CZ

			Senát, EE Riigikogu, EL Vouli ton Ellinon, ES Cortes Generales: Congreso de los Diputados (lower Chamber) and Senado de España, HU Országgyűlés, IE Houses of the Oireachtas: Dáil Eireann (lower Chamber) and Seanad Eireann, IT Camera dei Deputati, IT Senato della Repubblica, LU Chambre des Députés, NL Eerste Kamer, PL Senat, PT Assembleia da República, RO Camera Deputaților, RO Senatul, SL Državni svet
Total respondents		40	
Respondents who skipped this question		1	

2) If yes - do you agree with the 12 key actions chosen by the European Commission? Si oui, approuvez-vous les douze actions clés avancées par la Commission européenne ? (Choice - Single answer)

Yes / Oui	64%	9	DK Folketing, FI Eduskunta, FR Assemblée nationale, LT Seimas, LV Saeima, MT Kamra tad-Deputati, PL Sejm, SK Národná rada, SL Državni zbor
No / Non	36%	5	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, BE Chambre des représentants, FR Sénat, UK House of Lords
Total respondents		14	
Respondents who skipped this question		27	

3) If yes - do you consider some of the key actions more important than others and why? Si oui, estimez-vous que certaines de ces actions clés sont plus importantes que d'autres, et pourquoi? (Open ended text - Essay)

Total respondents	14	BE Chambre des représentants, DE Bundestag, DK Folketing, European Parliament, FI Eduskunta, FR Assemblée nationale, LT Seimas, LV Saeima, MT Kamra tad-Deputati, NL Tweede Kamer, PL Sejm, SE Riksdag, SK Národná rada, SL Državni zbor
Respondents who skipped this question	27	

4) If no - why not? Si non, pourquoi? (Open ended text - Essay)

Total respondents	15	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, BE Chambre des représentants, BG Narodno sabranie, CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, CZ Senát, DE Bundesrat, DE Bundestag, EE Riigikogu, ES Cortes Generales: Congreso de los Diputados (lower Chamber) and Senado de España, FR Sénat, HU Országgyűlés, SE Riksdag, UK House of Lords
Respondents who skipped this question	26	

5) Has your Parliament/Chamber submitted an opinion in the framework of the political dialogue or adopted any other parliamentary document (e.g. resolution, report, decision, etc.) on the Single Market Act? Votre parlement/chambre a-t-il/elle émis un avis dans le cadre du dialogue politique, ou a-t-il/elle adopté un autre texte parlementaire (résolution, rapport, décision, etc.) concernant l'Acte pour le marché unique? (Choice - Single answer)

Yes / Oui	40%	12	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, BE Chambre des représentants, BG Narodno sabranie, DE Bundesrat, FI Eduskunta, FR Assemblée nationale, FR Sénat, SE Riksdag, SK Národná rada, UK House of Commons, UK House of Lords
No / Non	60%	18	CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, DE Bundestag, DK Folketing, EE Riigikogu, ES Cortes Generales: Congreso de los Diputados

			(lower Chamber) and <i>Senado de España</i> , HU Országgyűlés, IE Houses of the Oireachtas: Dáil Eireann (lower Chamber) and Seanad Eireann, IT Senato della Repubblica, LU Chambre des Députés, LT Seimas, LV Saeima, MT Kamra tad-Deputati, NL Tweede Kamer, PL Sejm, RO Camera Deputaților, SL Državni zbor
Total respondents		30	
Respondents who skipped this question		11	

6) If yes - what is, in short, the content? Si oui, comment le contenu peut-il se résumer? (Open ended text - Essay)

Total respondents	15	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, BE Chambre des représentants, BG Narodno sabranie, DE Bundesrat, DE Bundestag, DK Folketing, European Parliament, FI Eduskunta, FR Assemblée nationale, FR Sénat, SE Riksdag, SK Národná rada, UK House of Commons, UK House of Lords
Respondents who skipped this question	26	

12 Key Actions - fast track legislative procedure / Douze Actions Clés - procédure législative accélérée

1) Has your Parliament/Chamber debated the European Council's invitation to adopt the 12 key actions before the end of 2012 (through a fast track legislative procedure)? Votre parlement/chambre a-t-il/elle débattu de l'invitation du Conseil à adopter les douze actions clés avant la fin de 2012 (par le biais d'une procédure législative accélérée)? (Choice - Single answer)

Yes / Oui	8%	3	FI Eduskunta, HU Országgyűlés, LV Saeima
No / Non	93%	37	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, BE Chambre des représentants, BE Sénat, BG Narodno sabranie, CY Vouli ton Antiprosopon, CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, CZ Senát, DE Bundesrat, DE Bundestag, DK Folketing, EE Riigikogu, EL Vouli ton Ellinon, ES Cortes Generales: Congreso de los Diputados (lower Chamber) and Senado de España, FR Assemblée nationale, FR Sénat, IE Houses of the Oireachtas: Dáil Eireann (lower Chamber) and Seanad Eireann, IT Camera dei Deputati, IT Senato della Repubblica, LT Seimas, LU Chambre des Députés, MT Kamra tad-Deputati, NL Eerste Kamer, NL Tweede Kamer, PL Sejm, PL Senat, PT Assembleia da República, RO Camera Deputaților, RO Senatul, SE Riksdag, SK Národná rada, SL Državni svet, SL Državni zbor, UK House of Commons, UK House of Lords
Total respondents		40	
Respondents who skipped this question		1	

2) If yes - is your Parliament/Chamber generally positive or negative towards the idea of applying a fast track procedure? Si oui, l'approche générale de votre parlement/chambre à l'application d'une procédure législative accélérée est-elle plutôt positive ou négative? (Choice - Single answer)

Positive	50%	3	FI Eduskunta, HU Országgyűlés, LV Saeima
Negative / Négative	50%	3	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, BG Narodno sabranie
Total respondents		6	
Respondents who skipped this question		35	

3) If negative - why? Si négative, pourquoi? (Open ended text - Essay)

Total respondents	12	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, BG Narodno sabranie, CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, DE Bundesrat, DE Bundestag, ES Cortes Generales: Congreso de los Diputados (lower Chamber) and Senado de España, LV Saeima, RO Senatul, SE Riksdag, SK Národná rada
Respondents who skipped this question	29	

4) Has your Parliament/Chamber given the Commission's proposals under the re-launch of the Single Market higher priority than other Commission proposals in your internal decision-making process? Votre parlement/chambre a-t-il/elle, dans le cadre du processus décisionnel interne, accordé une plus grande priorité aux propositions de la Commission concernant la relance du marché unique qu'à d'autres propositions de la Commission? (Choice - Single answer)

Yes / Oui	6%	2	BE Chambre des représentants, RO Senatul
No / Non	94%	34	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, BE Sénat, BG Narodno sabranie, CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, CZ Senát, DE Bundesrat, DK Folketing, EE Riigikogu, ES Cortes Generales: Congreso de los Diputados (lower Chamber) and Senado de España, FI Eduskunta, FR Assemblée nationale, FR Sénat, HU Országgyűlés, IE Houses of the Oireachtas: Dáil Eireann (lower Chamber) and Seanad Eireann, IT Camera dei Deputati, IT Senato della Repubblica, LT Seimas, LU Chambre des Députés, LV Saeima, MT Kamra tad-Deputati, NL Eerste Kamer, NL Tweede Kamer, PL Sejm, PL Senat, PT Assembleia da República, RO Camera Deputaților, SK Národná rada, SL Državni svet, SL Državni zbor, UK House of Commons, UK House of Lords
Total respondents		36	
Respondents who skipped this question		5	

Parliamentary Activity on the relaunch of the Single Market / Activité parlementaire du relance du marché unique

1) Has your Parliament/Chamber organised or attended conferences, meetings, hearings, etc. on the re-launch of the Single Market? Votre parlement/chambre a-t-il/elle organisé ou participé à des conférences, réunions, auditions publiques, etc. ayant pour thème la relance du marché unique? (Choice - Single answer)

Yes / Oui	68%	28	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, BE Chambre des représentants, BE Sénat, BG Narodno sabranie, CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, CZ Senát, DK Folketing, EL Vouli ton Ellinon, European Parliament, ES Cortes Generales: Congreso de los Diputados (lower Chamber) and Senado de España, FI Eduskunta, FR Sénat, HU Országgyűlés, IE Houses of the Oireachtas: Dáil Eireann (lower Chamber) and Seanad Eireann, IT Camera dei Deputati, IT Senato della Repubblica, LT Seimas, LU Chambre des Députés, LV Saeima, MT Kamra tad-Deputati, PL Sejm, PL Senat, PT Assembleia da República, RO Senatul, UK House of Lords
No / Non	32%	13	CY Vouli ton Antiprosopon, DE Bundesrat, DE Bundestag, EE Riigikogu, FR Assemblée nationale, NL Eerste Kamer, NL Tweede Kamer, RO Camera Deputaților, SE Riksdag, SK Národná rada, SL Državni svet, SL Državni zbor, UK House of Commons
Total respondents		41	
Respondents who skipped this question		0	

2) If yes - please mention these events and their political focus. Si oui, veuillez mentionner les événements concernés et leur enjeu politique. (Open ended text - Essay)

Total respondents	28	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, BE Chambre des représentants, BE Sénat, BG Narodno sabranie, CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, CZ Senát, DK Folkeeting, EL Vouli ton Ellinon, European Parliament, ES Cortes Generales: Congreso de los Diputados (lower Chamber) and Senado de España, FI Eduskunta, FR Sénat, HU Országgyűlés, IE Houses of the Oireachtas: Dáil Eireann (lower Chamber) and Seanad Eireann, IT Camera dei Deputati, IT Senato della Repubblica, LT Seimas, LU Chambre des Députés, LV Saeima, MT Kamra tad-Deputati, PL Sejm, PL Senat, PT Assembleia da República, RO Senatul, UK House of Lords
Respondents who skipped this question	13	

A Digital Agenda for Europe / Un agenda numérique pour l'Europe

1) Has your Parliament/Chamber considered the Commission communication, "A Digital Agenda for Europe" COM (2010) 245? Votre parlement/chambre a-t-il/elle examiné la communication de la Commission intitulée « Un agenda numérique pour l'Europe » COM (2010) 245? (Choice - Single answer)

Yes / Oui	60%	24	BE Chambre des représentants, CZ Senát, DE Bundesrat, DE Bundestag, DK Folkeeting, EL Vouli ton Ellinon, ES Cortes Generales: Congreso de los Diputados (lower Chamber) and Senado de España, FI Eduskunta, FR Assemblée nationale, IE Houses of the Oireachtas: Dáil Eireann (lower Chamber) and Seanad Eireann, IT Senato della Repubblica, LT Seimas, LU Chambre des Députés, LV Saeima, MT Kamra tad-Deputati, NL Eerste Kamer, NL Tweede Kamer, SE Riksdag, SK Národná rada, SL Državni zbor, UK House of Commons, UK House of Lords
No / Non	40%	16	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, BE Sénat, BG Narodno sabranie, CY Vouli ton Antiprosopon, CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, EE Riigikogu, FR Sénat, HU Országgyűlés, IT Camera dei Deputati, PL Sejm, PL Senat, PT Assembleia da República, RO Camera Deputațiilor, RO Senatul, SL Državni svet
Total respondents	40		
Respondents who skipped this question	1		

2) If yes - has your Parliament/Chamber submitted an opinion in the framework of the political dialogue or adopted any other parliamentary document (e.g. resolution, report, decision, etc.) on the Digital Agenda? Si oui, votre parlement/chambre a-t-il/elle émis un avis dans le cadre du dialogue politique, ou a-t-il/elle adopté un autre texte parlementaire (résolution, rapport, décision, etc.) concernant l'agenda numérique ? (Choice - Single answer)

Yes / Oui	15%	4	DE Bundesrat, FI Eduskunta, SE Riksdag, UK House of Commons
No / Non	85%	23	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, BE Chambre des représentants, BG Narodno sabranie, CZ Senát, DE Bundestag, DK Folkeeting, EL Vouli ton Ellinon, ES Cortes Generales: Congreso de los Diputados (lower Chamber) and Senado de España, FR Assemblée nationale, IE Houses of the Oireachtas: Dáil Eireann (lower Chamber) and Seanad Eireann, IT Senato della Repubblica, LT Seimas, LU Chambre des Députés, LV Saeima, MT Kamra tad-Deputati, NL Eerste Kamer, NL Tweede Kamer, SK Národná rada, SL Državni zbor, UK House of Lords
Total respondents	27		
Respondents who skipped this question	14		

3) If yes - what is, in short, the content? Si oui, comment le contenu peut-il se résumer? (Open ended text - Essay)

Total respondents	10	BE Chambre des représentants, BG Narodno sabranie, DE Bundesrat, DE Bundestag, FI Eduskunta, FR Assemblée nationale, IT Senato della Repubblica, LV Saeima, SE Riksdag, UK House of Commons
Respondents who skipped this question	31	

The implementation of the Service Directive (2006/123/EC)/ La mise en œuvre de la Directive Services (2006/123/CE)

1) Has your Parliament/Chamber debated or adopted any parliamentary document (e.g. resolution, report, decision, etc.) on the implementation of the Service Directive? Votre parlement/chambre a-t-il/elle discuté ou adopté un texte parlementaire (résolution, rapport, décision, etc.) sur la mise en œuvre de la Directive sur les services? (Choice - Single answer)

Yes / Oui	60%	24	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, BE Chambre des représentants, BE Sénat, CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, CZ Senát, DE Bundesrat, European Parliament, FI Eduskunta, FR Assemblée nationale, FR Sénat, HU Országgyűlés, IT Camera dei Deputati, IT Senato della Repubblica, LT Seimas, LU Chambre des Députés, LV Saeima, MT Kamra tad-Deputati, NL Eerste Kamer, NL Tweede Kamer, PL Senat, SE Riksdag, SL Državni zbor, UK House of Commons
No / Non	40%	16	BG Narodno sabranie, CY Vouli ton Antiprosopon, DK Folketing, EE Riigikogu, EL Vouli ton Ellinon, ES Cortes Generales: Congreso de los Diputados (lower Chamber) and Senado de España, IE Houses of the Oireachtas: Dáil Eireann (lower Chamber) and Seanad Eireann, PL Sejm, PT Assembleia da República, RO Camera Deputaților, RO Senatul, SK Národná rada, SL Državni svet, UK House of Lords
Total respondents	40		
Respondents who skipped this question	1		

2) If yes - what is, in short, the content? Si oui, comment le contenu peut-il se résumer? (Open ended text - Essay)

Total respondents	25	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, BE Chambre des représentants, BE Sénat, BG Narodno sabranie, CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, CZ Senát, DE Bundesrat, European Parliament, FI Eduskunta, FR Assemblée nationale, FR Sénat, HU Országgyűlés, IT Camera dei Deputati, IT Senato della Repubblica, LT Seimas, LU Chambre des Députés, LV Saeima, MT Kamra tad-Deputati, NL Eerste Kamer, PL Senat, SE Riksdag, SK Národná rada, SL Državni zbor, UK House of Commons
Respondents who skipped this question	16	

Roadmap to a Resource Efficient Europe / Feuille de route pour une Europe efficace dans l'utilisation des ressources

1) Has your Parliament/Chamber considered the Commission communication, "Roadmap to a Resource Efficient Europe" COM (2011) 571? Votre parlement/chambre a-t-il/elle examiné la communication de la Commission intitulée « Feuille de route pour une Europe efficace dans l'utilisation des ressources » COM (2011) 571? (Choice - Single answer)

Yes / Oui	51%	20	CZ Senát, DE Bundesrat, DE Bundestag, DK Folketing, European Parliament, ES Cortes Generales: Congreso de los Diputados (lower Chamber) and Senado de España, FI Eduskunta, IT Camera dei Deputati, IT Senato della Repubblica, LT Seimas, LU Chambre des Députés, LV Saeima, NL Eerste Kamer, NL Tweede Kamer, PL Sejm,
-----------	-----	----	--

			RO Camera Deputațiilor, SE Riksdag, UK House of Commons, UK House of Lords
No / Non	49%	19	BE Chambre des représentants, BE Sénat, BG Narodno sabranie, CY Vouli ton Antiprosopon, CZ Poslanecká sněmovna, EE Riigikogu, EL Vouli ton Ellinon, FR Assemblée nationale, FR Sénat, HU Országgyűlés, IE Houses of the Oireachtas: Dáil Eireann (lower Chamber) and Seanad Eireann, MT Kamra tad-Deputati, PL Senat, PT Assembleia da Repúbliga, RO Senatul, SK Národná rada, SL Državni svet, SL Državni zbor
Total respondents		39	
Respondents who skipped this question		2	

2) If yes - has your Parliament/Chamber submitted an opinion in the framework of the political dialogue or adopted any other parliamentary document (e.g. resolution, report, decision, etc) on the Roadmap to a Resource Efficient Europe? Si oui, votre parlement/chambre a-t-il/elle émis un avis dans le cadre du dialogue politique, ou a-t-il/elle adopté un autre texte parlementaire (résolution, rapport, décision, etc.) sur la Feuille de route pour une Europe efficace dans l'utilisation des ressources ? (Choice - Single answer)

Yes / Oui	26%	6	DE Bundesrat, DE Bundestag, LT Seimas, NL Eerste Kamer, RO Camera Deputațiilor, UK House of Commons
No / Non	74%	17	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, CZ Senát, DK Folketing, EE Riigikogu, ES Cortes Generales: Congreso de los Diputados (lower Chamber) and Senado de España, FI Eduskunta, HU Országgyűlés, IT Camera dei Deputati, IT Senato della Repubblica, LU Chambre des Députés, LV Saeima, NL Tweede Kamer, PL Sejm, SE Riksdag, UK House of Lords
Total respondents		23	
Respondents who skipped this question		18	

3) If yes - what is, in short, the content? Si oui, comment le contenu peut-il se résumer? (Open ended text - Essay)

Total respondents	14	AU Bundesrat, AU Nationalrat, BG Narodno sabranie, DE Bundesrat, FI Eduskunta, IT Senato della Repubblica, LT Seimas, LV Saeima, NL Eerste Kamer, NL Tweede Kamer, RO Camera Deputațiilor, SE Riksdag, UK House of Commons, UK House of Lords
Respondents who skipped this question	27	

DOCUMENTO 3

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE PRESIDENTIAL TROIKA OF COSAC Copenhagen, Denmark, 22 April 2012

PRESENT AT THE MEETING

IN THE CHAIR: Ms Eva KJER HANSEN (*Folketinget*, Denmark).

Mr Andrzej GAŁAŻEWSKI, Ms Alicja OLECHOWSKA, Ms Małgorzata SADURSKA, Mr Andrzej SZTORC (*Sejm*, Poland), Mr Edmund WITTBRODT, Mr Marek ZIÓŁKOWSKI (*Senat*, Poland), Mr Averof NEOFYTOU (*Vouli ton Antiprosopon*, Cyprus), Mr Miguel Ángel MARTÍNEZ MARTÍNEZ and Mr Carlo CASINI (European Parliament).

AGENDA

- 1. Adoption of the agenda of the meeting of the Presidential Troika of COSAC**
- 2. Approval of the draft programme of the XLVII COSAC**
- 3. Presentation of the 17th Bi-annual Report of COSAC**
- 4. Debate on the draft Contribution and Conclusions of the XLVII COSAC**
- 5. Letters received by the Presidency**
- 6. Any other business**

PROCEEDINGS

1. Adoption of the agenda of the meeting of the Presidential Troika of COSAC

The meeting was chaired by Ms Eva KJER HANSEN, Chairman of the European Affairs Committee of the Danish *Folketing*, who welcomed the delegations of the Presidential Troika of COSAC (hereinafter referred to as "the Troika").

The agenda of the meeting of the Troika was adopted without amendment.

2. Approval of the draft programme of the XLVII COSAC

Ms KJER HANSEN highlighted the debates that would be held over the next two days. The guest speakers included the President of the European Commission and three other Commissioners, the Prime Minister of Denmark, and Mr Malcolm HARBOUR, Chair of the Committee for Internal Market and Consumer Protection in the European Parliament. The agenda was focused on the Single Market.

The draft programme of the meeting of the XLVII COSAC was adopted without amendment.

3. Presentation of the 17th Bi-annual Report of COSAC

Ms KJER HANSEN thanked the COSAC Secretariat for their excellent work in producing the 17th Bi-annual Report of COSAC and invited the Permanent Member of the secretariat, Ms Libby KURIEN, to give a short presentation of the Report.

Ms KURIEN explained that the 17th Bi-annual Report examined two questions: a) the extent to which national Parliaments had been involved in the debate on the re-launch of the Single Market; and b) the different practices in national Parliaments relating to access to EU documents and databases made available by governments. She then provided some more details about the content of the two chapters of the Report.

Mr MARTÍNEZ MARTÍNEZ welcomed that all Parliaments/Chambers had responded to the questionnaire, as it showed that all members of COSAC were engaged in the issues. He said that the exercise of producing the report was developing well.

Mr WITTBRODT said that the Report contained useful information. He added that, in future, it may be useful to investigate the reasons why the threshold that would trigger a subsidiarity check had not been reached.

4. Debate on the draft Contribution and Conclusions of the XLVII COSAC

Ms KJER HANSEN said that the Presidency had received amendments from the European Parliament and the Polish *Sejm* in advance of the meeting. If agreeable to the Troika, she would propose a deadline for all other amendments to be tabled by lunchtime on Monday 23 April 2012 in order to give sufficient time for translation, processing the amendments and giving notice to all delegations.

Mr MARTÍNEZ MARTÍNEZ supported this proposal, saying that it should be strictly applied and become normal practice in the future.

5. Letters received by the Presidency

Ms KJER HANSEN suggested that in future this point of the agenda could be dealt with via correspondence before the meeting of the Troika. She reported that the Presidency had received three letters, of which the Troika took note:

- A letter from Ms Ine M. ERIKSEN SØREIDE, Chair of the Standing Committee on Foreign Affairs and Defence and Chair of the European Consultative Committee of the Norwegian *Storting*, asking to participate as a guest in the XLVII COSAC, to which a positive response was sent after consultation with the Troika;
- A letter from Ms Zanda KALNIŅA-LUKAŠEVICA, Chairperson of the European Affairs Committee of the Latvian *Saeima*, regarding a statement from the European Affairs Committees of the Parliaments of Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania and Poland on the Single Market and smart, sustainable and inclusive growth; and
- A letter from Mr Česlovas Vytautas STANKEVIČIUS, Deputy Speaker of the Lithuanian *Seimas*, regarding a statement from the European Affairs Committees of the Parliaments of Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania on the opening of EU accession negotiations with FYROM.

In response to questions from Mr MARTÍNEZ MARTÍNEZ and Mr WITTBRODT, Ms KJER HANSEN said that the letters would be noted but not replied to.

6. Any other business

Ms KJER HANSEN invited the Polish Presidency of the Conference of Speakers of EU Parliaments to report on the outcome of the conference that had been held in Warsaw on 19-21 April 2012.¹

Mr Andrzej GAŁAŻEWSKI said that the conference had discussed a number of topics including the parliamentary scrutiny of CFSP and CSDP, on which he would focus his comments. He was pleased to report that the conference had agreed to hold an Inter-parliamentary Conference on CFSP and CSDP as soon as possible to replace existing meetings of COFACC and CODACC. A number of outstanding issues from the meeting in Brussels in April 2011 had been resolved. The delegation sizes would be six for every national Parliament, 16 for the European Parliament and four for parliaments with observer status. Two years after the first meeting, there would be a review of the conference and if necessary the rules of procedure would be modified.

Mr MARTÍNEZ MARTÍNEZ praised the Polish hosts for their work in finding a compromise. He noted that the Conference would take place either in the country holding the Presidency of the EU Council or at the European Parliament premises. It would be prepared and presided by the national Parliament of the Presidency country with the previous and the next presidencies, in close cooperation with the European Parliament. He said that he may have difficulty explaining the result to the European Parliament but hoped the debate would now rather focus on who would represent the European Parliament.

Mr GAŁAŻEWSKI said that the secretariat of this conference would be independent of the COSAC Secretariat as it would be a separate body. Mr NEOFYTOU announced that the first conference would be hosted by the Cypriot Presidency in the second half of 2012.

In concluding the Troika meeting, Ms KJER HANSEN announced that the COSAC website would be updated as it was necessary to change the platform of the website. This would be done during the Danish Presidency as the website had originally been set up during the 2002 Danish Presidency.

¹ Conference of Speakers of the European Union Parliaments, Presidency Conclusions, 21.04.2012
http://www.parl2011.pl/prezydencja.nsf/attachments/DKUS-8SYGLC/%24File/conclusions_PL_EN_FR.pdf

DOCUMENTO 4

FOLKETINGET



Plenary meeting of the COSAC Réunion plénière de la COSAC

Copenhagen 22-24 April 2012
Copenhague 22-24 avril 2012

**List of Participants
Liste des participants**

MEMBER STATES/ETATS MEMBRES

Presidency/Présidence

Denmark/ Danemark

Danish Parliament/Parlement danois/Folketinget

Ms Eva KJER HANSEN, Chairman of the European Affairs Committee
(The Liberal Party – ALDE)

Mr Benny ENGELBRECHT, Vice-chairman of the European Affairs Committee
(The Social Democrats – S&D)

Mr Jens JOEL, Member of the European Affairs Committee
(The Social Democrats – S&D)

Ms Sofie CARSTEN NIELSEN, Member of the European Affairs Committee
(The Social Liberal Party – ALDE)

Ms Merete RIISAGER, Member of the European Affairs Committee
(Liberal Alliance)

Ms Lene ESPERSEN, Member of the European Affairs Committee
(The Conservative Party – EPP)

Ms Pernille DELEURAN, Head of International Division

Mr Mongin FORREST, EU Coordinator

Mr Morten KNUDSEN, Principal EU Adviser

Mr Thomas FICH, Senior EU Adviser

Ms Iben SCHACKE, EU Adviser

Ms Signe RIIS ANDERSEN, Clerk to the European Affairs Committee

Mr Thomas SARUP, Permanent representative of the Folketing to the EU

Ms Louise JUUL, Danish Folketing Representative to the COSAC Secretariat

MS Birgitte WERN, Head of Interpretation

Austria/Autriche

National Council/Conseil national/Nationalrat

Mr Fritz NEUGEBAUER, Second President of the National Council,
Chairman of the Standing subcommittee on EU Affairs
(ÖVP- Austrian People's Party – EPP)

Ms Christine MUTTONEN, Vice Chairperson of the Standing subcommittee
on EU Affairs
(Social Democratic Party of Austria – S&D)

Mr Johannes HUEBNER, Vice Chairman of the Standing subcommittee on
EU Affairs
(Freedom Party)

Mr Wolfgang PIRKLHUBER, Member of delegation
(Green Party – GUE/NGL)

Ms Elisabeth KAUFMANN-BRUCKBERGER, Member of delegation
(Observer)

(BZOE-Alliance for the Future of Austria)

Ms Katharina STOURZH, Head of the Office of the Chairman

Federal Council/Conseil federal/Bundesrat

Mr Edgar MAYER, Chairman of the EU Committee
(ÖVP-Austrian People's Party – EPP)

Mr Stefan SCHENNACH, Deputy Chairman of the EU Committee
(Social Democratic Party of Austria – S&D)

Mr Gerhard KOLLER, Head of European Relations Division

Mr Georg MAGERL, Permanent Representative of the Austrian Parliament to
the EU

Mr Florian STEININGER, Political Group Adviser

Belgium/Belgique

*House of Representatives/Chambre des représentants/Kamer van
volksvertegenwoordigers*

Mr Herman DE CROO, Former Speaker, Minister of State, Member of the
European Affairs Committee
(Flemish Liberal Democrats – ALDE)

Ms Christiane VIENNE, Member of the European Affairs Committee
(Frenchspeaking Socialist Party – S&D)

Mr Peter LUYKX, Member of the European Affairs Committee
(Flemish Democratic Nationalist Party – Greens/EFA)

Mr Carlos DEMEYERE, Official

Senate/Sénat/Senaat

Ms Marie-Aline STACANOV, Representative of the Belgian Senate to the EP
Mr Tim DE BOND'T, Advisor

Bulgaria/Bulgarie

National Assembly/Assemblée nationale/Narodno Sabranie

Ms Monika PANAYOTOVA, Chairperson of the Committee on European Affairs and Oversight of the European Funds
(GERB – EPP)

Mr Vladmir TOSHEV, Vice-Chairperson of the Committee on European Affairs and Oversight of the European Funds
(GERB party – EPP)

Mr Dzhevdet CHAKAROV, Vice-Chairperson of the Committee on European Affairs and Oversight of the European Funds
(Movement for Rights and Freedoms – ALDE)

Ms Silvia HUBENOVA, Member of the Committee on European Affairs and Oversight of the European Funds
(GERB party – EPP)

Ms Meglena PLUGTSCHIEVA, Vice-Chairperson of the Committee on European Affairs and Oversight of the European Funds
(Coalition for Bulgaria – S&D)

Mr Svetlin TANCHEV, Member of the Committee on European Affairs and Oversight of the European Funds
(GERB – EPP)

Mr Martin KOJINKOV, Expert at the Committee on European Affairs and Oversight of the European Funds

Mr Valentin DELCHEC PORIAZOV, Ambassador

Ms Anna ASENOVA, Permanent Representative of the National Assembly of the Republic of Bulgaria to the European Parliament

Cyprus/Chypre

House of Representatives/Chambre des représentants/Vouli ton Antiprosopon

Mr Averof NEOFYTOU, Chairman of the House Standing Committee on Foreign and European Affairs
(Democratic Rally Party, DISY – EPP)

Mr Christos MESSIS, Member of the House Standing Committee on Foreign and European Affairs
(AKEL - Left New Forces – GUE/NGL)

Mr Demetris SYLLOURIS, Member of the House Standing Committee on Foreign and European Affairs
(European Party)

Mr Fidias SARIKAS, Member of the House Standing Committee on Foreign and European Affairs
(Movement of Social Democrats, EDEK – S&D)

Mr Sophoclis FYTTIS, Member of the House Standing Committee on Foreign and European Affairs
(Democratic Party, DIKO)

Ms Hara PARLA, International Relations' Officer A

Ms Maria SOTERIOU, European Affairs' Officer A

Ms Vassiliki ANASTASSIAOU, Director, Parliamentary Committees Service

Ms Christiana FRYDA, Permanent Representative of the House of Representatives at the European Parliament/Member of the COSAC Secretariat

Ms Mary SAVVA, Permanent Representative and Member of COSAC
Secretariat for the House of Representatives of the Republic of Cyprus

Czech Republic/République tchèque

Chamber of Deputies/Chambre des députés/Poslanecka Sněmovna

Mr František NOVOSAD, Vice-chairman, Committee for European Affairs
(Czech Social Democratic Party – S&D)

Mr Josef ŠENFELD, Vice-chairman, Committee for European Affairs
(Communist Party of Bohemia and Moravia – GUE/NGL)

Mr Viktor PAGGIO, Member of the Committee for European Affairs
(Public Affairs)

Ms Lenka MOZGOVÁ, Head of the Secretariat of the Committee for
European Affairs

Ms Klára URBANOVÁ, Permanent Representative to the European
Parliament

Senate/Sénat/Senat

Mr Luděk SEFZIG, Chairman of the Committee on EU Affairs
(Civic Democratic Party – ECR)

Mr Miroslav KREJČA, Deputy Chairman of the Committee on EU Affairs
(Czech Social Democratic Party – S&D)

Ms Adéla ŠUCHMANOVÁ, Head of the EU Unit

Mr Jan GRINC, Advisor to the Committee on EU Affairs

Estonia/Estonie

Parliament/Parlement/Riigikogu

Mr Taavi RÕIVAS, Chairman of the EU Affairs Committee
(Estonian Reform Party – ALDE)

Mr Jüri RATAS, Vice-president of the Riigikogu, member of the European
Union Affairs Committee
(Estonian Center Party – ALDE)

Mr Rannar VASSILJEV, Member of the EU Affairs Committee
(Social Democratic Party – S&D)

Mr Tõnis KÕIV, Member of the EU Affairs Committee
(Estonian Reform Party – ALDE)

Ms Siret NEEVE, Counsellor of the EU Affairs Committee

Ms Malle KUULER, Representative in Brussels

Finland/Finlande

Parliament/Parlement/Eduskunta

Ms Mietta KUMPULA-NATRI, Chair of the Grand Committee
(Social Democratic Parliamentary Group – S&D)

Ms Anne LOUHELAINEN, Member of the Grand Committee
(The Finns Party Parliamentary Group – EFD)

Ms Astrid THORS, Member of the Grand Committee
(Swedish Parliamentary Group – ALDE)

Mr Jouko SKINNARI, Member of the Grand Committee
(Social Democratic Parliamentary Group – S&D)

Mr Kimmo TIILIKAINEN, Member of the Grand Committee
(Centre Party Parliamentary Group – ALDE)

Mr Sauli AHVENJÄRVI, Member of the Grand Committee
(Christian Democratic Parliamentary Group – EPP)

Ms Anna SORTO, Counsel to the Grand Committee

Ms Pia NIEMINEN, Representative for EU Affairs Brussels

France

National Assembly/Assemblée nationale

Mr Christophe CARESCHE, Député membre de la commission des affaires européennes
(Socialiste, radical, citoyen et divers gauche – S&D)

Mr Yves BUR, Député membre de la commission des affaires européennes
(Union pour un Mouvement Populaire – PPE)

Mr Guy CHAUVIN, Chef de division commission des affaires européennes

Mr Edouard MICHEL, Chef du bureau de liaison de l'AN, Bruxelles

Senate/Sénat

Mr Simon SUTOUR, Président de la commission des affaires européennes
(Parti Socialiste – S&D)

Ms Catherine MORIN-DESAILLY, Vice-président de la commission des affaires européennes
(Nouveau Centre - PPE)

Mr Jean BIZET, Vice-président de la commission des affaires européennes
(Union pour un Mouvement Populaire – PPE)

Mr François SICARD, Chef de service de la commission des affaires européennes

Germany/Allemagne

Federal Diet/Diète fédérale/Bundestag

Mr Gunther KRICHBAUM, Chairman of the Committee on the Affairs of the European Union
(Christian Democratic – EPP)

Mr Dietmar NIETAN, Member of Parliament
(Social Democratic – S&D)

Ms Lisa PAUS, Member of Parliament
(Green – Greens/EFA)

Mr Michael STÜBGEN, Member of Parliament
(Christian Democratic – EPP)

Ms Miriam DENKINGER, Secretariat of the Committee on the Affairs of the European Union

Ms Heike BADDENHAUSEN, Secretariat of the Committee on the Affairs of the European Union

Federal Council/Council fédéral/Bundesrat

Mr Peter FRIEDRICH, Chairman of the Committee on European Union Questions
(SPD – S&D)

Mr Rainer ROBRA, Member of the Committee on European Union Questions
(CDU – EPP)

Mr Andreas VEIT, Deputy Head of the Secretariat of the Committee on EU Questions

Greece/Grece

Hellenic Parliament/Parlement hellénique/Vouli ton Ellinon

Ms Eleni KONSTANTINIDOU, Head of the European Union Department

Hungary/Hongrie

National Assembly/Assemblée nationale/Országgyűlés

Mr Richárd HÖRCSIK, Chairman of Committee on EU Affairs
(Fidesz – EPP)

Mr Matyas FIRTL, Vice-Chairman of Committee on EU Affairs
(KDNP – EPP)

Mr Krisztian KOVACS, Head of EU Department

Ms Zsuzsanna DÓCZY, Advisor to Committee on EU Affairs

Mr Ferenc SZEBÉNYI, Ambassador

Ms Katalin SZALÓKI, Permanent Representative

Ireland/Irlande

House of Representatives/Chambre des représentants/Dáil Eireann

Mr Dominic HANNIGAN, Chairman of the Joint Committee on European Union Affairs
(Labour – S&D)

Mr Paschal DONOHOE, Vice-Chairman of the Joint Committee on European Union Affairs
(Fine Gael – EPP)

Mr Colm KEAVENY, Member of the Joint Committee on European Union Affairs
(Labour – S&D)

Mr Timmy DOOLEY, Member of the Joint Committee on European Union Affairs
(Fianna Fáil – ALDE)

Senate/Sénat/Seanad Eireann

Ms Fidelma HEALY EAMES, Member of the Joint Committee on European Union Affairs
(Fine Gael – EPP)

Ms Kathryn REILLY, Member of the Joint Committee on European Union Affairs
(Sinn Féin – GUE/NGL)

Parliament/Parlement/Tithe an Oireachtas

Ms Elaine GUNN, Deputy Director of Committees

Mr John HAMILTON, Clerk to the Joint Committee on European Union Affairs

Mr Derek DIGNAM, National Parliament Representative

Italy/Italie

Chamber of Deputies/Chambre des députés/Camera dei Deputati

Mr Enrico FARINONE, Vice-President
(Democratic Party – S&D)

Mr Nicola FORMICHELLA, Member of Parliament
(The People of Freedom – EPP)

Mr Nunziante CONSIGLIO, Member of the European Union Policy Committee
(North League – EFD)

Ms Livia MINERVINI, Head of secretariat

Ms Rita Siria DE VITIS, Official (EU Affairs Department)

Senate/Sénat/Senato della Repubblica

Ms Rossana BOLDI, Chairperson of the EU Policies Committee
(North League – EFD)

Mr Raffaele FANTETTI, Member of the EU Policies Committee
(The People of Freedom – EPP)

Ms Francesca Maria MARINARO, Member of the EU Policies Committee
(Democratic Party – S&D)

Mr Giovanni BAIOCCHI, Head of Office

Mr Davide CAPUANO, Official of the Bureau for relations with EU institutions

Latvia/Lettonie

Saeima/Diet/Diete

Ms Zanda KALNINA-LUKAŠEVICA, Chairperson of the EU Affairs Committee
(Zatlors Reform Party)

Mr Atis LEJINĀS, deputy chairman of the EU Affairs Committee
(Unity – EPP)

Mr Ģirts OSTROVSKIS, Adviser to the EU Affairs Committee

Ms Simona MEGNE, Representative of the Saeima to the EU

Lithuania/Lituanie

Parliament/Parlement/Seimas

Mr Česlovas Vytautas STANKEVIČIUS, Chairman, Committee on European Affairs
(Homeland Union - Lithuanian Christian Democrat Political Group – EPP)

Mr Petras AUŠTREVIČIUS, Deputy Chairman, Committee on European Affairs
(Liberals Movement Political Group – ALDE)

Mr Gediminas KIRKILAS, Member, Committee on European Affairs
(Lithuanian Social Democratic Party Political Group – S&D)

Ms Birutė VĖSAITĖ, Member, Committee on European Affairs
(Lithuanian Social Democratic Party Political Group – S&D)

Mr Petras LUOMANAS, Member, Committee on European Affairs
(Homeland Union - Lithuanian Christian Democrat Political Group – EPP)

Mr Julijus GLEBOVAS, Adviser, Committee on European Affairs

Luxembourg/Luxembourg

Chamber of Deputies/Chambre des députés

Mr Ben FAYOT, Member of Parliament
(Luxembourg Socialist Workers Party – S&D)

Mr Eugène BERGER, Member of Parliament
(Democratic Party – ALDE)

Mr Felix BRAZ, Member of Parliament
(Green Party – The Greens/EFA)

Mr Fernand BODEN, Member of Parliament
(Christian Social People's Party – EPP)

Mr Gast GIBERYEN, Member of Parliament
(Democratic Reform Party – ECR)

Ms Isabelle BARRA, Deputy Secretary General

Malta/Malte

House of Representatives/Chambre des représentants/Kamra tad-Deputati

Mr Francis ZAMMIT DIMECH, Chairman of the Standing Committee of the Foreign and European Affairs

(PN – EPP)

Mr Luciano BUSUTTIL, Member of the Standing Committee on Foreign and European Affairs
(PL – S&D)

Ms Eleanor SCERRI, Representative of the Parliament of Malta to the European Parliament and European Institutions

Netherlands/Pays-Bas

House of Representatives/Chambre des représentants/Tweede Kamer der Staten-Generaal

Mr Raymond DE ROON, Member, European Affairs Committee
(Party for Freedom – NI)

Mr Peter VAN KESSEL, Deputy Clerk of the European Affairs Committee

Senate/Sénat/Eerste Kamer der Staten-Generaal

Mr Nico SCHRIJVER, Deputy chairman of the Standing Committee for European Cooperation
(Labour Party – S&D)

Mr David RIJKS, Adviser to the Standing Committee for European Cooperation

Mr Hans SPROKKREEFF, Ambassador

Ms Caroline KEULEMANS, Permanent Representative of the Dutch Parliament in Brussels

Poland/Pologne

Sejm/Sejm/Sejm

Mr Andrzej GAŁAŻEWSKI, Vice-Chairman of the EU Affairs Committee
(Civic Platform – EPP)

Ms Alicja OLECHOWSKA, Vice-Chairwoman of the EU Affairs Committee
(Civic Platform – EPP)

Mr Andrzej SZTORC, Vice-Chairman of the EU Affairs Committee
(Polish People's Party – EPP)

Mr Małgorzata SADURSKA, Member of the EU Affairs Committee
(Law and Justice – ECR)

Mr Adam DUDZIC, Deputy Director of the International Affairs Bureau

Ms Kaja KRAWCZYK, Head of Unit of the EU Division

Ms Joanna HEGER, Official

Ms Magdalena SKRZYŃSKA, Polish Sejm Chancellery Representative to the EU

Ms Maria GÓRSKA, Polish Sejm Chancellery Representative to the COSAC Secretariat

Senate/Sénat/Senat

Mr Edmund WITTBRODT, Chairman of the European Union Affairs Committee
(Civic Platform – EPP)

Mr Marek ZIÓŁKOWSKI, Member of the European Union Affairs Committee, Chairman of the National Economy Committee
(Civic Platform – EPP)

Ms Lidia SPYRKO VEL ŚMIETANKO, Senior Secretary of the EU Affairs Committee

Ms Magdalena SKULIMOWSKA, Permanent Representative of the Polish Senate Chancellery to the EU

Mr Wojciech KUŹMA, Polish Senate Chancellery Representative to the COSAC Secretariat

Portugal

Assembly of the Republic/Assemblée de la République/Assembleia da Repúbliga

Mr Paulo MOTA PINTO, Chairman of the Committee on European Affairs
(Social Democratic Party (PSD) – EPP)

Mr Alberto COSTA, Member of Parliament
(Socialist Party – S&D)

Mr António RODRIGUES, Member of Parliament
(Social Democratic Party (PSD) – EPP)

Mr Carlos SÃO MARTINHO, Member of Parliament
(Social Democratic Party (PSD) – EPP)

Mr Honório NOVO, Member of Parliament
(Communist Party – GUE/NGL)

Mr Vitalino CANAS, Member of Parliament
(Socialist Party – S&D)

Ms Ana VARGAS, Legal Advisor

Mr Bruno PINHEIRO, Permanent Representative of the Portuguese
Parliament to the EU

Romania/Roumanie

Chamber of Deputies/Chambre des députés/Camera Deputațiilor

Mr Tudor CHIUARIU, Member of the European Affairs Committee
(National Liberal Party – ALDE)

Mr Dan MATEI, Head of the European Affairs Committee Secretariat

Senate/Sénat/Senatul

Mr Vasile NEDELCU, Chairman of the EU Affairs Committee
(Independent)

Mr Almos ÁLBERT, Member of the EU Affairs Committee
(Democratic Alliance of Hungarians in Rumania – EPP)

Ms Dobrin PETRONELA, Head of European Affairs Division

Mr Georgiana MANOLE, Coordinator Councillor

Slovakia/Slovaquie

National Council/Conseil national/Národná rada

Mr Luboš BLAHA, Chairman of the Committee on European Affairs
(Direction - Social Democracy – S&D)

Mr Andrej KOLESÍK, Vice-chairman of the Committee on European Affairs
(Direction - Social Democracy – S&D)

Mr Jozef VISKUPIČ, Member of the Committee on European Affairs
(Ordinary People and Independent Personalities)

Mr Dušan MATULAY, Director of the Foreign and European Affairs Division

Mr Radomír BOHÁC, ambassador

Slovenia/Slovenie

National Assembly/Assemblée nationale/Državni zbor

Mr Roman JAKIČ, Chair of the Committee on European Affairs
(Positive Slovenia)

Ms Iva DIMIC, Member of the Committee on European Affairs
(New Slovenia – EPP)

Mr Matevž FRANGEŽ, Member of the Committee on European Affairs
(Social Democrats – S&D)

Ms Polonca KOMAR, Member of the Committee on European Affairs
(Citizen's Alliance of Gregor Virant)

Mr Zvonko BERGANT, Secretary of the Committee on European Affairs

Ms Romana NOVAK, Permanent representative to the European Parliament

National Council Conseil national//Državni svet

Mr Vincenc OTONIČAR, Chairman of the Commission for International
Relations and European Affairs

Mr Dušan ŠTRUS, Adviser

Spain/Espagne

Congreso de los Diputados / Congress of Deputies / Congrès des Députés

Mr Gerardo CAMPS, Chairperson of the Joint Committee for the European
Union
(People's Party – EPP)

Mr Juan MOSCOSO DEL PRADO, Member of the Congress of Deputies,
Member of the Joint Committee for the European Union
(Socialist Party – S&D)

Senado/Senate/Sénat

Ms Carlota RIPOLL JUAN, Member of the Joint Committee for the European
Union
(People's Party – EPP)

Mr Joan SABATÉ, Member of the Joint Committee for the European Union
(Catalan Socialist Party – S&D)

Mr Iñaki ANASAGASTI, Member of the Joint Committee for the European
Union
(Basque Parliamentary Group – ALDE)

Mr Fernando GALINDO, Legal Advisor

Ms Carmen DOMÍNGUEZ, Administrative Secretary

Ms Carmen SÁNCHEZ-ABARCA, Permanent Representative of the Spanish
Parliament to the European Union

Sweden/Suède

Parliament/Parlement/Riksdagen

Mr Carl B. HAMILTON, Chair of the Committee of European Union Affairs
(The Liberal Party – ALDE)

Ms Marie GRANLUND, Vice-Chair of the Committee of European Union Affairs
(The Social Democratic Party – S&D)

Mr Börje VESTLUND, Member of Parliament
(The Social Democratic Party – S&D)

Ms Caroline SZYBER, Member of Parliament
(The Christian Democrats – EPP)

Mr Lars OHLY, Member of Parliament
(The Left Party – GUE/NGL)

Ms Susanna HABY, Member of Parliament
(The Moderate Party – EPP)

Ms Margareta HJORTH, Head of Secretariat, Committee of European Union Affairs

Ms Karin NORDSTRÖM, Deputy Secretary, Committee of European Union Affairs

Ms Tuula ZETTERMAN, National Parliament Representative

United Kingdom/Royaume-Uni

House of Commons/Chambre des Communes

Mr William CASH, Chairman of the European Scrutiny Committee
(Conservative – ECR)

Ms Nia GRIFFITH, Member of the European Scrutiny Committee
(Labour – S&D)

Mr Crispin POYSER, Principle Clerk

Ms Sarah DAVIES, Committee Clerk

Mr Edward BEALE, National Parliament Representative to the EU

House of Lords/Chambre des Lords

Lord John ROPER, Chairman of the European Union Committee
(Non-Affiliated)

Baroness Valerie HOWARTH, Member of the European Union Committee
(Independent)

Lord Lyndon HARRISON, Member of the European Union Committee
(Labour – S&D)

Mr Jake VAUGHAN, Clerk of the European Union Committee

Mr Duncan SAGAR, National Parliament Representative to the EU

European Parliament/Parlement européen

Mr Miguel Ángel MARTÍNEZ MARTÍNEZ, Vice-president of the European Parliament

(Partido Socialista Obrero Español – S&D)

Mr Carlo CASINI, Chairman of the Constitutional Affairs Committee
(Unione dei Democratici cristiani e dei Democratici di Centro – EPP)

Ms Margrete AUKEN, Member of the Environment, Public Health and Food Safety Committee
(Socialistisk Folkeparti – The Greens/EFA)

Ms Evelyne GEBHARDT, Member of the Internal Market and Consumer Protection Committee
(Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands – S&D)

Sir Graham WATSON, Substitute of the Constitutional Affairs Committee
(Liberal Democrats Party – ALDE)

Mr Paulo RANGEL, Member of the Constitutional Affairs Committee
(Partido Social Democrata – EPP)

Ms Christine VERGER, Director, Directorate for Relations with National Parliaments

Mr Francisco GÓMEZ MARTOS, Acting Head of the Institutional Cooperation Unit - Directorate for Relations with National Parliaments

Mr Henrik Gerner HANSEN, Acting Head of the EP Office in Denmark

Mr François NÉMOZ-HERVENS, Administrator - Secretariat EP Committee on Constitutional Affairs

Ms Beatrice SCARASCIA MUGNOZZA, Head of Service - EPP group

Mr Peter REICHERT, Administrator - S&D group

Mr Willem VANDEN BROUCKE, Head of Unit - ALDE group

Ms Petra PROSSLINER - Political Adviser/Administrator - The Greens/EFA group

Mr Joakim FRANTZ, Political adviser - ELDR

Mr Andrea SPINOSO, Assistant to Chairman Mr Carlo CASINI

COSAC Secretariat/Secrétariat de la COSAC

Ms Libby KURIEN, Permanent member of the COSAC Secretariat

Ms Christiana FRYDA, Permanent representative of the Cypriot House of Representatives to the EU

Ms Mary SAVVA, Permanent Representative and Member of COSAC Secretariat for the House of Representatives of the Republic of Cyprus

Mr André DE MUNTER, COSAC secretariat member, European Parliament

Ms Magdalena SKRZYŃSKA, Polish Sejm Chancellery Representative to the EU

Ms Maria GÓRSKA, Polish Sejm Chancellery Representative to the COSAC Secretariat

Ms Magdalena SKULIMOWSKA, Permanent representative of the Polish Senate Chancellery to the EU

Mr Wojciech KUŹMA, Polish Senate Chancellery Representative to the COSAC Secretariat

Mr Thomas SARUP, Permanent representative of the Danish Folketing to the EU

Ms Louise JUUL, Danish Folketing Representative to the COSAC Secretariat

ACCEDING COUNTRY/PAYS ADHÉRENT

Croatia/Croatie

Croatian Parliament/Parlement de Croatie/Hrvatski sabor

Mr Daniel MONDEKAR, Chairman of the European Integration Committee
(SDP – Social Democratic Party of Croatia - S&D)

Ms Martina DALIC, Member of the European Integration Committee
(HDZ – Croatian Democratic Union - EPP)

Ms Tanja VRBAT, Member of the European Integration Committee
(SDP – Social Democratic Party of Croatia - S&D)

Ms Tatjana BRISKI, Secretary of the European Integration Committee

CANDIDATE COUNTRIES/PAYS CANDIDATS

Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia - FYROM/Ancienne République Yougoslave de Macédoine - ARYM

National Assembly/Assemblée nationale/Sobranie

Mr Hajrula MISINI, Chair of the Committee on European Affairs
(Democratic Union of Integration)

Ms Stanislava GOGOVSKA CULIC, Assistant of the Committee of European Affairs

Iceland/Islande

Parliament/Parlement/Althingi

Mr Árni Thór SIGURDSSON, Chair of the Foreign Affairs Committee
(Left-Green Movement)

Mr Árni Páll ÁRNASON, Vice-Chair of the Foreign Affairs Committee
(Social Democratic Alliance)

Mr Stigur STEFÁNSSON, Advisor to the Foreign Affairs Committee

Montenegro/Montenegro

Parliament/Parlement/Skupština Crne Gore

Mr Miodrag VUKOVIĆ, Chairman of the Committee on International Relations and European Integration
(Democratic Party of Socialists)

Mr Vasilije LALOŠEVIĆ, Vice-chairman of the Committee on International Relations and European Integration
(Socialist People's Party)

Mr Raško KONJEVIĆ, Member of the Committee on International Relations and European Integration
(Social Democratic Party)

Mr Andrej ORLANDIĆ, Adviser of the Committee on International Relations and European Integration

Serbia/Serbie

National Assembly/Assemblée nationale/Naradna Skupština

Ms Gordana ČOMIĆ, Vice-Speaker, Member of European Integration Committee
(Democratic Party (DS))

Ms Aleksandra JOVANOVIĆ, Senior Adviser, European Integration Department

Ms Marija BARLOVIC, Embassy of the Republic of Serbia in Denmark

Turkey/Turquie

Grand National Assembly of Turkey/Grande Assemblée nationale de Turquie/Türkiye Büyük Millet Meclisi

Mr Mehmet TEKELİOĞLU, Chair of the EU Harmonisation Committee
(Justice and Development Party (AK Parti))

Mr Faruk IŞIK, Member of the EU Harmonisation Committee
(Justice and Development Party (AK Parti))

Mr Ahmet Kenan TANRIKULU, Secretary Member of the EU Harmonisation Committee
(Nationalist Movement Party (MHP))

Mr Alper Emre AYDILEK, Secretary of the EU Harmonisation Committee

OTHER PARTICIPANTS/AUTRES PARTICIPANTS

European Commission/Commission européenne

Mr José Manuel BARROSO, President of the European Commission
Ms Neelie KROES, Vice-president of the European Commission and Commissioner for the Digital Agenda
Mr Michel BARNIER, Commissioner for Internal Market and Services
Mr Janez POTOČNIK, Commissioner for Environment
Ms Michelle SUTTON, Member of Cabinet of President Barroso
Mr Henning KLAUS, Member of Cabinet of President Barroso
Ms Pia AHRENKILDE HANSEN, Spokesperson of President Barroso
Mr Constantijn VAN ORANJE, Member of Cabinet of Commissioner Kroes
Ms Kristin SCHREIBER, Deputy Head of Cabinet of Commissioner Barnier
Mr William NEALE, Member of cabinet of Commissioner Potocnik
Mr Jens NYMAND-CHRISTENSEN, Director, Secretariat General
Ms Carmen PREISING, Head of Unit
Mr Jan HØST SCHMIDT, Head of European Commission Representation in Denmark
Mr Martin ASTRÖM, Head of Information, Commission Representation in Denmark
Mr Ulrik MOGENSEN, Political Reporter, European Commission Representation in Denmark

Council of the European Union/Conseil de l'Union européenne

Ms Marta ARPIO SANTACRUZ, Director
Mr Olivier SEGNANA, Administrator

Norway/Norvège

Norwegian Parliament/Parlement de Norvège/Storting

Mr Svein Roald HANSEN, Vice-Chair, Standing Committee on Foreign Affairs And Defence
(Labour Party)
Mr Per NESTANDE, Senior Advisor
Mr Christian HALAAS LYSTER, Embassy of Norway in Denmark

Speakers/Orateurs

Mr Mogens LYKKETOFT, Speaker of the Danish Parliament
(The Social Democrats – S&D)

Ms Eva KJER HANSEN, Chairman of the European Affairs Committee
(The Liberal Party – ALDE)

Ms Helle THORNING-SCHMIDT, Prime Minister of Denmark
(The Social Democrats – S&D)

Mr José Manuel BARROSO, President of the European Commission

Mr Michel BARNIER, Commissioner for Internal Market and Services

Mr Malcolm HARBOUR, Chairman of the Internal Market and Consumer Protection Committee
(Conservative Party – ECR)

Mr Janez POTOČNIK, Commissioner for Environment

Ms Neelie KROES, Vice-president of the European Commission and
Commissioner for the Digital Agenda

DOCUMENTO 5

CONTRIBUTION OF THE XLVII COSAC Copenhagen, 22-24 April 2012

1. Re-launching the Single Market

- 1.1. COSAC recognises that for the last 20 years the Single Market has been a key driving force in European integration that has facilitated free movement of goods, persons, services and capital and stimulated economic growth and employment in Europe as well as in other parts of the world.
- 1.2. The Single Market, together with the social cohesion policies and democratic participation of people, is an essential element in fighting the effects of the current financial and economic crisis within the "Europe 2020 strategy". COSAC therefore welcomes the European Commission's Single Market Act, which seeks to revitalise the Single Market that has a key role to play in fostering growth and employment in Europe.
- 1.3. COSAC notes that the political consideration of initiatives in this field may raise substantive discussions in national Parliaments and the European Parliament.
- 1.4. COSAC believes that the 12 priority key actions of the Single Market Act will be crucial to support growth and employment in Europe.
- 1.5. COSAC therefore urges the Council and the European Parliament to bring the 12 priority key actions of the Single Market Act to a successful conclusion as soon as possible, without prejudice to the principle of subsidiarity, with the aim of creating new momentum and dynamism in the European economy.
- 1.6. COSAC however notes that the Single Market still has untapped potential and therefore welcomes the Commission's plan to further deepen the Single Market by taking stock of progress achieved with the Single Market Act before the end of 2012 with the aim of launching a comprehensive set of new proposals for priority actions.
- 1.7. COSAC calls on national Parliaments and the European Parliament to consider how to improve citizens' confidence and consumer opportunities in the Single Market and make them more aware of the opportunities it offers to them.
- 1.8. COSAC underlines the importance of the social dimension of the Single Market with the overall objective of achieving a strong Single Market that is socially, economically and environmentally sustainable.

2. A Single Market for Services

- 2.1. COSAC emphasises that a well-functioning Single Market in services is an important prerequisite for generating growth and jobs in Europe. COSAC therefore calls on all EU Member States to ensure full and complete implementation of the Services Directive.

- 2.2. COSAC furthermore welcomes the Commission's proposal to extend an improved European standardisation system to services and thereby making standardisation procedures more effective, efficient, timely and inclusive.
- 2.3. COSAC looks forward to the presentation of the Commission's report on the Services Directive in June at the European Council.

3. Sustainable growth and green economy

- 3.1. COSAC welcomes the EU's objective of promoting the transition to a resource efficient and green economy in Europe. COSAC supports the creation of a sustainable Single Market through the development of an inclusive, low-carbon, green knowledge-based economy.
- 3.2. COSAC welcomes the Commission's roadmap to a resource efficient Europe which builds on many existing instruments such as waste legislation, green public procurement, research programmes and eco-design, and calls for continuous actions on ambitious and comprehensive EU energy policy, targeted at the creation of the integrated energy infrastructure and single energy market, which takes into account the resources and economic situation of each Member State.

4. The Digital Single Market

- 4.1. COSAC underlines the need to complete a truly single and secure Digital Market by 2015, estimated by the Commission to be worth 110 billion Euros a year, to facilitate cross border use of on-line services, secure on-line payments and introduce an effective dispute settlement mechanism.
- 4.2. In order to deploy the full potential of the digital economy COSAC calls on the Commission and Member States to ensure a rapid implementation of the Commission's action plan on e-commerce to remove the obstacles which slow down development of Europe's Internet economy.
- 4.3. COSAC looks forward to the submission of a new proposal on e-signature and on agreement of rules on online dispute resolution and on roaming by June 2012.

5. Transposition and enforcement

- 5.1. COSAC welcomes the Commission's 2011 "Governance Check-up" that for the first time presents an integrated view of the various tools used in a "Single Market governance cycle", including the internal Market Scoreboard, Solvit annual report and "Your Europe" website. However COSAC notes that there is a need for closer monitoring of the application of Single Market legislation. COSAC therefore welcomes the Commission's commitment to continue improving governance aspects of the Single Market with the aim of reducing the number of infringements proceedings.

- 5.2. COSAC calls on Member States to improve their transposition and application of EU legislation to ensure a level playing field for all in the Single Market. COSAC regrets that 16 Member States did not meet the 1% transposition deficit target in 2011 set by the European Council. COSAC therefore supports the Commission's efforts in trying to get Member States to bring down the transposition deficit below the 1% target and reduce transposition delays.
- 5.3. COSAC takes note of the numerical targets limiting the transposition and compliance deficit for national legislation to 0.5%, as outlined by the Commission in its communication on the Single Market Act.
- 5.4. COSAC welcomes that the Commission will present a report to the European Council in June on possible means to enhance the implementation of Single Market legislation and improve its enforcement.
- 5.5. COSAC calls for an intensified dialogue on how to improve Member States' implementation and enforcement of Single Market legislation.

6. Proposal for strengthening the political dialogue with the European Commission

- 6.1. COSAC urges the Commission to develop further the existing political dialogue between national Parliaments and the Commission. In particular it would be desirable to strengthen this dialogue on the European Semester and on the enforcement and implementation of Single Market rules.
- 6.2. COSAC considers that interparliamentary cooperation between national Parliaments and the European Parliament constitutes one of the essential instruments in the process of exchanging best practices at the European level. For example recent meetings on the European Semester have allowed the development of this cooperation in the field of budgetary, economic and employment policies within the EU.
- 6.3. Regarding the European Semester it could be envisaged that a Member of the Commission or by agreement a senior official appears before the competent committee of national Parliaments to respond to questions on the Commission's Annual Growth Survey or the Commission's Country-Specific Recommendations that are made on the basis of the National Reform Programmes and the Stability and Convergence Programmes submitted by Member States.
- 6.4. COSAC calls on the Commission to give national Parliaments the opportunity to submit enquiries in writing to the Commission on legislative proposals and consultation documents (including the European Semester) and on the enforcement and implementation of Single Market rules.
- 6.5. COSAC believes that the opportunity (under the political dialogue) for national Parliaments to feed views into the pre-legislative phase of the EU proposals is particularly important and therefore invites the Commission to alert national Parliaments to all public consultations when they are launched and to make public contributions received from

national Parliaments regarding consultation documents. COSAC notes the important role of national Parliaments in forming Member States' opinion on EU affairs.

- 6.6. COSAC invites the Commission to make contributions received from national Parliaments public under a specific heading of the Commission website where the results of consultation are summarised. COSAC also invites the Commission to ensure that, where appropriate, national Parliament contributions on consultations are explicitly referred to in the explanatory memorandum accompanying any subsequent Commission proposals. Such reference would highlight the particular value of contributions from national Parliaments within the framework of the political dialogue.

DOCUMENTO 6

Amendments to the draft contribution of the XLVII COSAC Copenhagen, 22-24 April 2012

Point 6 : add in the title :

6. Proposal for strengthening the political dialogue between national Parliaments, the European Parliament and the European Commission

6.1. Add in the paragraph:

COSAC urges the Commission to develop further the existing political dialogue between national Parliaments, the European Parliament and the Commission (the rest of the text remains unchanged)

6.1.BIS: Add a new paragraph:

Considers that inter-parliamentary cooperation established by the European Parliament and national Parliaments constitutes one of the essential instruments in the process of democratic scrutiny at European level in the framework of the European Semester, on the basis of experience acquired at the inter-parliamentary meetings in 2011 and 2012, which allowed for the initiation of the development of this cooperation in the field of budgetary, economic and employment policies within the EU.

6.3. Replace at the beginning of the paragraph "COSAC" by:

National Parliaments' Members of the COSAC call on the Commission to give national Parliaments the opportunity.... (the rest of the text remains unchanged)

6.4. Replace at the beginning of the paragraph "COSAC" by:

National Parliaments' Members of the COSAC believe that the opportunity (under the political dialogue) for national Parliaments to feed views into the pre-legislative phase of the EU proposals is particularly important and therefore invite the Commission to alert national Parliaments to all public consultations when they are launched and to make public contributions received from national Parliaments regarding consultation documents.

6.5. Replace at the beginning and in the paragraph "COSAC" by:

National Parliaments' Members of the COSAC invite the Commission to make contributions received from national Parliaments public under a specific heading of the Commission website where the results of consultation are summarised. National Parliaments' Members of the COSAC also invite the Commission to ensure that, where appropriate, national Parliament contributions on consultations are explicitly referred to in the explanatory memorandum accompanying any subsequent Commission proposals. Such reference would highlight the particular value of contributions from national Parliaments within the framework of the political dialogue.

Additional amendments to the draft contribution of the XLVII COSAC
Copenhagen, 22-24 April 2012

1.2 bis : Add an additional paragraph

COSAC believes that the twelve priority key actions of the Single Market Act, including in particular the revision of the EU's public procurement rules will be crucial to support growth and employment in Europe.

1.5 bis : Add an additional paragraph

COSAC underlines that the social dimension of the Single Market still trails behind its economical integration. COSAC is of the view that the social dimension of the single market needs to be taken into account as well as the impact of the legislation on it.

5.1. Modify as follows:

COSAC welcomes the Commission's 2011 "Governance Check-Up", that for the first time presents an integrated view of the various tools used in a "Single market governance cycle", including the Internal Market Scoreboard, the Solvit Annual Report, "Your Europe" website etc. (The rest of paragraph unchanged)

5.2 bis : Add an additional paragraph:

COSAC takes note of the numerical targets limiting the transposition and compliance deficit for national legislation to 0.5% for the transposition deficit, and 0.5% for the compliance deficit, as outlined by the European Commission in its communication on the "Single Market Act".

DOCUMENTO 7

Draft Contribution of the XLVII COSAC - amendment

3.2.COSAC welcomes the Commission's roadmap to a resource efficient Europe which builds on many existing instruments such as waste legislation, green public procurement, research programmes and eco-design, and calls for an ambitious and comprehensive EU Energy policy *which takes into account the resources and economic situation of each Member State.*

Andrzej Gałażewski

Head of the Delegation
Sejm of the Republic of Poland

DOCUMENTO 8

Amendments to the draft contribution of the 47th COSAC in Copenhagen 22-24 April 2012

23 April 2012

Draft of the Danish Presidency	Amendment
1. Re-launching the Single Market	
1.1. COSAC recognises that for the last 20 years the Single Market has been a key driving force in European integration that has facilitated free movement of goods, persons, services and capital and stimulated economic growth and employment in Europe as well as in other parts of the world.	
1.2. The Single Market is an essential element in fighting the effects of the current financial and economic crisis within the "Europe 2020 strategy". COSAC therefore welcomes the European Commission's Single Market Act, which seeks to revitalise the Single Market that has a key role to play in fostering growth and employment in Europe.	1.2. after "The Single Market" add " <u>together with the social cohesion policies and democratic participation of people</u> " <i>(Slovak Parliament)</i>
	1.2 bis: add an additional paragraph: <u>COSAC believes that the 12 priority key actions of the Single Market Act, including in particular the revision of the EU's public procurement rules will be crucial to support growth and employment in Europe.</u> <i>(European Parliament)</i>
	1.2 bis: add a new paragraph <u>COSAC notes that the political appreciation of initiatives in this field may be mixed and recognises that substantive discussions are to take place in national parliaments and the European Parliament.</u> <i>(Dutch States-General)</i>

Draft of the Danish Presidency	Amendment
1.3. COSAC therefore urges the Council and the European Parliament to bring the twelve priority key actions of the Single Market Act to a successful conclusion as soon as possible with the aim of creating new momentum and dynamism to European economy.	1.3. COSAC therefore urges the Council and the European Parliament to bring the twelve priority key actions of the Single Market Act to a successful conclusion as soon as possible, <u>without prejudice to the principle of subsidiarity</u> , with the aim of creating new momentum and dynamism <u>in the</u> European economy. <i>(United Kingdom House of Commons)</i>
1.4. COSAC however notes that the Single Market still has untapped potential and therefore welcomes the Commission's plan to further deepen the Single Market by taking stock of progress achieved with the Single Market Act before the end of 2012 with the aim of launching a comprehensive set of new proposals for priority actions.	
1.5 COSAC calls on national parliaments and the European Parliament to consider how to improve citizens' confidence in the Single Market and make them more aware of the opportunities it offers to them.	1.5 COSAC calls on national parliaments and the European Parliament to consider how to improve citizens' confidence <u>and consumer opportunities</u> in the Single Market and make them more aware of the opportunities it offers to them. <i>(Finnish Parliament)</i>
	1.5.Bis add a new paragraph: <u>COSAC underlines that the social dimension of the Single Market still trails behind its economic integration. COSAC is of the view that the social dimension of the Single Market needs to be taken into account as well as the impact of the legislation on it.</u> <i>(European Parliament)</i>
2. A Single Market for Services	
2.1 COSAC emphasises that a well-functioning Single Market in services is an important prerequisite for generating growth and jobs in Europe. COSAC therefore calls on all EU Member States to ensure full and complete implementation of the Services Directive.	

Draft of the Danish Presidency	Amendment
2.2 COSAC furthermore welcomes the Commission's proposal to extend an improved European standardisation system to services and thereby making standardisation procedures more effective, efficient, timely and inclusive.	
2.3 COSAC looks forward to the presentation of the Commission's report on the Services Directive in June at the European Council.	
3. Sustainable growth and green economy	
3.1. COSAC welcomes the EU's objective of promoting the transition to a resource efficient and green economy in Europe. COSAC supports the creation of a sustainable single market through the development of an inclusive, low-carbon, green knowledge-based economy.	3.1 COSAC welcomes the EU's objective of promoting the transition to a resource efficient and green economy in Europe. COSAC supports the creation of a sustainable single market through the development of an inclusive, low-carbon, green knowledge-based economy. COSAC, however, urges the need to strengthen not only the ecological but also the social dimension of the Single Market. (Austrian Parliament)
	3.1. COSAC welcomes the EU's objective of promoting the transition to a resource efficient and green economy in Europe. COSAC supports the creation of a socially, economically and environmentally sustainable single market through the development of an inclusive, low-carbon, green knowledge-based economy. (Finnish Parliament)
3.2. COSAC welcomes the Commission's roadmap to a resource efficient Europe which builds on many existing instruments such as waste legislation, green public procurement, research programmes and eco-design, and calls for an ambitious and comprehensive EU Energy policy.	3.2. COSAC welcomes the Commission's roadmap to a resource efficient Europe which builds on many existing instruments such as waste legislation, green public procurement, research programmes and eco-design, and calls for an ambitious and comprehensive EU Energy policy which takes into account the resources and economic situation of each Member

Draft of the Danish Presidency	Amendment
	<p><u>State.</u> (Polish Sejm)</p>
	<p>3.2. COSAC welcomes the Commission's roadmap to a resource efficient Europe which builds on many existing instruments such as waste legislation, green public procurement, research programmes and eco-design, and calls for <u>continuous actions</u> on ambitious and comprehensive EU Energy policy <u>targeted at the creation of the integrated energy infrastructure and single energy market.</u> <i>(Estonian, Latvian and Lithuanian Parliaments)</i></p>
4. The Digital Single Market	
4.1 COSAC underlines the need to complete a truly single and secure Digital Market by 2015, estimated by the Commission to be worth 110 billion Euros a year, to facilitate cross border use of on-line services, secure on-line payments and introduce an effective dispute settlement mechanism.	
4.2 In order to deploy the full potential of the digital economy COSAC calls on the Commission and Member States to ensure a rapid implementation of the Commission's action plan on e-commerce to remove the obstacles which slow down development of Europe's Internet economy.	
4.3 COSAC looks forward to the submission of a new proposal on e-signature and on agreement of rules on online dispute resolution and on roaming by June 2012.	
5. Transposition and enforcement	

Draft of the Danish Presidency	Amendment
<p>5.1. COSAC welcomes the Commission's Internal Market scoreboard, which makes it possible to monitor how Member States transpose and enforce Single Market legislation. However COSAC notes that there is a need for closer monitoring of the application of Single Market legislation. COSAC therefore welcomes the Commission's commitment to continue improving governance aspects of the Single Market with the aim of reducing the number of infringements proceedings.</p>	<p>5.1. <u>COSAC welcomes the Commission's 2012 "Governance Check-up" that for the first time presents an integrated view of the various tools used in a "Single Market governance cycle", including the internal Market Scoreboard, Solvit annual report, "Your Europe" website.</u> However COSAC notes that there is a need for closer monitoring of the application of Single Market legislation. COSAC therefore welcomes the Commission's commitment to continue improving governance aspects of the Single Market with the aim of reducing the number of infringements proceedings.</p> <p>(European Parliament)</p>
<p>5.2. COSAC calls on Member States to improve their transposition and application of EU legislation to ensure a level playing field for all in the Single Market. COSAC regrets that 16 Member States did not meet the 1 % transposition deficit target in 2011 set by the European Council. COSAC therefore supports the Commission's efforts in trying to get Member States to bring down the transposition deficit below the 1 % target and reduce transposition delays.</p>	
	<p>5.2 bis add a new paragraph:</p> <p><u>COSAC takes note of the numerical targets limiting the transposition and compliance deficit for national legislation to 0.5% for the transposition deficit, and 0.5% for the compliance deficit, as outlined by the European Commission in its communication on the "Single Market Act".</u></p> <p>(European Parliament)</p>
<p>5.3. COSAC welcomes that the Commission will present a report to the European Council in June on possible means to enhance the implementation of Single Market legislation and improve its enforcement.</p>	

Draft of the Danish Presidency	Amendment
5.4. COSAC calls for an intensified dialogue on how to improve Member States' implementation and enforcement of Single Market legislation.	
6. Proposal for strengthening the political dialogue with the European Commission	
6.1 COSAC urges the Commission to develop further the existing political dialogue between national parliaments and the Commission. In particular it would be desirable to strengthen this dialogue on the European Semester and on the enforcement and implementation of Single Market rules.	
	<p>6.1.bis add a new paragraph:</p> <p><u>COSAC considers that interparliamentary cooperation between National Parliaments and the European Parliament constitutes one of the essential instruments in the process of exchanging best practices at the European level. For example recent meetings on the European Semester have allowed the development of this cooperation in the field of budgetary, economic and employment policies within the EU.</u></p> <p><i>(European Parliament)</i></p>
6.2 Regarding the European Semester it could be envisaged that a Member of the Commission or a senior official appears before the competent committee of national Parliaments to respond to questions on the Commission's Annual Growth Survey or the Commission's Country-Specific Recommendations that are made on the basis of the National Reform Programmes and the Stability and Convergence Programmes submitted by Member States.	Delete “or senior officials” <i>(Portuguese Parliament)</i>
6.3 COSAC calls on the Commission to	

Draft of the Danish Presidency	Amendment
<p>give national parliaments the opportunity to submit enquiries in writing to the Commission on legislative proposals and consultation documents (including the European Semester) and on the enforcement and implementation of Single Market rules.</p>	
<p>6.4 COSAC believes that the opportunity (under the political dialogue) for national parliaments to feed views into the pre-legislative phase of the EU proposals is particularly important and therefore invites the Commission to alert national parliaments to all public consultations when they are launched and to make public contributions received from national parliaments regarding consultation documents.</p>	<p>6.4 COSAC believes that the opportunity (under the political dialogue) for national parliaments to feed views into the pre-legislative phase of the EU proposals is particularly important and therefore invites the Commission to alert national parliaments to all public consultations when they are launched and to make public contributions received from national parliaments regarding consultation documents. <u>COSAC notes the important role of national parliaments in forming Member State's opinion on EU affairs.</u> <i>(Finnish Parliament)</i></p>
<p>6.5 COSAC invites the Commission to make contributions received from national parliaments public under a specific heading of the Commission website where the results of consultation are summarised. COSAC also invites the Commission to ensure that, where appropriate, national parliament contributions on consultations are explicitly referred to in the explanatory memorandum accompanying any subsequent Commission proposals. Such reference would highlight the particular value of contributions from national parliaments within the framework of the political dialogue.</p>	

EN

Amendment

Presidency compromise proposal

Add a new 1.5bis

COSAC underlines the importance of the social dimension of the Single Market in the overall objective to achieve a strong Single Market that is socially, economically and environmentally sustainable.

FR

Amendement

Proposition de compromis de la présidence

Ajouter un nouveau paragraphe 1.5 bis

La COSAC souligne l'importance de la dimension sociale du marché unique dans l'objectif général de mise en place d'un marché unique solide et durable en termes sociaux, économiques et environnementaux.

Draft of the Danish Presidency	Amendment
1. COSAC calls for an intensified dialogue on how to improve Member States' implementation and enforcement of Single Market legislation.	
5. Proposal for strengthening the political dialogue with the European Commission	
.1 COSAC urges the Commission to develop further the existing political dialogue between national parliaments and the Commission. In particular it would be desirable to strengthen this dialogue on the European Semester and on the enforcement and implementation of Single Market rules.	
	<p>6.1 bis add a new paragraph:</p> <p><u>Considers that inter-parliamentary cooperation established by the European Parliament and national Parliaments constitutes one of the essential instruments in the process of democratic scrutiny at European level in the framework of the European Semester, on the basis of experience acquired at the inter-parliamentary meetings in 2011 and 2012, which allowed for the initiation of the development of this cooperation in the field of budgetary, economic and employment policies within the EU.</u> <u>(European Parliament)</u></p>
	<p>6.1.bis add a new paragraph:</p> <p><u>COSAC considers that interparliamentary cooperation between National Parliaments and the European Parliament constitutes one of the essential instruments in the process of exchanging best practices at the European level. For example recent meetings on the European Semester have allowed the development of this cooperation in the field of budgetary, economic and employment policies within the EU.</u> <u>(Presidency compromise)</u></p>

Projet de la Présidence danoise	Amendement
	<p>Au paragraphe 6.1. bis : ajouter un nouveau paragraphe:</p> <p><u>Considère que la coopération interparlementaire mise en œuvre par le Parlement européen et les Parlements nationaux au niveau européen constitue également un des instruments essentiels du contrôle démocratique dans le cadre du semestre européen, sur la base de l'expérience acquise lors des rencontres interparlementaires de 2011 et 2012, qui ont permis d'initier le développement de cette coopération dans le domaine des politiques budgétaires, économiques et de l'emploi au sein de l'UE.</u></p> <p>(Parlement européen)</p>
	<p>Au paragraphe 6.1. bis : ajouter un nouveau paragraphe:</p> <p><u>La COSAC estime que la coopération interparlementaire entre les parlements nationaux et le Parlement européen constitue l'un des instruments essentiels du processus d'échange de pratiques d'excellence à l'échelon européen. Par exemple, des réunions récentes sur le semestre européen ont permis de développer cette coopération en matière de politiques budgétaire, économique et de l'emploi au sein de l'Union européenne.</u></p> <p>(Compromis de la Présidence)</p>

DOCUMENTO 9

CONTRIBUTION OF THE XLVII COSAC Copenhagen, 22-24 April 2012

1. Re-launching the Single Market

- 1.1. COSAC recognises that for the last 20 years the Single Market has been a key driving force in European integration that has facilitated free movement of goods, persons, services and capital and stimulated economic growth and employment in Europe as well as in other parts of the world.
- 1.2. The Single Market, together with the social cohesion policies and democratic participation of people, is an essential element in fighting the effects of the current financial and economic crisis within the "Europe 2020 strategy". COSAC therefore welcomes the European Commission's Single Market Act, which seeks to revitalise the Single Market that has a key role to play in fostering growth and employment in Europe.
- 1.3. COSAC notes that the political consideration of initiatives in this field may raise substantive discussions in national Parliaments and the European Parliament.
- 1.4. COSAC believes that the 12 priority key actions of the Single Market Act will be crucial to support growth and employment in Europe.
- 1.5. COSAC therefore urges the Council and the European Parliament to bring the 12 priority key actions of the Single Market Act to a successful conclusion as soon as possible, without prejudice to the principle of subsidiarity, with the aim of creating new momentum and dynamism in the European economy.
- 1.6. COSAC however notes that the Single Market still has untapped potential and therefore welcomes the Commission's plan to further deepen the Single Market by taking stock of progress achieved with the Single Market Act before the end of 2012 with the aim of launching a comprehensive set of new proposals for priority actions.
- 1.7. COSAC calls on national Parliaments and the European Parliament to consider how to improve citizens' confidence and consumer opportunities in the Single Market and make them more aware of the opportunities it offers to them.
- 1.8. COSAC underlines the importance of the social dimension of the Single Market with the overall objective of achieving a strong Single Market that is socially, economically and environmentally sustainable.

2. A Single Market for Services

- 2.1. COSAC emphasises that a well-functioning Single Market in services is an important prerequisite for generating growth and jobs in Europe. COSAC therefore calls on all EU Member States to ensure full and complete implementation of the Services Directive.

- 2.2. COSAC furthermore welcomes the Commission's proposal to extend an improved European standardisation system to services and thereby making standardisation procedures more effective, efficient, timely and inclusive.
- 2.3. COSAC looks forward to the presentation of the Commission's report on the Services Directive in June at the European Council.

3. Sustainable growth and green economy

- 3.1. COSAC welcomes the EU's objective of promoting the transition to a resource efficient and green economy in Europe. COSAC supports the creation of a sustainable Single Market through the development of an inclusive, low-carbon, green knowledge-based economy.
- 3.2. COSAC welcomes the Commission's roadmap to a resource efficient Europe which builds on many existing instruments such as waste legislation, green public procurement, research programmes and eco-design, and calls for continuous actions on ambitious and comprehensive EU energy policy, targeted at the creation of the integrated energy infrastructure and single energy market, which takes into account the resources and economic situation of each Member State.

4. The Digital Single Market

- 4.1. COSAC underlines the need to complete a truly single and secure Digital Market by 2015, estimated by the Commission to be worth 110 billion Euros a year, to facilitate cross border use of on-line services, secure on-line payments and introduce an effective dispute settlement mechanism.
- 4.2. In order to deploy the full potential of the digital economy COSAC calls on the Commission and Member States to ensure a rapid implementation of the Commission's action plan on e-commerce to remove the obstacles which slow down development of Europe's Internet economy.
- 4.3. COSAC looks forward to the submission of a new proposal on e-signature and on agreement of rules on online dispute resolution and on roaming by June 2012.

5. Transposition and enforcement

- 5.1. COSAC welcomes the Commission's 2011 "Governance Check-up" that for the first time presents an integrated view of the various tools used in a "Single Market governance cycle", including the internal Market Scoreboard, Solvit annual report and "Your Europe" website. However COSAC notes that there is a need for closer monitoring of the application of Single Market legislation. COSAC therefore welcomes the Commission's commitment to continue improving governance aspects of the Single Market with the aim of reducing the number of infringements proceedings.

- 5.2. COSAC calls on Member States to improve their transposition and application of EU legislation to ensure a level playing field for all in the Single Market. COSAC regrets that 16 Member States did not meet the 1% transposition deficit target in 2011 set by the European Council. COSAC therefore supports the Commission's efforts in trying to get Member States to bring down the transposition deficit below the 1% target and reduce transposition delays.
- 5.3. COSAC takes note of the numerical targets limiting the transposition and compliance deficit for national legislation to 0.5%, as outlined by the Commission in its communication on the Single Market Act.
- 5.4. COSAC welcomes that the Commission will present a report to the European Council in June on possible means to enhance the implementation of Single Market legislation and improve its enforcement.
- 5.5. COSAC calls for an intensified dialogue on how to improve Member States' implementation and enforcement of Single Market legislation.

6. Proposal for strengthening the political dialogue with the European Commission

- 6.1. COSAC urges the Commission to develop further the existing political dialogue between national Parliaments and the Commission. In particular it would be desirable to strengthen this dialogue on the European Semester and on the enforcement and implementation of Single Market rules.
- 6.2. COSAC considers that interparliamentary cooperation between national Parliaments and the European Parliament constitutes one of the essential instruments in the process of exchanging best practices at the European level. For example recent meetings on the European Semester have allowed the development of this cooperation in the field of budgetary, economic and employment policies within the EU.
- 6.3. Regarding the European Semester it could be envisaged that a Member of the Commission or by agreement a senior official appears before the competent committee of national Parliaments to respond to questions on the Commission's Annual Growth Survey or the Commission's Country-Specific Recommendations that are made on the basis of the National Reform Programmes and the Stability and Convergence Programmes submitted by Member States.
- 6.4. COSAC calls on the Commission to give national Parliaments the opportunity to submit enquiries in writing to the Commission on legislative proposals and consultation documents (including the European Semester) and on the enforcement and implementation of Single Market rules.
- 6.5. COSAC believes that the opportunity (under the political dialogue) for national Parliaments to feed views into the pre-legislative phase of the EU proposals is particularly important and therefore invites the Commission to alert national Parliaments to all public consultations when they are launched and to make public contributions received from

national Parliaments regarding consultation documents. COSAC notes the important role of national Parliaments in forming Member States' opinion on EU affairs.

- 6.6. COSAC invites the Commission to make contributions received from national Parliaments public under a specific heading of the Commission website where the results of consultation are summarised. COSAC also invites the Commission to ensure that, where appropriate, national Parliament contributions on consultations are explicitly referred to in the explanatory memorandum accompanying any subsequent Commission proposals. Such reference would highlight the particular value of contributions from national Parliaments within the framework of the political dialogue.

CONCLUSIONS OF THE XLVII COSAC
Copenhagen, 22-24 April 2012

1. Bi-annual Report

- 1.1. COSAC welcomes the 17th Bi-annual Report prepared by the COSAC Secretariat and thanks the Secretariat for its excellent work. The Report provides comprehensive information on the information flow to and from parliaments as far as EU documents are concerned and on the involvement of national Parliaments in the re-launch of the Single Market.

2. Access to EU and EU related documents by national Parliaments

- 2.1. COSAC notes that the exchange of information between Parliaments is becoming increasingly common and indeed essential, as a result of the strengthened role of Parliaments in the Treaty of Lisbon.
- 2.2. COSAC emphasises that access to EU documents and EU related documents produced by Governments is essential to ensure that national Parliaments can properly carry out their scrutiny functions.
- 2.3. COSAC notes the frequent and varied use made of the documents transmitted directly by the European Commission to national Parliaments and welcomes the formalised transmission arrangements included in the Treaty of Lisbon.
- 2.4. COSAC acknowledges it is for each Member State to independently determine arrangements for Parliamentary access to EU and EU related documents. As far as access to Council documents is concerned, COSAC notes that 28 national Parliaments/Chambers have access to Limité documents, this amounts to 70% of all Parliaments/Chambers - a significant proportion. It also notes that 17 out of 40 national Parliaments/Chambers have access to EU Restricted documents and eight Parliaments/Chambers have access to EU Confidential documents.
- 2.5. COSAC highlights that a number of Parliaments have access to Council documents and/or EU related documents through a database but notes that the majority of Parliaments/Chambers continue to be sent documents by their Government.

3. Exchange of information between Parliaments

- 3.1. COSAC welcomes that IPEX is being used by staff from a large majority of Parliaments on a daily or weekly basis.
- 3.2. COSAC recognises that the most commonly used sources of information from other Parliaments are the network of national Parliament Representatives based in Brussels and the IPEX database.

- 3.3. COSAC takes note that although a number of Parliaments consider IPEX to be reliable or very reliable, slightly more believe that IPEX, though a valuable tool, needs improvement. To improve the reliability of IPEX, COSAC encourages national Parliaments to upload more comprehensive information to IPEX in a timely manner and to use the IPEX symbols correct.
- 3.4. COSAC is pleased to note that an overwhelming majority of Parliaments/Chambers provide translations or summaries of important decisions in English and/or French and welcomes this development. COSAC thanks the European Parliament for its work in translating reasoned opinions into 21 EU languages.
- 3.5. COSAC considers it beneficial that reasoned opinions are uploaded onto IPEX within one or two days of adoption by a large majority of Parliaments/Chambers. It calls on all Parliaments/Chambers to follow this example of best practice.
- 3.6. COSAC welcomes the extensive use made of additional parliamentary networks sources of information such as ECPRD and additional sources such as the COSAC website and considers all attempts made to exchange information between Parliaments as constructive and helpful for enhancing Parliamentary scrutiny of EU affairs.